§2. L-FUNCTIONS

Acknowledgement. We are very grateful to G. W. Anderson for helpful correspondence on L-functions and character sums.

§2. L-FUNCTIONS

Throughout this section, V denotes a *monic* polynomial over $GF(q)$, and υ ranges over the distinct monic irreducible factors of V over $GF(q)$. Write

$$V = \prod_{\upsilon | V} \upsilon^{\operatorname{ord}_\upsilon V}, \qquad F = F_V = \prod_{\upsilon | V} \upsilon . \tag{2.1}$$

If no exponent $\operatorname{ord}_\upsilon V$ in (2.1) is divisible by $q-1$, then V is said to be *primitive*. Note that $V = 1$ is primitive. For any monic polynomial

$$W = W(x) = x^n + w_{n-1}x^{n-1} + w_{n-2}x^{n-2} + \cdots + w_0 \tag{2.2}$$

over $GF(q)$, set

$$\alpha(W) = w_{n-1}, \qquad \beta(W) = w_{n-1}^2/2 - w_{n-2} . \tag{2.3}$$

Define the L-functions

$$L(t, V) = \sum_W \tau(R(V, W))t^{\deg W}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$L_1(t, V) = \sum_W \psi(\alpha(W))\tau(R(V, W))t^{\deg W}, \tag{2.4a}$$

$$L_2(t, V) = \sum_W \psi(\beta(W))\tau(R(V, W))t^{\deg W}, \tag{2.4b}$$

where in each sum, W ranges over all monic polynomials over $GF(q)$, and $R(V, W)$ is the resultant of V and W. It is easily checked that

$$\begin{aligned} L(t, 1) &= (1 - qt)^{-1}, \qquad L_1(t, 1) = 1, \\ L_2(t, 1) &= 1 + \phi(2)G((q-1)/2)t . \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Since the summands in (2.4), (2.4a), (2.4b) are multiplicative in W, each of the L-functions has an Euler product expansion. Thus we have the following result.

LEMMA 2.1. *Write $V = GH$ where G and H are monic, relatively prime polynomials over $GF(q)$ with G primitive and H a $(q-1)$th power. Then*

$$L(t, V) = L(t, G) \prod_{\upsilon | H} (1 - \tau(R(G, \upsilon))t^{\deg \upsilon}), \tag{2.6}$$

$$L_1(t, V) = L_1(t, G) \prod_{\upsilon | H} (1 - \psi(\alpha(\upsilon))\tau(R(G, \upsilon))t^{\deg \upsilon}), \tag{2.6a}$$

and

$$L_2(t, V) = L_2(t, G) \prod_{v|H} (1 - \psi(\beta(v))\tau(R(G, v))t^{\deg v}) . \tag{2.6b}$$

The next lemma evaluates certain generating functions defined in terms of the function L (but not L_1 or L_2).

LEMMA 2.2. *For all integers* $a, b > 0$,

$$\sum_W \tau(W^a(0)\, W^b(1))L(t, W^{q-1})z^{\deg W}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \dfrac{1 + \tau(-1)^a J(a, b)z}{(1-qt)\,(1+\tau(-1)^a J(a, b)zt)}, & \text{if } a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1} \\ & \text{or } b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}, \\ \dfrac{(1-z)^2(1-qzt)}{(1-qt)\,(1-qz)\,(1-zt)^2}, & \text{if } a \equiv b \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}, \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\sum_W \psi(\alpha(W^b))\tau(W(0)^a)L(t, W^{q-1})z^{\deg W} = \frac{1 + \bar{\tau}^a(b)G(a)z}{(1-qt)\,(1+\bar{\tau}^a(b)G(a)zt)}, \tag{2.7a}$$

and

$$\sum_W \psi(\beta(W^b))L(t, W^{q-1})z^{\deg W} = \frac{1 + \phi(2b)G((q-1)/2)z}{(1-qt)\,(1+\phi(2b)G((q-1)/2)zt)}, \tag{2.7b}$$

where in each sum, W *ranges over all monic polynomials over* $GF(q)$ *and* α, β *are as defined in (2.3).*

Proof. Fix monic $V = V(x)$ and let w range over monic irreducibles over $GF(q)$. By (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_W \tau(R(V, W))L(t, W^{q-1})z^{\deg W} \\ &= L(t, 1) \sum_W z^{\deg W} \tau(R(V, W)) \prod_{w|W} (1 - t^{\deg w}) \\ &= L(t, 1) \sum_W \prod_{w|W} \{(1 - t^{\deg w})\,(\tau(R(V, w))z^{\deg w})^{\operatorname{ord}_w W}\} \\ &= L(t, 1) \prod_w \left\{1 + (1 - t^{\deg w}) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\tau(R(V, w))z^{\deg w})^m\right\} \\ &= L(t, 1) \prod_w \frac{1 - \tau(R(V, w))\,(zt)^{\deg w}}{1 - \tau(R(V, w))z^{\deg w}} = \frac{L(t, 1)L(z, V)}{L(zt, V)} . \end{aligned}$$

Taking $V = x^a(x-1)^b$, we easily deduce (2.7). The proofs of (2.7*a*) and (2.7*b*) are similar. □

It is shown in [1, Prop. 2.1] that if V is primitive of degree > 0, then $L(t, V)$ is a polynomial in t of degree $(\deg F - 1)$ with leading coefficient

$$\varepsilon(V) = \sigma(F)\tau(R(V,F'))G^*(\deg V)^{-1}\prod_{v|F} G^*(\operatorname{ord}_v V)^{\deg v}, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$G^*(a) := q/G(-a).$$

By (2.6), if V is a $(q-1)$th power, then

$$L(t, V) = (1-qt)^{-1}\prod_{v|V}(1-t^{\deg v}),$$

but otherwise $L(t, V)$ is a polynomial of degree $(\deg F - 1)$. The following lemma shows that for all V, $L_1(t, V)$ and $L_2(t, V)$ are polynomials of degrees $\deg F$ and $\deg F + 1$, respectively. Moreover, for primitive $V \neq 1$, the coefficient $\varepsilon_1(V)$ of $t^{\deg F}$ in $L_1(t, V)$ and the coefficient $\varepsilon_2(V)$ of $t^{1+\deg F}$ in $L_2(t, V)$ are given explicitly.

LEMMA 2.3. *For each monic polynomial V over $GF(q)$, $L_1(t, V)$ and $L_2(t, V)$ are polynomials in t of degrees $\deg F$ and $1 + \deg F$, respectively. If moreover $V \neq 1$ is primitive, the leading coefficients of $L_1(t, V)$ and $L_2(t, V)$ are given by*

$$\varepsilon_1(V) = \psi(\alpha(F))\sigma(F)\tau(R(V, -F'))\prod_{v|F} G^*(\operatorname{ord}_v V)^{\deg v}, \tag{2.8a}$$

and

$$\varepsilon_2(V) = \phi(2)G((q-1)/2)\psi(\beta(F))\sigma(F)\tau(R(V,F'))\prod_{v|F} G^*(\operatorname{ord}_v V)^{\deg v}, \tag{2.8b}$$

respectively, where $G^*(a) = q/G(-a)$.

Proof. Fix an integer $m > \deg F$ and fix $\alpha \in GF(q)$. Since $m > \deg F$, it is not hard to see that the monic polynomials W over $GF(q)$ of degree m with $\alpha(W) = \alpha$ run through each residue class modulo F exactly $q^{m-1-\deg F}$ times. Since $R(V, W)$ depends only on the residue class of W modulo F, the coefficient of t^m in $L_1(t, V)$ thus equals

$$\sum_{\substack{W \text{ monic} \\ \deg W = m}} \psi(\alpha(W))\tau(R(V, W))$$

$$= q^{m-1-\deg F} \sum_{\substack{U \\ \deg U < \deg F}} \tau(R(V, U)) \sum_{\alpha \in GF(q)} \psi(\alpha) = 0 .$$

Therefore $L_1(t, V)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leqslant \deg F$. Similar reasoning with $\beta(W)$ in place of $\alpha(W)$ shows that $L_2(t, V)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leqslant 1 + \deg F$. In view of (2.5), (2.6*a*) and (2.6*b*), it remains to prove (2.8*a*) and (2.8*b*) for primitive $V \neq 1$.

To prove (2.8*a*), consider the double sum

$$\mu_1 := \sum_U \sum_W \psi\left(-\operatorname{Res}_\infty \frac{U(x)W(x)}{F(x)}\right) \psi(\alpha(W))\bar{\tau}(R(V, U)) , \tag{2.9}$$

where $W = W(x)$ ranges over monic polynomials of degree $D := \deg F$ over $GF(q)$ and $U = U(x)$ ranges over nonzero polynomials of degree $< D$ over $GF(q)$. Write $k = \deg U$,

$$W(x) = w_D x^D + w_{D-1}x^{D-1} + \cdots + w_0 , \quad (w_D = 1) , \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\frac{x^k U(1/x)}{x^D F(1/x)} = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots . \tag{2.11}$$

Note that $a_0 \neq 0$ is the leading coefficient of $U(x)$. We have

$$\psi\left(\alpha(W) - \operatorname{Res}_\infty \frac{UW}{F}\right) = \psi\left(w_{D-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a_{k+1-i} w_{D-i}\right) . \tag{2.12}$$

For fixed U, the sum over W in (2.9) thus vanishes unless $U(x) = -1$. When $U(x) = -1$, each member of (2.12) equals $\psi(a_1) = \psi(\alpha(F))$. Therefore

$$\mu_1 = q^{\deg F}\tau(-1)^{\deg V}\psi(\alpha(F)) . \tag{2.13}$$

On the other hand, by the proof of the last formula in [1, § 2] (here primitivity is used), we have

$$\mu_1 = \varepsilon_1(V)\sigma(F)\bar{\tau}(R(V, F')) \prod_{\upsilon | F} G(-\operatorname{ord}_\upsilon V)^{\deg \upsilon} . \tag{2.14}$$

Comparison of (2.13) and (2.14) yields (2.8*a*).

To prove (2.8*b*), consider the double sum

$$\mu_2 := \sum_U \sum_Y \psi\left(-\operatorname{Res}_\infty \frac{U(x)\,Y(x)}{F(x)}\right) \psi(\beta(Y))\bar{\tau}(R(V,U)) \tag{2.15}$$

where Y ranges over monic polynomials of degree $D+1$ over $GF(q)$ (with $D = \deg F$) and U ranges over nonzero polynomials of degree $< D$ over $GF(q)$. Write $k = \deg U$ and

$$Y(x) = y_{D+1}x^{D+1} + y_D x^D + \cdots + y_0\,, \qquad (y_{D+1} = 1)\,. \tag{2.16}$$

In the notation of (2.11),

$$\psi\left(\beta(Y) - \operatorname{Res}_\infty \frac{UY}{F}\right) = \psi\left(y_D^2/2 - y_{D-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k+2} a_{k+2-i}y_{D+1-i}\right)\,. \tag{2.17}$$

For fixed U, the sum over Y in (2.15) vanishes unless $U(x) = 1$. When $U(x) = 1$, each member of (2.17) equals $\psi(a_2 + a_1 y_D + y_D^2/2)$ with

$$a_1 = -\alpha(F)\,, \qquad a_2 = \alpha(F)^2/2 + \beta(F)\,.$$

Therefore

$$\mu_2 = q^D\psi(\beta(F)) \sum_{y \in GF(q)} \psi(y^2/2) = q^D\psi(\beta(F))\phi(2)G((q-1)/2)\,. \tag{2.18}$$

On the other hand, by the proof of the last formula in [1, §2], we have

$$\mu_2 = \varepsilon_2(V)\sigma(F)\bar{\tau}(R(V,F')) \prod_{\upsilon|F} G(-\operatorname{ord}_\upsilon V)^{\deg \upsilon}\,. \tag{2.19}$$

Comparison of (2.18) and (2.19) yields (2.8*b*).

§3. Proof of Theorems 1.1, 1.1*a*, 1.1*b*

Let d denote the order of τ^c. The following lemma gives useful formulas for $P_n(a,b,c)$, $P_n(a,c)$, and $P_n(c)$ in the case $d \mid n$. The proof of (3.1*b*) is elementary but for (3.1) and (3.1*a*) we require the Hasse-Davenport product formula [7, (7)].

Lemma 3.1. *Let d be the smallest positive integer such that $cd \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$. If $d \mid n$, then*