

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 39 (1993)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: THE SUM OF THE CANTOR SET WITH ITSELF
Autor: Nymann, J.E.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-60420>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 20.05.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

THE SUM OF THE CANTOR SET WITH ITSELF

by J.E. NYMANN

In a recent paper [2], Pavone gave an interesting geometric proof of the fact $C + C = [0, 2]$ where C denotes the Cantor ternary set. He also noted, as a consequence of his proof, that for any $k \in [0, 2]$ there exists either a finite or an uncountable number of pairs $(x, y) \in C \times C$ for which $x + y = k$. In the finite case, he also gives an unfortunately incorrect formula for the number of such pairs.

In this note we give a very simple proof of the fact that $C + C = [0, 2]$. From this proof it is also easy to count the number of representations of numbers in $[0, 2]$ as a sum of two elements of C and obtain a correct formula in the finite case. The proof given below that $C + C = [0, 2]$ is not new. It is, perhaps, the intended solution to an exercise in [1], and it is very similar to a "Quicky" proposed and solved by Shallit very recently in [3].

It is well known that $C = \{ \sum 2\varepsilon_n/3^n : \varepsilon_n = 0 \text{ or } 1 \}$. $C + C = [0, 2]$ is equivalent to $\frac{1}{2}C + \frac{1}{2}C = [0, 1]$. Also $\frac{1}{2}C = \{ \sum \varepsilon_n/3^n : \varepsilon_n = 0 \text{ or } 1 \}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}C + \frac{1}{2}C &= \{ \sum (\varepsilon_n + \varepsilon'_n)/3^n : \varepsilon_n = 0 \text{ or } 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon'_n = 0 \text{ or } 1 \} \\ &= \{ \sum a_n/3^n : a_n = 0, 1, 2 \} = [0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

and the proof that $C + C = [0, 2]$ is complete.

Now we consider the number of representations of a number in $(0, 2)$ as a sum of two elements of C . Fix $k = 2h$ in $(0, 2)$ and let $h = \sum a_n/3^n$ be the unique infinite ternary expansion of h . Pavone claimed that: "...the equation $x + y = k$ has a finite or an uncountable number $S(k)$ of solutions in $C \times C$ according to whether the cardinality $c(k)$ of the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}; a_n = 1\}$ is finite or infinite respectively. In fact the exact formula is $S(k) = 1$ if $c(k) = 0$ or 1, and $S(k) = 3(2^{c(k)-2})$ otherwise." The statement concerning when $S(k)$ is finite or uncountable is correct, but the formula for $S(k)$, when finite, is not correct. It is not difficult to obtain the correct formula for $S(k)$, but different cases must be considered.

First consider the case where h has a unique ternary expansion, which is necessarily infinite. Then $S(k) = 2^{c(k)}$. To see, this, set $h = \sum a_n/3^n$ where $a_n = 0, 1$, or 2 ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), $a_n \neq 0$ for infinitely many n and $a_n \neq 2$ for infinitely many n . We wish to count the number of representations $h = x + y$ where $x, y \in \frac{1}{2}C$; i.e., $x = \sum \varepsilon_n/3^n$, $y = \sum \varepsilon'_n/3^n$ and $\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon'_n = 0$ or 1 . Now if $a_n = 0$, clearly $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon'_n = 0$. Also if $a_n = 2$, $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon'_n = 1$. However if $a_n = 1$, we can have $\varepsilon_n = 1$ and $\varepsilon'_n = 0$ or we can have $\varepsilon_n = 0$ and $\varepsilon'_n = 1$. Hence there are $2^{c(k)}$ choices for (x, y) (uncountable if $c(k)$ is infinite).

Next consider the case where h has two ternary expansions. Then they are necessarily of the form

$$h = .a_1a_2 \dots a_r 22 \dots = .a_1a_2 \dots a_{r-1}b_r$$

where $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r-1} = 0, 1, 2$, $a_r = 0$ or 1 and $b_r = a_r + 1$. Then using the ideas in the last paragraph and keeping in mind there are two counts (one for each representation of h), we have:

$$S(k) = \begin{cases} 3(2^{c(k)}) & \text{if } a_r = 0. \\ 3(2^{c(k)-1}) & \text{if } a_r = 1. \end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] KOLMOGOROV, A.N. and S.V. FOMIN. *Introductory Real Analysis*, 1970, Prentice-Hall Publishers, p. 54.
- [2] PAVONE, M. The Cantor set and a geometric construction. *L'Enseignement Mathématique*, 35 (1989), 41-49.
- [3] SHALLIT, Jeffrey. Q785. *Mathematics Magazine*, 64 No. 5 (1991), 351 and 357.

(Reçu le 3 février 1992)

James E. Nymann

Dept of Mathematical Sciences
The University of Texas at El Paso
El Paso, Texas 79968