

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 37 (1991)
Heft: 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ON THE AVERAGE BEHAVIOUR OF THE LARGEST DIVISOR OF n
PRIME TO A FIXED INTEGER k
Autor: PÉTERMANN, Y.-F. S.
Kapitel: 1. Proof of Theorem 1
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-58739>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 06.03.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

$$H(k, x) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\gamma_k(n)}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left\{ \frac{x}{n} \right\} \right)$$

then

$$(0.14) \quad H(k, x) = H(k, [x]) - \frac{k}{\sigma(k)} \{x\} + o(1),$$

and

LEMMA 0. *We have*

$$(0.15) \quad S_k = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} H(k, n).$$

Proof. In view of (0.13), (0.14), and the definition of $H(k, x)$, it is sufficient to show that

$$(0.16) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty, N \in \mathbf{N}} H(k, N) = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} H(k, n).$$

When $k = 1$ this is easily verified; when $k \geq 2$ and $N \in \mathbf{Z}$ we define for each positive integer i the positive integer $N_i := (|N| + 1)k^i + N$ and we see, since

$$(0.17) \quad \sum_{m \nmid k^i} \frac{\gamma_k(m)}{m} \rightarrow 0 \quad (i \rightarrow \infty),$$

and since for every divisor m of k^i we have $\{N_i/m\} = \{N/m\}$, that

$$(0.18) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H(k, N_i) = H(k, N). \quad \square$$

1. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We first set some terminology. Let $g: [1, \infty] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a measurable function, and consider as in [P1]

$$(1.1) \quad D_0(u) = D_{0,g}(u) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \mu \{t \in [0, x], g(t) \leq x\},$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad D_0(u^+) := \lim_{\substack{v \rightarrow u \\ v \in E}} D_0(v), \quad D_0(u^-) := \lim_{\substack{v \rightarrow u \\ v \in E}} D_0(v),$$

where μ denotes the Lebesgue measure and E the set of values for which D_0 exists. In case D_0 exists almost everywhere we say, following A. Wintner [W, p. 537], that g possesses an asymptotic distribution function. If (and only if) this is so we define an associated function $D = D_g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$(1.3) \quad D(u) := \frac{1}{2} (D_0(u^+) + D_0(u^-)) .$$

And it is this function D we call *the asymptotic distribution function of g* . The convention is of course abusive²⁾; we point out however that D_0 exists and coincides with D at least wherever D is continuous (which, since D is a distribution function, is the case almost everywhere).

The first two statements of Theorem 1, $D = D_h$ exists and is continuous, are proved through a straightforward application of two theorems from [P1].

Indeed, it is easy to see that

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \gamma_k(n) = O((\log x)^{\omega(k)}) = o(x) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

holds, and that for any function $z = z(x) \rightarrow \infty$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$) (and in particular for a slowly increasing function), we have

$$(1.5) \quad H(k, x) = \sum_{n \leq z} \frac{\gamma_k(n)}{n} \left(-\psi \left(\frac{x}{n} \right) \right) + o(1) ,$$

where $\psi(y)$ denotes the function $\{y\} - \frac{1}{2}$ which satisfies

$$(1.6) \quad \int_0^1 \psi(t) dt = 0 .$$

In the notation of [P1] the properties (1.4) through (1.6) are expressed by writing $h \in C_z(\psi_k, -\psi)$. Thus from Theorem 4 of that paper we have the existence of D_h . And since ψ is odd almost everywhere Theorem 5 of [P1] tells us that D_h is symmetric.

We pass now to the third assertion of the theorem, namely that $I_k = -S_k$. We denote by S the bounded support of D_h and by $-s$ and s its

²⁾ Its purpose is to ensure that D be *normalized*, i.e. that the relation

$$D(u) = \frac{1}{2} (D(u^+) + D(u^-))$$

hold for every real number u .

greatest lower bound and least upper bound: we have $I_k \leq -s < s \leq S_k$. We show that

$$(1.7) \quad I_k = -s = -S_k$$

holds by ensuring that

$$(1.8) \quad 0 < D_h(\alpha) < 1 \quad \text{for every } \alpha \in (I_k, S_k).$$

We prove here that $D_h(S_k - \varepsilon) < 1$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$; the rest of the proof is similar. There is an increasing sequence of natural numbers n_i with $H(k, n_i) \rightarrow S_k$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$), and thus we may select some natural number N satisfying

$$(1.9) \quad H(k, N) > S_k - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n > N} \frac{|\gamma_k(n)|}{n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Hence if we define

$$(1.11) \quad H^*(k, N, M) := \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{\gamma_k(n)}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left\{ \frac{M}{n} \right\} \right).$$

we have

$$(1.12) \quad H^*(k, N, N) > S_k - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Also, if L is the least common multiple of the integers $1, 2, \dots, N$, then

$$(1.13) \quad H^*(k, N, mL + N) = H^*(k, N, N)$$

for every integer m , and it follows from (1.12) and (1.10) that

$$(1.14) \quad H(k, mL + N) > S_k - \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}$$

for every integer m . Now since $D_{0,h}$ exists and coincides with D_h almost everywhere we can find two numbers β and γ satisfying

$$(1.15) \quad S_k - \varepsilon \leq \beta < \beta + \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \leq \gamma \leq S_k - \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}$$

and

$$(1.16) \quad D_h(\delta) = D_{0,h}(\delta) \quad (\delta = \beta \text{ or } \gamma).$$

In view of (0.14) this implies that

$$(1.17) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 - D_h(S_k - \varepsilon) &\geq D_h\left(S_k - \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}\right) - D_h(S_k - \varepsilon) \\ &\geq D_h(\gamma) - D_h(\beta) = D_{0,h}(\gamma) - D_{0,h}(\beta) \geq \frac{1}{L} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \cdot \frac{\sigma(k)}{k}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark. I studied in [P2] an error term associated with the k -th Jordan totient function (for $k \geq 2$), that can be expressed in terms of the function

$$(1.18) \quad g_k(x) := - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^k} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right),$$

where μ denotes the Moebius function, and I proved by a direct method that

$$(1.19) \quad \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} g_k(x) = - \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} g_k(x).$$

This can also be obtained by an argument similar to the above proof.

2. THE CASE $\omega(k) = 2$

In this section we obtain an estimate more general than (0.10) of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 2'. *Let $k = pq$ where $p < q$ and p and q are prime numbers, and let $d = q - ps$ with $1 \leq d \leq p - 1$ be the remainder of the Euclidean division of q by p . Then we have*

$$(2.1) \quad S_k \geq \frac{k}{\sigma(k)} + \frac{1}{(p+1)} - \frac{pd}{(p+1)(q+1)} + \frac{(p+1)(p-2)(q-1)}{p^2q}.$$

The right side of (2.1) is easily seen to exceed $k/\sigma(k)$ for any p and q . And in the special case where $p = 2$ it reduces to $\left(q - \frac{1}{3}\right)/(q+1)$.

Proof. Let N be a positive integer. We define, modulo $p^N q^N$, the integer $x = x_N$ by the system of congruences

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} x \equiv -1(p^N) \\ x \equiv -d-1(q^N). \end{cases}$$