

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 37 (1991)
Heft: 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: THE EVALUATION OF SELBERG CHARACTER SUMS
Autor: Evans, Ronald J.
Kapitel: §3. Proof of Theorems 1.1, 1.1a, 1.1b
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-58741>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 13.03.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

$$(2.15) \quad \mu_2 := \sum_U \sum_Y \psi \left(-\operatorname{Res}_\infty \frac{U(x)Y(x)}{F(x)} \right) \psi(\beta(Y)) \bar{\tau}(R(V, U))$$

where Y ranges over monic polynomials of degree $D + 1$ over $GF(q)$ (with $D = \deg F$) and U ranges over nonzero polynomials of degree $< D$ over $GF(q)$. Write $k = \deg U$ and

$$(2.16) \quad Y(x) = y_{D+1}x^{D+1} + y_Dx^D + \cdots + y_0, \quad (y_{D+1} = 1).$$

In the notation of (2.11),

$$(2.17) \quad \psi \left(\beta(Y) - \operatorname{Res}_\infty \frac{UY}{F} \right) = \psi \left(y_D^2/2 - y_{D-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k+2} a_{k+2-i} y_{D+1-i} \right).$$

For fixed U , the sum over Y in (2.15) vanishes unless $U(x) = 1$. When $U(x) = 1$, each member of (2.17) equals $\psi(a_2 + a_1 y_D + y_D^2/2)$ with

$$a_1 = -\alpha(F), \quad a_2 = \alpha(F)^2/2 + \beta(F).$$

Therefore

$$(2.18) \quad \mu_2 = q^D \psi(\beta(F)) \sum_{y \in GF(q)} \psi(y^2/2) = q^D \psi(\beta(F)) \phi(2) G((q-1)/2).$$

On the other hand, by the proof of the last formula in [1, §2], we have

$$(2.19) \quad \mu_2 = \varepsilon_2(V) \sigma(F) \bar{\tau}(R(V, F')) \prod_{v|F} G(-\operatorname{ord}_v V)^{\deg v}.$$

Comparison of (2.18) and (2.19) yields (2.8b).

§3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1, 1.1a, 1.1b

Let d denote the order of τ^c . The following lemma gives useful formulas for $P_n(a, b, c)$, $P_n(a, c)$, and $P_n(c)$ in the case $d | n$. The proof of (3.1b) is elementary but for (3.1) and (3.1a) we require the Hasse-Davenport product formula [7, (7)].

LEMMA 3.1. *Let d be the smallest positive integer such that $cd \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$. If $d | n$, then*

$$(3.1) \quad P_n(a, b, c) = \begin{cases} \frac{(-J(ad, bd))^{n/d} \tau(-1)^{c \binom{n}{2}} q^{n-n/d}}{G(c)^n}, & \text{if } ad \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1} \\ & \text{or } bd \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1} \\ \frac{q^{n-2n/d} \tau(-1)^{c \binom{n}{2}}}{G(c)^n}, & \text{if } ad \equiv bd \equiv 0 \\ & \pmod{q-1}, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.1a) \quad P_n(a, c) = \frac{(-\bar{\tau}^{ad}(d)G(ad))^{n/d} \tau(-1)^{c \binom{n}{2}} q^{n-n/d}}{G(c)^n},$$

and

$$(3.1b) \quad P_n(c) = \frac{(-\phi(2d)G((q-1)/2))^{n/d} \tau(-1)^{c \binom{n}{2}} q^{n-n/d}}{G(c)^n}.$$

Proof. By the Hasse-Davenport product formula [7, (7)],

$$(3.2) \quad \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} G(a+jc) = -\bar{\tau}^{ad}(d)G(ad) \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} G(jc).$$

It follows from (1.4) and (3.2) that

$$(3.3) \quad P_d(a, b, c) = \frac{-G(ad)G(bd)\bar{G}(ad+bd)q^{d-2}\tau(-1)^{c \binom{d}{2}}}{G(c)^d}.$$

Thus

$$(3.4) \quad P_d(a, b, c) = \begin{cases} \frac{q^{d-2}\tau(-1)^{c \binom{d}{2}}}{G(c)^d}, & \text{if } ad \equiv bd \equiv 0 \\ & \pmod{q-1} \\ \frac{-J(ad, bd)\tau(-1)^{c \binom{d}{2}} q^{d-1}}{G(c)^d}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As $d \mid n$, we have $P_n(a, b, c) = P_d(a, b, c)^{n/d}$. Since

$$\tau(-1)^{cn(d-1)/2} = \tau(-1)^{c \binom{n}{2}},$$

(3.1) follows. The proof of (3.1a) is similar. If in place of (3.2) one uses the formula

$$(3.5) \quad \phi(d) = \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \frac{G(jc)}{\phi(2)G((q-1)/2)},$$

which is a consequence of quadratic reciprocity, then (3.1b) readily follows. \square

For positive integers n, a, b, c , define the double sums

$$(3.6) \quad Y := \sum_Q \sum_P \tau(Q(0)^a Q(1)^b R(Q^c, P)),$$

$$(3.6a) \quad Y_1 := \sum_Q \sum_P \psi(\alpha(Q)) \tau(Q(0)^a R(Q^c, P)),$$

and

$$(3.6b) \quad Y_2 := \sum_Q \sum_P \psi(\beta(Q)) \tau(R(Q^c, P)),$$

where here and in the sequel, P and Q range over monic polynomials over $GF(q)$ with

$$(3.7) \quad \deg P = n - 1, \quad \deg Q = n.$$

In the next lemma, we evaluate Y, Y_1 , and Y_2 in terms of the Selberg sums $S_n(a, b, c), S_n(a, c)$, and $S_n(c)$, respectively.

LEMMA 3.2. *Assume that $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$ and that for all j with $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, $b + jc \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$. Then*

$$(3.8) \quad Y = \begin{cases} \tau(-1)^{an+c} \binom{n}{2} S_n(a, b, c) G(c)^n / G(cn), & \text{if } d \nmid n \\ \tau(-1)^{an+c} \binom{n}{2} \frac{G(c)^n}{qG(cn)} \{S_n(a, b, c) + (q-1)P_n(a, b, c)\} & \text{if } d \mid n, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.8a) \quad Y_1 = \begin{cases} \tau(-1)^c \binom{n}{2} S_n(a, c) G(c)^n / G(cn), & \text{if } d \nmid n \\ \tau(-1)^c \binom{n}{2} \frac{G(c)^n}{qG(cn)} \{S_n(a, c) + (q-1)P_n(a, c)\}, & \text{if } d \mid n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.8b) \quad Y_2 = \begin{cases} \tau(-1)^c \binom{n}{2} S_n(c) G(c)^n / G(cn), & \text{if } d \nmid n \\ \tau(-1)^c \binom{n}{2} \frac{G(c)^n}{qG(cn)} \{S_n(c) + (q-1)P_n(c)\}, & \text{if } d \mid n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that $d > 1$ by hypothesis. Write

$$(3.9) \quad Y = A + B,$$

where A is the sum over those Q which are not d^{th} powers, and B is the sum over those Q of the form $Q = W^d$ (for monic W with $\deg W = n/d$). Observe that Q is a d^{th} power if and only if $V = Q^c$ is a $(q-1)^{\text{th}}$ power. For those Q for which V is not a $(q-1)^{\text{th}}$ power, there can be a contribution

to A only if Q is squarefree, since $L(t, V)$ is a polynomial of degree $(\deg F - 1)$. Thus

$$A = \sum_{Q \text{ squarefree}} \tau(Q^a(0)Q^b(1)) \varepsilon(Q^c).$$

By (2.8), it follows that

$$(3.10) \quad A = \tau(-1)^{an+c} \binom{n}{2} S_n(a, b, c) G^*(c)^n / G^*(cn).$$

If $d \nmid n$, then Q cannot be a d^{th} power, so $Y = A$. Moreover, if $d \nmid n$, then $cn \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$, so $G^*(c)^n / G^*(cn) = G(c)^n / G(cn)$. This proves (3.8) in the case $d \nmid n$.

Suppose now that $d \mid n$. Then

$$B = \sum_W \tau(W^{ad}(0)W^{bd}(1)) \sum_P \tau(R(W^{cd}, P))$$

where W ranges over monic polynomials over $GF(q)$ of degree n/d . Thus B is the coefficient of $t^{n-1}z^{n/d}$ in

$$\sum_U \tau(U^{ad}(0)U^{bd}(1)) L(t, U^{q-1}) z^{\deg U},$$

where U ranges over all monic polynomials over $GF(q)$. If $bd \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$, then $b + cj \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$ for some j , $0 \leq j \leq d-1$, which contradicts the hypothesis. Thus $bd \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$, so by (2.7),

$$B = (-J(ad, bd))^{n/d} \tau(-1)^{an} q^{n-n/d-1} (1-q).$$

Since $G(cn) = -1$, it follows from (3.1) that

$$(3.11) \quad B = \tau(-1)^{an+c} \binom{n}{2} \frac{G(c)^n}{qG(cn)} P_n(a, b, c) (q-1).$$

By (3.9)-(3.11), the proof of (3.8) is completed. The proofs of (3.8a) and (3.8b) follow similarly. \square

By reversing the order of summation in the double sums Y , Y_1 , and Y_2 , we can express them in terms of $S_{n-1}(a+c, b+c, c)$, $S_{n-1}(a+c, c)$, and $S_{n-1}(c)$, respectively, as the following lemma shows.

LEMMA 3.3. Assume that $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$ and that for all j with $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, $b + jc \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$. Then

$$(3.12) \quad Y = \tau(-1)^{an+c} \binom{n}{2} S_{n-1}(a+c, b+c, c) G(a) G(b) G(c)^{n-1} \\ \cdot \bar{G}(a+b+(n-1)c)/q,$$

$$(3.12a) \quad Y_1 = \tau(-1)^c \binom{n}{2} S_{n-1}(a+c, c) G(a) G(c)^{n-1},$$

and

$$(3.12b) \quad Y_2 = \tau(-1)^c \binom{n}{2} S_{n-1}(c) G(c)^{n-1} \phi(2) G((q-1)/2).$$

Proof. We have

$$(3.13) \quad Y = \sum_P \sum_Q \tau(R(V, Q)),$$

where

$$V = x^a(x-1)^b P^c.$$

By hypothesis, V is not a $(q-1)^{th}$ power, so $L(t, V)$ is a polynomial of degree $(\deg F - 1)$. Thus we may restrict P to be squarefree and prime to $x(x-1)$ (so $\deg F = n+1$), as no other P contribute to Y .

Suppose that $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$. Then V is primitive and (3.13) yields

$$Y = \sum_P \varepsilon(V),$$

so (3.12) follows by (2.8).

Now suppose that $a \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$. Then by (3.13) and (2.6),

$$Y = - \sum_P \varepsilon((x-1)^b P^c) \tau(R((x-1)^b P^c, x)),$$

and again (3.12) follows by (2.8).

To prove (3.12a) and (3.12b), one proceeds similarly, using

$$(3.13a) \quad Y_1 = \sum_P \sum_Q \psi(\alpha(Q)) \tau(R(x^a P^c, Q))$$

and

$$(3.13b) \quad Y_2 = \sum_P \sum_Q \psi(\beta(Q)) \tau(R(P^c, Q))$$

in place of (3.13).

PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1, 1.1a, 1.1b.

To prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to prove that $S_n(a, b, c) = P_n(a, b, c)$ under the assumption

$$b + jc \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1} \quad \text{for all } j \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-1,$$

in view of [10, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2]. Assume also that

$$c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1},$$

since the result has been proved in [5] for $c \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$.

Theorem 1.1 is clear for $n = 1$, so let $n > 1$ and assume as induction hypothesis that

$$S_{n-1}(a+c, b+c, c) = P_{n-1}(a+c, b+c, c).$$

By (3.8) and (3.12), if $d \nmid n$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(a, b, c) &= P_{n-1}(a+c, b+c, c) \frac{G(a)G(b)G(cn)\bar{G}(a+b+(n-1)c)}{qG(c)} \\ &= P_n(a, b, c), \end{aligned}$$

whereas

$$S_n(a, b, c) + (q-1)P_n(a, b, c) = qP_n(a, b, c), \quad \text{if } d \mid n.$$

Thus $S_n(a, b, c) = P_n(a, b, c)$ in both cases, proving Theorem 1.1. The proofs of Theorems 1.1a and 1.1b follow similarly, from (3.8a), (3.12a) and (3.8b), (3.12b) in place of (3.8), (3.12).

REFERENCES

- [1] ANDERSON, G. W. The evaluation of Selberg sums. *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris 311*, Série I (1990), 469-472.
- [2] ANDERSON, G. W. A short proof of Selberg's generalized beta formula. *Forum Math.* 3 (1991), 415-417.
- [3] ASKEY, R. Some basic hypergeometric extensions of integrals of Selberg and Andrews. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 11 (1980), 938-951.
- [4] ASKEY, R. and D. RICHARDS. Selberg's second beta integral and an integral of Mehta. In *Probability, Statistics, and Mathematics*, T. W. Anderson *et al.*, eds., pp. 27-39, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [5] AUTUORE, J. and R. EVANS. Evaluations of Selberg character sums. In *Analytic Number Theory*, B. C. Berndt *et al.*, eds., pp. 13-21, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [6] CELNIKER, N., S. POULOS, A. TERRAS, C. TRIMBLE and E. VELASQUEZ. Is there life on finite upper half planes? (To appear.)
- [7] EVANS, R. Identities for products of Gauss sums over finite fields. *Enseignement Math.* 27 (1981), 197-209.
- [8] ——— A character sum for root system G_2 . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (to appear).