

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 38 (1992)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: THE CATEGORY OF NILMANIFOLDS
Autor: Oprea, John
Kapitel: Introduction
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-59480>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 21.05.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

THE CATEGORY OF NILMANIFOLDS

by John OPREA

ABSTRACT. The techniques of rational homotopy theory are used to compute the category of a nilmanifold: $\text{cat}(M) = \dim M = \text{rank}(\pi_1 M)$. This information is of interest to dynamicists since the theorem of Lusternik-Schnirelmann then shows that the number of critical points of a smooth function of M is bounded below by $\text{rank}(\pi_1 M) + 1$.

INTRODUCTION

As a first step to understanding the structure of certain dynamical systems on nilmanifolds, one might hope to have computable lower bounds on the number of critical points of smooth functions. Of course, one is then led to the Lusternik-Schnirelmann definition of category and their well-known result that category $(+ 1)$ is such a bound. Unfortunately, category is rarely computable, so those who require numerical bounds often employ the fact that category majorizes cuplength. Hence cuplength (which, generally, is a more computable homotopy invariant than category) is the numerical invariant frequently sought for in order to provide a lower bound for the number of critical points of smooth functions on a manifold.

Indeed, some time ago, for the reasons above, Chris McCord asked me if I knew of a formula for the cuplength of a nilmanifold. I did not then, and after many computations I do not now! Thus, I pose:

QUESTION. What is the cuplength (with \mathbf{Q} -coefficients say) of a nilmanifold?

Suprisingly, however, the need for such knowledge by dynamicists is obviated by the following.

THEOREM 1. *If M is a (compact) nilmanifold, then $\text{cat}(M) = \dim(M) = \text{rank}(\pi_1 M)$.*

Hence, the best possible result which Lusternik-Schnirelmann theory can provide for nilmanifolds is the immediate.

COROLLARY. *The number of critical points of a smooth function on a (compact) nilmanifold M is bounded below by $\text{rank}(\pi_1 M) + 1$.*

In fact, Theorem 1 was announced for all $K(\pi, 1)$'s by Eilenberg and Ganea [11]. Unfortunately, details of the proofs of their three fundamental propositions never appeared, thus contributing, I believe, to the ignorance of the result among the dynamicists and topologists of today. Indeed, this paper was originally written in response to Chris McCord's question and without knowledge of the Eilenberg-Ganea result. Furthermore, in looking at the Eilenberg-Ganea propositions, it is difficult to see the relationship between the structures of π and $K(\pi, 1)$ and the consequent determination of category as $\text{rank}(\pi)$. I hope that the approach of this paper will remedy this defect, at least in the case of nilmanifolds. The beautiful structure theory of nilmanifolds (i.e. finitely generated torsionfree nilpotent groups) is ideally suited for an approach in terms of minimal models. In fact, in some sense, this paper is simply an exposition of just how well rational homotopy theory and nilmanifold theory fit together (in the representative situation of determining category).

Theorem 1 will be given a simple ("up to" the machinery of rational homotopy theory) proof in §4. Since this paper is written for workers in dynamical systems, I have tried to make it somewhat self-contained. Therefore, §1 and §2 are devoted to recollections on category and its rational homotopy description respectively. §3 recollects structural knowledge of nilmanifolds and §5 presents an analogue of Theorem 1 for iterated principal bundles. (The basic reference for the rational homotopy version of L.S. category is [3]; I have attempted to cull the essential ingredients for the proof of Theorem 1, but the reader will find other interesting applications in that work. Also see [2].)

§1. CATEGORY

The *category* of a space M , $\text{cat}(M)$, is the least integer m so that M is covered by $m + 1$ open subsets each of which is contractible within M .

An equivalent definition (at least for the spaces we consider here) was given by G. Whitehead (see [10]): Let M^{m+1} denote the $(m + 1)$ -fold product and