Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 38 (1992)

Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: THE MEAN SQUARE OF THE RIEMANN ZETA-FUNCTION ON THE LINE = 1

Autor: Balasubramanian, R. / Ivi, A / Ramachandra, K.

Rubrik

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-59479

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 17.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

The error term in (2) is best possible, and for the range $1/2 < \sigma < 3/4$ the above asymptotic formula has been considerably refined by K. Matsumoto [5] (see also [4]).

It seems that the case $\sigma = 1$ in (1) has not received much attention in the literature. The aim of this paper is to discuss this problem. We shall prove the following

THEOREM. *Let for* $T > 1$ *the function* $R(T)$ *be defined by*

$$\int_1^T |\zeta(1+it)|^2 dt = \zeta(2)T - \pi \log T + R(T) . \tag{3}$$

Then

$$R(T) = O(\log^{2/3} T(\log\log T)^{1/3}) , \tag{4}$$

$$\int_1^T R(t)dt = O(T) , \tag{5}$$

and

$$\int_1^T R^2(t)dt = O(T(\log\log T)^4)) . \tag{6}$$

Remarks. In view of (4) it is seen that $R(T)$ represents the error term in the mean square formula for $\zeta(s)$ on the line $\sigma = 1$.

One often takes the lower limit of integration in (1) as zero. However, in our case ($\sigma = 1$) this cannot be done, since $\zeta(s)$ has a pole at $s = 1$. If in (3) we take some other positive number as the lower limit of integration, then obviously the value of $R(T)$ will be changed by a constant only.

The method used in the proof of our theorem may be used to evaluate mean values of certain other zeta-functions on the line $\sigma = 1$. These will be dealt with elsewhere.

The upper bound in (4) contains information about the order of $\zeta(1 + iT)$. Namely, from the general inequalities proved in [1] and [2] it may be deduced that

$$\zeta^k(1+iT) \ll \log^{2/3} T(\log\log T)^{1/3} \int_{T+\delta}^{T+\delta} |\zeta(1+it)|^k dt + 1$$

for any fixed integer $k \geqslant 1$ and $\delta = (\log\log T/\log T)^{2/3}$. Hence we obtain

$$\zeta(1+iT) \ll \log^{2/3} T(\log\log T)^{1/3} .$$

This bound is close to the classical bound of I. M. Vinogradov (see Ch. 6 of [3])

$$\zeta(1 + iT) \ll \log^{2/3} T ,$$

which for more than 30 years is the sharpest one, and follows from (19).

In view of (5) it seems plausible to conjecture that, as $T \to \infty$,

$$\int_1^T R^2(t)\,dt \sim AT$$

for some $A > 0$.

Acknowledgement. We are very much indebted to the referee for his remarks concerning the proof of (4).

Proof of the Theorem. We start from the simplest approximate functional equation for $\zeta(s)$ (see Th. 1.8 of [3]). Namely, for $0 < \sigma_0 \leqslant \sigma \leqslant 2$, $x \geqslant |t|/\pi$, $s = \sigma + it$, we have

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \leqslant x} n^{-s} + \frac{x^{1-s}}{s-1} + O(x^{-\sigma}) .$$

For $t \leqslant T$ this gives

$$\zeta(1 + it) = \sum_{n \leqslant T} n^{-1-it} + \frac{T^{-it}}{it} + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) . \tag{7}$$

Since $|z|^2 = z\bar{z}$ we obtain from (7)

$$\int_1^T |\zeta(1 + it)|^2 dt = \int_1^T \Big|\sum_{n \leqslant T} n^{-1-it}\Big|^2 dt - 2\mathrm{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{i}\int_1^T \sum_{n \leqslant T}\left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^{it}\frac{dt}{nt}\right\} \tag{8}$$

$$+ O\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_1^T \Big|\sum_{n \leqslant T} n^{-1-it}\Big| dt\right) + O\left(\frac{\log T}{T}\right) .$$

The main terms in the asymptotic formula (3) come from the first integral on the right-hand side of (8). To see this one can use the well-known Montgomery-Vaughan theorem for mean values of Dirichlet polynomials (see [6], also [7] and Ch. 5 of [3]), which says that

$$\int_0^T \Big|\sum_{n \leqslant N} a_n n^{-it}\Big|^2 dt = T \sum_{n \leqslant N} |a_n|^2 + O\left(\sum_{n \leqslant N} n\,|a_n|^2\right) \tag{9}$$

for arbitrary complex numbers a_n, $T > 0$ and $N \geqslant 1$. Therefore by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (9) we have

$$\int_1^T \Big| \sum_{n \leqslant T} n^{-1-it} \Big| \, dt \leqslant T^{1/2} \left(\int_1^T \Big| \sum_{n \leqslant T} n^{-1-it} \Big|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = O(T) . \tag{10}$$

We also have

$$\int_1^T \sum_{n \leqslant T} \left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^{it} \frac{dt}{nt} = O(\log\log T) . \tag{11}$$

To prove (11), let $2 \leqslant H \leqslant T/2$ be a parameter. Then

$$\int_1^T \sum_{n \leqslant T} \left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^{it} \frac{dt}{nt} = \sum_{n \leqslant T(1-1/H)} n^{-1} \int_1^T \left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^{it} \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$+ \sum_{T(1-1/H) < n \leqslant T} n^{-1} \int_1^T \left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^{it} \frac{dt}{t} = \sum_{n \leqslant T(1-1/H)} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \frac{(T/n)^{it}}{it \log(T/n)} \Bigg|_1^T \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_1^T \frac{(T/n)^{it} dt}{it^2 \log(T/n)} \right\} + O\left(\sum_{T(1-1/H) < n \leqslant T} \frac{\log T}{n} \right)$$

$$= O\left(\sum_{n \leqslant T(1-1/H)} \frac{1}{n \log(T/n)} \right) + O\left(\frac{\log T}{H}\right)$$

$$\ll \int_1^{T(1-1/H)} \frac{dx}{x \log(T/x)} + \frac{\log T}{H} + 1 = \int_{(1-1/H)^{-1}}^{T} \frac{du}{u \log u} + \frac{\log T}{H} + 1$$

$$= \log\log T - \log\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{H}\right)^{-1} + \frac{\log T}{H} + 1 \ll \log\log T$$

for $H = \log T$. Thus from (8), (10) and (11) it follows that

$$\int_1^T |\zeta(1+iu)|^2 du = \int_1^T \Big| \sum_{n \leqslant T} n^{-1-iu} \Big|^2 du + O(\log\log T) . \tag{12}$$

Further we have

$$\int_1^T \Big| \sum_{n \leqslant T} n^{-1-iu} \Big|^2 du = \int_0^T \Big| \sum_{n \leqslant T} n^{-1-iu} \Big|^2 du - \int_0^1 \Big| \sum_{n \leqslant T} n^{-1-iu} \Big|^2 du \tag{13}$$

and observe that by the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula (or by (7)) we have, for $0 < u \leqslant 1$,

$$\sum_{n \leqslant T} n^{-1-iu} = \int_1^T x^{-1-iu}\,dx + \gamma + O(u) + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) = \frac{1 - T^{-iu}}{iu}$$

$$+ \gamma + O(u) + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right),$$

where $\gamma = 0.5772157\ldots$ is Euler's constant. Therefore

$$\int_0^1 \Big| \sum_{n \leqslant T} n^{-1-iu} \Big|^2 du = \int_0^1 \frac{|1 - T^{-iu}|^2}{u^2}\,du - 2\gamma \operatorname{Re}\left\{ i \int_0^1 \frac{1 - T^{-iu}}{u}\,du \right\}$$

$$(14) \qquad + O(1) = 2\int_0^1 \frac{1 - \cos(u \log T)}{u^2}\,du + 2\gamma \int_0^1 \frac{\sin(u \log T)}{u}\,du + O(1)$$

$$= 4\int_0^1 \frac{\sin^2((u \log T)/2)}{u^2}\,du + O(1) = 2\log T \int_0^{(\log T)/2} \left(\frac{\sin v}{v}\right)^2 dv + O(1)$$

$$= \pi \log T + O(1),$$

since

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\sin v}{v}\right)^2 dv = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

In (12) – (14) we replace T by t, and suppose that $T \leqslant t \leqslant 2T$. From (7) we have

$$\sum_{T < n \leqslant t} n^{-1-it} = O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right).$$

Hence from the definition of $R(T)$, given by (3), it follows that for $T \leqslant t \leqslant 2T$ we have

$$(15) \qquad R(t) = \int_0^t \left(\Big| \sum_{n \leqslant T} n^{-1-iu} \Big|^2 - \zeta(2) \right) du + O(\log\log T).$$

This is the fundamental formula that will be used in the proof of (4) and (6). We start with the proof of (4), taking in (15) $t = T$ and writing

$$\sum_{n \leqslant T} n^{-1-iu} = \sum_{n \leqslant N} + \sum_{N < n \leqslant 2N} + \sum_{2N < n \leqslant T} = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3,$$

say, where

$$(16) \qquad N = \exp(C \log^{2/3} T (\log\log T)^{1/3})$$

with a suitable constant $C > 0$. We have

$$(17)\qquad \int_0^T \left| \sum\nolimits_2 + \sum\nolimits_3 \right|^2 du = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-T}^{T} \left| \sum_{N < n \leqslant T} n^{-1-iu} \right|^2 du$$

$$= T \sum_{N < n \leqslant T} n^{-2} + \sum_{N < m \neq n \leqslant T} \frac{(m/n)^{iT} - (m/n)^{-iT}}{2imn \log(m/n)} .$$

For the terms $n < m \leqslant 2n$ in the second sum on the right-hand side of (17) we may put $m = n + h$, producing a sum of the form

$$(18)\qquad \sum_{1 \leqslant h \leqslant T} \sum_{\max(N,h) < n \leqslant T} \frac{(1 + h/n)^{iT} - (1 + h/n)^{-iT}}{2i(n+h)n \log(1 + h/n)} .$$

To estimate the inner sum over n we shall apply the Vinogradov-Korobov estimate for exponential (zeta) sums. In its original form this says that

$$(19)\qquad \sum_{M < m \leqslant M_1 \leqslant 2M} m^{it} \ll M \exp\left(-\frac{C \log^3 M}{\log^2 t} \right) \left(M_0 \leqslant M \leqslant \frac{1}{2} t, t \geqslant t_0 \right) ,$$

and a proof (with $C = 10^{-5}$) may be found in Ch. 6 of [3]. However, it is easily seen that the method of proof of (19) yields also

$$(20)\qquad \sum_{M < m \leqslant M_1 \leqslant 2M} \left(1 + \frac{h}{m} \right)^{it} \ll M \exp\left(-\frac{C \log^3 M}{\log^2 t} \right) \quad \left(M_0 \leqslant M_1 \leqslant \frac{1}{2} t, t \geqslant t_0 \right)$$

with some absolute $C > 0$, provided that $1 \leqslant h \ll m$. Therefore (20) gives

$$\sum_{N' < n \leqslant N''} \left(1 + \frac{h}{n} \right)^{iT} \ll N' \log^{-4} T \quad (N \leqslant N' < N'' \leqslant 2N' \leqslant T) ,$$

provided that the constant C in (16) is sufficiently large. It follows by partial summation that the sum in (18) is $\ll \log^{-2} T$. Moreover the terms with $m > 2n$ in (17) may be estimated directly by applying (19) to the sum over m, so that (17) becomes

$$(21)\qquad \int_0^T \left| \sum\nolimits_2 + \sum\nolimits_3 \right|^2 du = T \sum_{N < n \leqslant T} n^{-2} + O(\log^{-2} T) .$$

Similarly we find that

$$(22)\qquad \int_{-T}^{T} \sum_{n \leqslant N} n^{-1-iu} \sum_{2N < m \leqslant T} m^{-1+iu} du \ll \log^{-2} T$$

for N given by (16) and C sufficiently large. Now by (15) we have

$$(23)\quad R(T) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-T}^{T} \left| \sum\nolimits_1 + \sum\nolimits_2 + \sum\nolimits_3 \right|^2 du - \zeta(2)T + O(\log\log T)\,,$$

and we shall use the elementary identity

$$\left| \sum\nolimits_1 + \sum\nolimits_2 + \sum\nolimits_3 \right|^2 = \left| \sum\nolimits_1 + \sum\nolimits_2 \right|^2 - \left| \sum\nolimits_2 \right|^2 + \left| \sum\nolimits_2 + \sum\nolimits_3 \right|^2 + 2\mathrm{Re}\left(\sum\nolimits_1 \bar{\sum}\nolimits_3\right).$$

By (9) the first two terms above contribute

$$T \sum_{n \leqslant N} n^{-2} + O(\log N)$$

to (23), while by (21) and (22) the contribution of the third and fourth term will be

$$T \sum_{N < n \leqslant T} n^{-2} + O(\log^{-2} T)\,.$$

Therefore (23) gives

$$\begin{aligned} R(T) &= T \sum_{1 \leqslant n \leqslant T} n^{-2} - \zeta(2)T + O(\log N) + O(\log^{-2} T) \\ &= \zeta(2)T - \zeta(2)T + O(\log N) = O(\log^{2/3} T(\log\log T)^{1/3})\,, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4). With a little more effort one could presumably remove the log log – factor in (4).

To prove (5) it suffices to prove

$$(24)\qquad \int_{T}^{2T} R(t)\,dt = O(T)\,,$$

and then to replace T by $2^{-j}T$ and sum over $j = 1, 2, \ldots$. For this purpose the error term in (15) is too large. Thus we use first (8), (10) and (14) to write, for $T \leqslant t \leqslant 2T$,

$$R(t) = \int_0^t \left(\left| \sum_{n \leqslant T} n^{-1-iu} \right|^2 - \zeta(2) \right) du - 2\mathrm{Re}\left\{ \int_1^t \sum_{n \leqslant T} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{iu} \frac{du}{inu} \right\} + O(1)\,,$$

which is more precise than (15). We square out the first sum above and then integrate termwise, noting that interchanging m and n we have

$$\sum := \sum_{1 \leqslant m \neq n \leqslant T} \frac{1}{imn \log(m/n)} = \sum_{1 \leqslant m \neq n \leqslant T} \frac{1}{inm \log(n/m)} = -\sum\,,$$

hence $\sum = 0$. This gives, for $T \leqslant t \leqslant 2T$,

$$(25)\quad R(t) = \sum_{1 \leqslant m \neq n \leqslant T} \frac{(m/n)^{it}}{imn \log(m/n)} - 2\,\mathrm{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{i}\int_1^t \sum_{n \leqslant T} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{iu} \frac{du}{un}\right\} + O(1)\,.$$

Then we integrate (25) to obtain

$$\int_T^{2T} R(t)\,dt = \sum_{1 \leqslant m \neq n \leqslant T} \frac{(m/n)^{iT} - (m/n)^{2iT}}{mn \log^2(m/n)}$$

$$- 2\,\mathrm{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{i}\int_T^{2T}\int_1^t \sum_{n \leqslant T} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{iu} \frac{du\,dt}{nu}\right\} + O(T)\,.$$

But the modulus of the double sum above does not exceed

$$\sum_{1 \leqslant n < m \leqslant T} \frac{4}{mn \log^2(m/n)} = \sum_{1 \leqslant n < m \leqslant T,\, n < m/2} + \sum_{1 \leqslant n < m \leqslant T,\, n \geqslant m/2}$$

$$\ll \log^2 T + \sum_{n \leqslant T} \sum_{n < m \leqslant 2n} \frac{1}{m^2 \log^2(m/n)} \ll \log^2 T + \sum_{n \leqslant T} \sum_{n < m \leqslant 2n} \frac{1}{(m-n)^2}$$

$$\ll T\,,$$

and it remains to estimate

$$I := \int_T^{2T}\int_1^t \sum_{n \leqslant T} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{iu} \frac{du\,dt}{nu} = \int_T^{2T}\int_1^{\log T} \sum_{n \leqslant T/2} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{iu} \frac{du\,dt}{nu}$$

$$+ \int_T^{2T}\int_{\log T}^t \sum_{n \leqslant T/2} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{iu} \frac{du\,dt}{nu} + \int_T^{2T}\int_1^t \sum_{T/2 < n \leqslant T} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{iu} \frac{du\,dt}{nu}$$

$$= I_1 + I_2 + I_3\,,$$

say. We have

$$I_1 = \sum_{n \leqslant T/2} \frac{1}{n} \int_1^{\log T} \left(\int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{iu} \frac{du}{u}\right) dt$$

$$= \sum_{n \leqslant T/2} \frac{1}{n} \int_1^{\log T} \left\{\frac{(t/n)^{iu}}{iu \log(t/n)}\Bigg|_T^{2T} + \int_T^{2T} \frac{(t/n)^{iu}}{iu^2 \log(t/n)}\,du\right\} dt$$

$$\ll \frac{\log T}{T} \sum_{n \leqslant T/2} \frac{1}{n \log(T/n)} \ll \frac{\log^2 T}{T}\,,$$

$$I_2 = \sum_{n \leqslant T/2} \frac{1}{n} \int_T^{2T} \left\{ \frac{(t/n)^{iu}}{iu \log(t/n)} \Bigg|_{\log T}^{t} + \int_{\log T}^{t} \frac{(t/n)^{iu}}{iu^2 \log(t/n)} \, du \right\} dt$$

$$\ll \sum_{n \leqslant T/2} \frac{T}{n \log(T/n) \log T} \ll T ,$$

$$I_3 = \sum_{T/2 < n \leqslant T} \frac{1}{n} \int_1^{2T} \left(\int_{\max(u,T)}^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{iu} dt \right) \frac{du}{u}$$

$$= \sum_{T/2 < n \leqslant T} \int_1^{2T} n^{-1-iu} \frac{(2T)^{iu+1} - (\max(u,T))^{iu+1}}{u(iu+1)} \, du$$

$$\ll T \sum_{T/2 < n \leqslant T} \frac{1}{n} \ll T ,$$

and (24) follows.

It remains yet to prove (6), which follows from

$$\int_T^{2T} R^2(t)\,dt = O(T(\log\log T)^4) . \tag{26}$$

We use (25) and (11) to obtain

$$R^2(t) \leqslant \sum_{a \neq b \leqslant T, c \neq d \leqslant T} \frac{-2\left(\frac{ad}{bc}\right)^{it}}{abcd \log(a/b) \log(d/c)} + O((\log\log T)^2)$$

$$= \sum_{ad = bc} + \sum_{0 < |\log(ad/bc)| \leqslant T^{-1}\log^4 T} + \sum_{|\log(ad/bc)| > T^{-1}\log^4 T}$$

$$+ O((\log\log T)^2) = S_0 + S_1 + S_2 + O((\log\log T)^2) ,$$

say. On integrating we obtain

$$\int_T^{2T} S_2\,dt$$

$$= 2 \sum_{a \neq b \leqslant T, c \neq d \leqslant T, |\log(ad/bc)| > T^{-1}\log^4 T} \frac{\left(\frac{ad}{bc}\right)^{iT} - \left(\frac{ad}{bc}\right)^{2iT}}{iabcd \log\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \log\left(\frac{d}{c}\right) \log\left(\frac{ad}{bc}\right)}$$

$$\ll \frac{T}{\log^4 T} \left(\sum_{a \neq b \leqslant T} \frac{1}{ab\,|\log(a/b)|} \right)^2 \ll T ,$$

since an elementary argument easily gives

$$\sum_{a \neq b \leqslant T} \frac{1}{ab\,|\log(a/b)|} \ll \log^2 T .$$

The remaining sums S_0 and S_1 are not integrated, but it is sufficient to show that

$$S_0 \ll 1, S_1 \ll (\log\log T)^4 . \tag{27}$$

We have

$$S_0 = \sum_{ad = bc, a \neq b \leqslant T, c \neq d \leqslant T} \frac{1}{abcd \log(a/b) \log(d/c)}$$

$$= \sum_k \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{ad = bc = k, a \neq b \leqslant T} \frac{1}{\log^2(a/b)} = \sum_{a \neq b \leqslant T} \frac{1}{\log^2(a/b)} \sum_{a|k, b|k} \frac{1}{k^2}$$

$$\ll \sum_{a \neq b \leqslant T} \frac{1}{[a,b]^2 \log^2(a/b)} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a \neq b, (a,b) = j} \frac{1}{\log^2(a/b)} \left(\frac{j}{ab}\right)^2$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2} \sum_{a' \geqslant 1, b' \geqslant 1, a' \neq b'} \frac{1}{\log^2(a'/b')\,(a'b')^2} = O(1) .$$

The proof of the other bound in (27) is also elementary, but somewhat more involved. Write

$$S_1 = S_3 + S_4 ,$$

where in S_3 summation is over a, b, c, d such that $1 \leqslant a \neq b \leqslant T$,

$$1 \leqslant c \neq d \leqslant T, |\log a/b| \geqslant T^{-1/4}, |\log c/d| \geqslant T^{-1/4} ,$$

$$0 < \left| \log \frac{ad}{bc} \right| \leqslant T^{-1} \log^4 T ,$$

and in S_4 over the remaining values of a, b, c, d. Thus

$$S_3 \ll T^{1/2} \sum_{a,b,c,d \leqslant T; |\log(ad/bc)| \leqslant T^{-1}\log^4 T} \frac{1}{abcd}$$

$$\ll T^{1/2} \sum_{|\log(k/l)| \leqslant T^{-1}\log^4 T;\, k \neq l \leqslant T^2} \frac{d(k)d(l)}{kl} .$$

Now observe that if $k \neq l \geqslant 1$ are integers, then

$$\left| \log \frac{k}{l} \right| \geqslant \log \frac{l+1}{l} \geqslant \frac{1}{2l} , \tag{28}$$

so that

$$l \geqslant \frac{1}{2} T \log^{-4} T, \quad \frac{|k-l|}{l} \ll \left| \log\left(1 + \frac{k-l}{l}\right) \right| \leqslant T^{-1} \log^4 T .$$

Thus

$$S_3 \ll T^{1/2+\varepsilon} \sum_{T/(2\log^4 T) \leqslant l \leqslant T^2} \frac{1}{l^2} \left(\frac{\log^4 T}{T} + 1 \right) \ll T^{-1/2+2\varepsilon} \ll 1$$

for $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1/4$. In S_4 we have either $|\log(a/b)| \leqslant T^{-1/4}$ or $|\log(d/c)| \leqslant T^{-1/4}$. If the former holds, then

$$\left| \log \frac{d}{c} \right| = \left| \log \frac{ad}{bc} - \log \frac{a}{b} \right| \leqslant 2T^{-1/4} ,$$

so that in S_4 we have $|\log(a/b)| \leqslant 2T^{-1/4}$, $|\log(d/c)| \leqslant 2T^{-1/4}$, and also $a \ll b \ll a, c \ll d \ll c$. Setting $a = b + j_1, c = d + j_2$, we have that $j_1 \ll bT^{-1/4}, j_2 \ll dT^{-1/4}$, and

$$\left| \frac{j_1}{b} - \frac{j_2}{d} \right| \ll \frac{\log^4 T}{T} , \tag{29}$$

since

$$\left| \frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d} \right| = \frac{|ad-bc|}{bd} \ll \frac{|ad-bc|}{bc} \ll \left| \log\left(1 + \frac{ad-bc}{bc}\right) \right|$$

$$= \left| \log \frac{ad}{bc} \right| \leqslant \frac{\log^4 T}{T} .$$

Hence

$$S_4 \ll \sum_{b,d,j_1,j_2}^{*} \frac{1}{bdj_1j_2} ,$$

where $\sum^*$ denotes summation with the conditions $b, d \leqslant T; j_1 \ll bT^{-1/4}$, $j_2 \ll dT^{-1/4}$ and (29) satisfied. We have

$$S_4 = S_5 + S_6 ,$$

where trivially

$$S_5 = \sum_{T\log^{-10} T \leqslant b,d, \leqslant T; j_1, j_2 \leqslant \log^{20} T} \frac{1}{bdj_1j_2} \ll (\log\log T)^4 ,$$

and in S_6 we have (by symmetry) either

i) $j_2 \geqslant \log^{20} T$

or

ii) $d \leqslant T \log^{-10} T$.

From (29) it follows that

$$d - \frac{bj_2}{j_1} \ll \frac{bd \log^4 T}{j_1 T}. \tag{30}$$

Suppose that i) holds. Then $d - bj_2 j_1^{-1} \ll j_1^{-1} b \log^4 T$ from (30), hence the corresponding part of S_6 is

$$\ll \sum_{b \leqslant T} \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j_1 \ll bT^{-1/4}} \frac{1}{j_1} \sum_{j_2 \geqslant \log^{20} T} \frac{1}{j_2} \sum_{|d - bj_2 j_1^{-1}| \leqslant Cbj_1^{-1} \log^4 T} \frac{1}{d}$$

$$\ll \sum_{b \leqslant T} \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j_1 \ll bT^{-1/4}} \frac{1}{j_1} \sum_{j_2 \geqslant \log^{20} T} j_2^{-2} \log^4 T \ll \log^{-4} T.$$

If ii) holds, then (30) gives

$$d - \frac{bj_2}{j_1} \ll \frac{b}{j_1} \log^{-6} T,$$

and the corresponding contribution to S_6 will be again $\ll \log^{-4} T$. This proves (27) and completes the proof of the Theorem.