

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 36 (1990)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: MANIN'S PROOF OF THE MORDELL CONJECTURE OVER FUNCTION FIELDS
Autor: Coleman, Robert F.
Anhang: Appendix: Chai's proof of the Theorem of the Kernel
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57915>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 06.03.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Lemma 3.1.1, using the fact that height is stable under the action of G , the subset T_1 of T consisting of elements t for which there exists a $\sigma \in G$ and an $i, 0 \leq i \leq n$, such that $f_{i,t^\sigma} \neq 0$ is of bounded height.

Let $T_2 = T - T_1$. Clearly, T_2 is stable under G . Moreover, $f_{i,t} = 0$ for all $t \in T_2$. That is,

$$\mu_{\eta_i}(r', t) = \sum z_{i,j} \mu_{\omega_j}(r, h(t)) .$$

In particular, $\mu_{\eta_i}(r', t^\sigma) = \mu_{\eta_i}(r', t)$ for $t \in T_2$ and $\sigma \in G$. On the other hand,

$$\mu_{\eta_i}(r', t^\sigma) = \mu_{\eta_i}(r', r'^\sigma) + \mu_{\eta_i}(r'^\sigma, t^\sigma) = \mu_{\eta_i}(r', r'^\sigma) + \mu_{\eta_i} \sigma(r', t)$$

by (II, 1.1) and Lemma 3.3.1. It follows that

$$\mu_{\omega - \omega^\sigma}(r', t) = \mu_{\omega}(r', r'^\sigma)$$

for all $\omega \in \omega_{B/S}$, $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(X/Y)$ and $t \in T_2$. Let $t_0 \in T_2$. By (II, 1.1) we conclude that $\mu_{\omega - \omega^\sigma}(t_0, t) = 0$ for all $\omega \in \omega_{B/S}$, $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(X/Y)$ and $t \in T_2$. But $\{\omega - \omega^\sigma : \omega \in \omega_{B/S}, \sigma \in \text{Gal}(X/Y)\}$ spans $\omega_{B/S}$ over K by the definition of B . Corollary 3.3.4, applied to the morphism $X \rightarrow B$, implies T_2 is a set of bounded height. But this implies that $X(S)$ is a set of points of bounded height. This contradiction completes the proof of Mordell's conjecture for function fields. \square

APPENDIX: CHAI'S PROOF OF THE THEOREM OF THE KERNEL

In this appendix, we give Chai's proof of Manin's Theorem of the Kernel, Theorem 2.1.0 above and explain how Manin used it to prove the function field Mordell conjecture. Let notation be as in Section II. As explained in that Section, the theorem follows from the assertion:

$$(A1) \quad N(e, s) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad w \circ N(e, s) = 0.$$

Let $H = H_{DR}^1(A/S)$. For a subconnection D of H , let \tilde{D} denote the pullback of $H_{DR}^1(A/S, Z)$ to D . As (A1) is stable under fiber products and isogenies (see Proposition 1.3.2), (A1) is a consequence of the following theorem, taking $D = [W]$.

PROPOSITION A1.1. (Chai). *Suppose A/S is irreducible and not isotrivial. Let D be a non-trivial subconnection of H . Then the extension \tilde{H} of H of connections splits iff the extension \tilde{D} of D does.*

Proof. The only if direction is clear.

For the other direction, we may, without loss of generality, suppose $K = \mathbf{C}$. Fix $q \in S(\mathbf{C})$. For an integrable connection D on S . Let D_q denote the fiber of D at q and $G(D)$ denote the Zariski closure of the image of the monodromy group at q of D in $\text{End}_{\mathbf{C}}(D_q)$.

Let $N(D)$ denote the kernel of the natural map from $G(\tilde{D})$ to $G(D)$. The group $G(D)$ acts naturally by conjugation on $N(D)$. Moreover, since H is an extension of H by \mathbf{C} , (recall (e, s) determines a basis) on which $G(\tilde{H})$ acts trivially and we have a natural $G(D)$ -equivariant pairing $(\ , \) : N(D) \times D \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ given by $(n, d) = n(d) - d$. Hence we have a commutative diagram

$$(A2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N(H) & \rightarrow & H^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N(D) & \rightarrow & D^* \end{array}$$

where the right arrow is the natural surjection.

Now suppose that the extension \tilde{D} of D splits. Then $N(D) = 0$ since $G(D)$ acts trivially on \mathbf{C} . By the Poincaré lemma the map of $\tilde{G}(H)$ modules $\tilde{H}_q \rightarrow H_q$ is defined over \mathbf{Q} . It follows from [D-H; Corollaire 4.4.15] that H_q^* is an irreducible representation. Hence $N(H) = 0$ or $N(H)$ surjects onto H_q^* . In the latter case, it follows from (A2) that $N(D)$ surjects onto D_q^* but this implies $D_q^* = (0)$, a contradiction. Thus $N(H) = 0$. This implies $G(H)$ acts on the exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_q \rightarrow H_q \rightarrow 0 .$$

As $G(H)$ is semi-simple by [D-H; Corollaire 4.2.9] we see that this sequence splits as well. This implies that the horizontal sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_S \rightarrow \tilde{H} \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$$

splits by [D-SR; Proposition 1.3, Theorem 2.23 and Theorem 5.9]. \square

By replacing Proposition 2.1.2 by Theorem 2.1.0 in the proof of Lemma 3.3.3 one obtains:

COROLLARY A1.2. *The conclusions of Proposition 2.1.2 and Lemma 3.3.3 are true without the assumption that $[W_{A/S}] = H_{DR}^1(A/S)$.*

Now we give Manin’s proof of Proposition 3.4.1 using Theorem 2.1.0. This was the only place in [M], where this theorem was needed. This proof does not use Siegel’s Theorem.

Let notation be as in Section 3.4. Siegel's theorem was not used until the last paragraph of the proof of Proposition 3.4.1. Therefore we may assume C' is affine, $W(S)$ contains a set T' of unbounded height and we have a derivation $\tilde{\delta}$ on W such that $t^*\tilde{\delta}x = \delta(t^*x)$ for all $x \in K[W]$.

It follows from Lemma 2.2.3 that for each $\mu \in PF$, there exists an $x_\mu \in K[S]$ such that

$$\mu(t) = t^*x_\mu.$$

Lemma 3.3.2 implies that $\{\mu(t) - x_\mu : t \in T''\}$ is contained in a finite dimensional K -linear subspace of $K(C)$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1.1, $\mu(t) = x_\mu$ for all $\mu \in PF$ and all t in the complement T''' of a finite subset of T'' . (We use here that PF is finitely generated over \mathcal{D}_S .) Fix $t_0 \in T'''$. Then $\mu(t_0, t) = 0$ for all $t \in T''$ and all $\mu \in PF$. This contradicts the above corollary and thus proves Proposition 3.4.1.