

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 36 (1990)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: MANIN'S PROOF OF THE MORDELL CONJECTURE OVER FUNCTION FIELDS
Autor: Coleman, Robert F.
Kapitel: 4. Proof of Mordell's conjecture
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57915>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 18.03.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

LEMMA 3.3.3. *Suppose A is an Abelian scheme over S such that $[W_{A/S}] = H_{DR}^1(A/S)$ and $g: C \rightarrow A$ is a non-constant morphism over S . Fix $s \in C(S)$. Then the set $T = \{t \in C(S) : (g^*\mu)(s, t) = 0 \text{ for all } \mu \in PF(A/S)\}$ is of bounded height.*

Proof. Let A' denote the smallest Abelian subscheme of A over S containing $g(C)$. Since the map $g^*: PF(A/S) \rightarrow PF(A'/S)$ is surjective and $[W_{A/S}] = H_{DR}^1(A/S)$, it follows from Proposition 2.1.2 that $g(T)$ is contained in a translation of the group of constant sections of A'/S . Hence, $g(T)$ is a set of bounded height. Finally, since $C \rightarrow g(C)$ is a finite morphism, it follows that T is a set of bounded height. \square

In particular,

COROLLARY 3.3.4. *Suppose A is an Abelian scheme over S such that $\kappa_{A/S}$ is an isomorphism and $g: C \rightarrow A$ is a non-constant morphism over S . Fix $s \in C(S)$. Then the set $\{t \in C(S) : (g^*\mu_\omega)(s, t) = 0 \text{ for all } \omega \in \omega_{A/S}\}$ is of bounded height.*

4. PROOF OF MORDELL'S CONJECTURE

PROPOSITION 3.4.1. *Suppose the kernel of the $\kappa_{C/S}$ has rank at least 2 over $K[S]$, then the points of $C(S)$ have bounded height.*

Proof. Suppose $C(S)$ contains points of arbitrarily large height. Fix $s \in C(S)$. By shrinking S , if necessary, we may suppose that there exists a function $z \in K[S]$ such that $\Omega_S^1 = K[S]dz$ and there exists a finite covering \mathcal{L} of C by affine opens U and functions $v_U \in \mathcal{O}_C(U)$ such that $s \in U(S)$, and $\Omega_C^1(U)$ is spanned by dz and dv_U . We may also suppose that $s^*v_U = 0$ by replacing v_U with $v_U - (s \circ f)^*v_U$ if necessary. For $U \in \mathcal{L}$, $u \in \mathcal{O}_C(U)$ we define $\partial_{U,z}u$ and $\partial_{U,v}u$ by the equation

$$du = \partial_{U,z}udz + \partial_{U,v}udv_U.$$

Then $\partial_{U,z}$ is a lifting of $\partial = : \partial/\partial z$. We set $\mu(t) = \mu(s, t)$ for

$$\mu \in PF = : PF(C/S)$$

and $t \in C(S)$.

Let ω_1 and ω_2 be two independent elements in the kernel of $\kappa_{C/S}$. It follows that there exist ω'_1 and $\omega'_2 \in \omega_{C/S}$ such that

$$\partial[\omega'_i] = [\omega'_i].$$

Hence $\mu_i = \partial \otimes \omega_i - 1 \otimes \omega'_i$ is in PF . For $U \in \mathcal{L}$ let $w_{U,i}$ and $u_{U,i}$ be elements of $\mathcal{L}_C(U)$ such that

$$\partial_{U,z}\omega_i - \omega'_i = d_{C/S}w_{U,i},$$

$s^*w_{U,i}$ and $\omega_i = u_{U,i}d_{C/S}v_U$ on U . Let T denote the set of $t \in C(S)$ such that $t \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $t^*v_U \neq 0$ for all U in \mathcal{L} . This is the complement of a finite subset. For $t \in T$

$$(4.1) \quad \mu_i(t) = t^*(w_{U,i}) + t^*(u_{U,i})\partial t^*(v_U)$$

for all $U \in \mathcal{L}$, by Corollary 2.2.4.

For $t \in T, U \in \mathcal{L}$ let

$$h_{U,t} = u_{U,2}\mu_1(t) - u_{U,1}\mu_2(t) - (u_{U,2}w_{U,1} - u_{U,1}w_{U,2}).$$

We deduce from (4.1) that $t^*h_{U,t} = 0$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3.2, the set of functions $h_{U,t}$ lies in a subspace of $\mathcal{L}_C(U)$ of finite dimension over K . It follows from Lemma 3.1.1 that $h_{U,t} = 0$ for all t in a subset T' of T of unbounded height. Fix $t_0 \in T'$, and set $c_i = \mu_i(t_0)$, then it follows that

$$u_{U,2}(\mu_1(t) - c_1) - u_{U,1}(\mu_2(t) - c_2) = 0$$

for all $t \in T'$. Now since ω_1 and ω_2 are independent over $K[S]$, $u_{U,1}$ and $u_{U,2}$ are independent over $K(S)$ and so we must have

$$\mu_i(t) = c_i$$

for all $t \in T'$. Let $z_{U,i} = u_{U,i}^{-1}(c_i - w_{U,i})$. Let T'' denote the subset of T' such that $t^*u_{U,1} \neq 0$ and $t^*u_{U,2} \neq 0$ for all $U \in \mathcal{L}$. This is the complement of a finite subset of T . For $t \in T''$

$$(4.2) \quad t^*z_{U,i} = \partial t^*v_U$$

for all $U \in \mathcal{L}$. This implies that $z_{U,1} = z_{U,2}$ since T'' is infinite. Set $z_U = z_{U,1}$.

Set $u_U = u_{U,1}$ and $w_U = w_{U,1}$. On $U \cap V$,

$$dv_V = g_{U,V}dz + f_{U,V}dv_U$$

for some $g_{U,V} \in \mathcal{L}_C(U)$ and $f_{U,V} \in \mathcal{L}_C(U \cap V)^*$. It follows that

$$u_U = f_{U,V}u_V, \quad \partial_{U,v}g_{U,V} = \partial_{V,z}f_{U,V} \quad \text{and} \quad w_U = w_V + u_Vg_{U,V}.$$

Hence

$$z_U = f_{U,V}z_V - g_{U,V}.$$

Hence, we may define a divisor Y which on U is the polar divisor of z_U . (It is clear that the support of Y is contained in the intersection of the supports of the divisors of ω_1 and ω_2 .) Let $C' = C - Y$, $U' = U \cap C'$ for $U \in \mathcal{L}$, $v_{U'} = v_U|_{U'}$ etc. Then the above implies that we may define a lifting $\tilde{\delta}$ of δ to $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{C'/K})$ such that on U' ,

$$\tilde{\delta}v_{U'} = z_{U'}.$$

If $Y = \emptyset$, this implies that $\kappa_{C/S}$ is zero and hence that C/S is isoconstant. This contradicts de Franchis' theorem. Thus $Y = \emptyset$.

It follows from (4.2) that $t \cap Y = \emptyset$ for all $t \in T''$. In particular, Y has no vertical components. But this contradicts the function field analogue of Siegel's theorem [L-IP] since T'' is a set of unbounded height. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Remark. In the appendix we will present Manin's original proof of this proposition which uses Theorem 2.1.0 and does not use Siegel's theorem. To this end, we point out that it follows from (4.2) that

$$(4.3) \quad t^*\tilde{\delta}x = \delta(t^*x)$$

for all $x \in K[C']$ and $t \in T''$.

We will now complete the proof of the function field Mordell conjecture. The argument here is essentially the same as that in Manin's paper except that we found it necessary to be more careful about the choice of base points. Suppose C/S is a curve over S such that $C(S)$ contains points of arbitrarily large height. Let $(\{C_n\}, \{h_{m,n}\})$ be the projective system as described in §3.2 such that $C_1 = C$ and $C_n(S)$ contains points of arbitrarily large height. From the previous proposition, we know that the rank of the kernel of the $\kappa_{C_n/S}$ is at most one. Since these ranks grow with n , by replacing C with C_n for appropriate n , we may suppose these ranks are all equal. Set $h_m = h_{m,1}$.

By shrinking S , we may suppose that there exists a $z \in K[S]$ such that dz spans Ω_S^1 over $k[S]$. Let $\partial = \partial/\partial z$.

Let J_n denote the Jacobian of C_n and $A_n = J_n/h_n^*J_1$. It follows that $\kappa_{A_n/S}$ is an isomorphism. We identify $\omega_{A_n/S}$ with its image via an Albanese pullback in $\omega_{C_n/S}$. Recall that in these circumstances we have a Picard-Fuchs equation $\mu_\omega = : \mu_{\partial, \omega}$ attached to $\omega \in \omega_{A_n/S}$.

Fix an $s \in C(S)$. By shrinking S if necessary, we may suppose there is an affine open U of C such that $s \in U(S)$ and there exists an element v of $\mathcal{O}_C(U)$ such that $\Omega_{C/S}^1(U)$ is spanned by $d_{C/S}v$ over $\mathcal{O}_C(U)$ and, $s^*v = 0$. Recall, for $u \in \mathcal{O}_C(U)$ we defined $\partial_z u$ and $\partial_v u$ by

$$du = \partial_z u dz + \partial_v u dv .$$

Now suppose $n \geq 1$ and S' is an étale (not necessarily finite) connected open of S such that $C'_n(S')$ contains a point r lying over s . Let $C'_n = C_n \times S'$ and $A'_n = A_n \times S'$. We will abuse notation for the moment and let z and v denote their pullbacks to S' and C'_n respectively. Let $h'_n: C'_n \rightarrow C'_1$ denote the pullback of h_n . Let U' denote the inverse image of U in C'_1 . We set $U_n = h'^{-1}_n(U')$. Then since h'_n is unramified, dz and dv span $\Omega^1_{C'_n}(U_n)$. In these circumstances we have a K -linear map $L_{z,v,r}: \omega_{A'_n/S'} \rightarrow K(C_n)^4$ described in Corollaries 2.2.4 and 2.2.5.

Let n, S', r be such that the dimension of the $K(C_n)$ -span of the image of $L_{z,v,r}$ is maximal over all such triples. Call that dimension R . Now fix $m > n$ and replace S with an étale open of S' such that, C_m is Galois over C_n with Galois group G and there exists an $r' \in C_m(S)$ above r . Let $w = h^*_n v, h = h_{m,n}$ and let $Y = C_n$ and $X = C_m$. Our hypotheses imply, in particular, that $X(S)$ is of unbounded height. Let $B = J_m/h^*J_n$. Then, $\kappa_{B/S}$ is an isomorphism. The module, $\omega_{B/S}$ injects naturally into $\omega_{X/S}$ and we identify it with its image.

Let η_1, \dots, η_n be a $K(S)$ -basis for $\omega_{B/S}$. Let $L = L_{z,h^*w,r}$. As $L \circ h^* = L_{z,w,r}$ our maximality hypothesis implies that $L(h^*\omega_{A_n/S}) \subseteq L(\omega_{A'_n/S})K(X)$ and so there exist elements $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_R \in \omega_{A_n/S}$ and elements $z_{ij} \in K(X)$ such that

$$L(\eta_i) = \sum z_{i,j} L(h^*\omega_j) .$$

Let

$$T = \{t \in X(S) : t \cap U_m \neq \emptyset, t^*w \neq 0\} .$$

The complement of T in $X(S)$ is finite. In particular, in the notation of Corollary 2.2.5, since $V_{z,h^*w}(t) = V_{z,w}(h(t)), t \in T$ and $L(h^*\omega) = L_{z,w,r}(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \omega_{A_n/S}$, by Corollary 2.2.5

$$\mu_{\eta_i}(r', t) = \sum t^*z_{i,j} \mu_{h^*\omega_j}(r', t) = \sum t^*z_{i,j} \mu_{\omega_j}(r, h(t)) .$$

for $t \in T$. Let

$$f_{i,t} = \mu_{\eta_i}(r', t) - \sum z_{i,j} \mu_{\omega_j}(r, h(t)) .$$

We see that $t^*f_{i,t} = 0$ and Lemma 3.3.2 implies that the set

$$\{f_{i,t} : 0 \leq i \leq k, t \in T\}$$

is contained in a finite dimensional K subspace of $K(X)$. Hence by

Lemma 3.1.1, using the fact that height is stable under the action of G , the subset T_1 of T consisting of elements t for which there exists a $\sigma \in G$ and an $i, 0 \leq i \leq n$, such that $f_{i,t^\sigma} \neq 0$ is of bounded height.

Let $T_2 = T - T_1$. Clearly, T_2 is stable under G . Moreover, $f_{i,t} = 0$ for all $t \in T_2$. That is,

$$\mu_{\eta_i}(r', t) = \sum z_{i,j} \mu_{\omega_j}(r, h(t)) .$$

In particular, $\mu_{\eta_i}(r', t^\sigma) = \mu_{\eta_i}(r', t)$ for $t \in T_2$ and $\sigma \in G$. On the other hand,

$$\mu_{\eta_i}(r', t^\sigma) = \mu_{\eta_i}(r', r'^\sigma) + \mu_{\eta_i}(r'^\sigma, t^\sigma) = \mu_{\eta_i}(r', r'^\sigma) + \mu_{\eta_i} \sigma(r', t)$$

by (II, 1.1) and Lemma 3.3.1. It follows that

$$\mu_{\omega - \omega^\sigma}(r', t) = \mu_{\omega}(r', r'^\sigma)$$

for all $\omega \in \omega_{B/S}$, $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(X/Y)$ and $t \in T_2$. Let $t_0 \in T_2$. By (II, 1.1) we conclude that $\mu_{\omega - \omega^\sigma}(t_0, t) = 0$ for all $\omega \in \omega_{B/S}$, $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(X/Y)$ and $t \in T_2$. But $\{\omega - \omega^\sigma : \omega \in \omega_{B/S}, \sigma \in \text{Gal}(X/Y)\}$ spans $\omega_{B/S}$ over K by the definition of B . Corollary 3.3.4, applied to the morphism $X \rightarrow B$, implies T_2 is a set of bounded height. But this implies that $X(S)$ is a set of points of bounded height. This contradiction completes the proof of Mordell's conjecture for function fields. \square

APPENDIX: CHAI'S PROOF OF THE THEOREM OF THE KERNEL

In this appendix, we give Chai's proof of Manin's Theorem of the Kernel, Theorem 2.1.0 above and explain how Manin used it to prove the function field Mordell conjecture. Let notation be as in Section II. As explained in that Section, the theorem follows from the assertion:

$$(A1) \quad N(e, s) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad w \circ N(e, s) = 0 .$$

Let $H = H_{DR}^1(A/S)$. For a subconnection D of H , let \tilde{D} denote the pullback of $H_{DR}^1(A/S, Z)$ to D . As (A1) is stable under fiber products and isogenies (see Proposition 1.3.2), (A1) is a consequence of the following theorem, taking $D = [W]$.

PROPOSITION A1.1. (Chai). *Suppose A/S is irreducible and not isotrivial. Let D be a non-trivial subconnection of H . Then the extension \tilde{H} of H of connections splits iff the extension \tilde{D} of D does.*