Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 36 (1990)

Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: MANIN'S PROOF OF THE MORDELL CONJECTURE OVER FUNCTION FIELDS

Autor: Coleman, Robert F.

Kapitel: 1. Extensions of connections

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57915

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 16.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

where the sum runs over all intersections U of $i+1$ distinct elements of $\mathscr{U}$. Let $\check{\partial}: C^i(\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{S}^j) \to C^{i+1}(\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{S}^j)$ be the Čech co-boundary. We also have boundaries $d: C^i(\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{S}^j) \to C^i(\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{S}^{j+1})$.

Now let

$$C^n(\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{S}^\cdot) = \oplus\, C^p(\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{S}^q)$$

where the sum runs over $p+q=n$. For $c \in C^n(\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{S}^\cdot)$, we let $c^{p,q}$ denote its p, q-th component. The hyper-coboundary

$$\partial: C^n(\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{S}^\cdot) \to C^{n+1}(\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{S}^\cdot)$$

is defined as follows: For $c \in C^n(\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{S}^\cdot)$, we set

$$(\partial c)^{p,q} = dc^{p-1,q} + (-1)^{p-1}\check{\partial} c^{p,q-1}\ .$$

Then the hypercohomology of $\mathscr{S}$ with respect to $\mathscr{C}$, $\mathbf{H}^\cdot(S, \mathscr{S}^\cdot, \mathscr{C})$, is defined to be $\mathrm{Ker}(\partial)/\mathrm{Image}(\partial)$ and $\mathbf{H}^\cdot(S, \mathscr{S}^\cdot)$ is defined to be an appropriate limit of these groups over all ordered covers. In particular, if S is a scheme, $\mathscr{S}^\cdot$ is a complex of coherent sheaves and $\mathscr{C}$ is an affine open cover, then $\mathbf{H}^\cdot(S, \mathscr{S}^\cdot)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbf{H}^\cdot(S, \mathscr{S}^\cdot, \mathscr{C})$. If in addition S is affine $\mathbf{H}^\cdot(S, \mathscr{S}^\cdot) \cong H^\cdot(\Gamma(\mathscr{S}^\cdot))$.

1. Extensions of connections

Let S be smooth connected scheme over a field K of characteristic zero. Suppose (H, ∇_H) and (G, ∇_G) are integrable connections on S. The set of isomorphism classes of integrable extensions of (H, ∇_H) by (G, ∇_G) forms a group under Baer sum which we will call $\mathrm{Ext}(H, G)$.

Proposition 1.1.1. $\mathrm{Ext}(H, G) \cong H^1(G \otimes \check{H}, \nabla_G \otimes \check{\nabla}_H)$.

Proof. Since ∇_H is integrable, H is locally free. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an ordered affine open cover of S such that $H(U)$ is a free $\mathscr{O}_S(U)$-module for each $U \in \mathscr{C}$. Suppose we have an extension

$$0 \to (G, \nabla_G) \to (E, \nabla) \to (H, \nabla_H) \to 0$$

of connections. Let $U \in \mathscr{C}$. Since $H(U)$ is free, there exists an $\mathscr{O}_S(U)$-module section $s_U: H(U) \to E(U)$. Now let $h_U = \nabla \circ s_U - s_U \circ \nabla_H$. We claim that h_U is an $\mathscr{O}_S(U)$-module homomorphism from $H(U)$ into $\Omega^1_S \otimes G(U)$, i.e. an element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_S}(H, \Omega^1_S \otimes G)(U)$. Indeed, for $f \in \mathscr{O}_S(U)$ and $v \in H(U)$,

$$h_U(fv) = \nabla(s_U(fv)) - s_U(\nabla_H(fv)) = \nabla(fs_U(v)) - s_U(df \otimes v + f\nabla_H v)$$
$$= df \otimes s_U(v) - f\nabla(s_U(v)) - (df \otimes s_U(v) + fs_U(\nabla_H v)) = fh_U(v) .$$

Let $s_{U,V} = s_U - s_V \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_S}(H, G)(U \cap V)$. We claim that $(\{h_U\}, \{s_{U,V}\})$ is a hyper one-cocycle for the complex $(\Omega_S^{\cdot} \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_S}(H, G), \nabla_{H,G})$. First it is clear that $\{s_{U,V}\}$ is a one-cocycle for the sheaf $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_S}(H, G)$. Second

$$\nabla_G \circ s_{U,V} - s_{U,V} \circ \nabla_H = \nabla \circ (s_U - s_V) - (s_U - s_V) \circ \nabla_H = h_U - h_V .$$

Finally, since

$$\nabla \circ \nabla \circ s_U = \nabla \circ s_U \circ \nabla_H + \nabla \circ h_U = h_U \circ \nabla_H + \nabla_G \circ h_U = \nabla_{H,G}(h_U) ,$$

(using Lemma 1.0.1) ∇ is integrable iff $\nabla_{H,G}(h) = 0$.

Moreover, suppose $\{s'_U\}$ is another collection of sections

$$s'_U : H(U) \to E(U), \quad h'_U = \nabla' \circ s'_U - s'_U \circ \nabla$$

and $s'_{U,V} = s'_U - s'_V$. Then $r_U = s'_U - s_U \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_S}(H, G)$ and

$$h'_U = h + \nabla \circ r_U - r_U \circ \nabla_H = h + \nabla_G \circ r_U - r_U \circ \nabla_H = h + \nabla_{H,G}(r_U) .$$

And so $(\{h_U\}, \{s_{U,V}\}) - (\{h'_U\}, \{s'_{U,V}\})$ is the hyper-boundary of $\{r_U\}$. Thus we get a natural map from

$$\mathrm{Ext}(H, \mathscr{O}_X) \quad \text{into} \quad H^1(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_S}(H, G), \nabla_{H,G}) \cong H^1(G \otimes \check{H}, \nabla_G \otimes \check{\nabla}_H) .$$

It is easy to see that this map is a homomorphism.

We can make a map back as follows. Given a hyper-cocycle $(\{h_U\}, \{s_{U,V}\})$ for the complex $(\Omega_S^{\cdot} \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_S}(H, G), \nabla_{H,G})$, let E be the sheaf determined by the condition that $E(U) = G(U) \oplus H(U)$ with gluing data

$$(w, v) \to (w + s_{U,V}, v)$$

on $U \cap V$. We then put a connection ∇ on E by setting

$$\nabla(w, v) = (\nabla_G w + h_U(v), \nabla_H v)$$

for local sections w and v of G and H on U. One can check easily that E is an extension of H by G and that this construction gives the inverse to the map above. $\square$

COROLLARY 1.1.2. $\mathrm{Ext}(H, \mathscr{O}_S)$ *is a* K *vector space and hence is uniquely divisible.*

COROLLARY 1.1.3. *Suppose* S *is affine and* S' *is a non-empty affine open of* S. *Then* $\mathrm{Ext}(H, \mathscr{O}_S)$ *injects into* $\mathrm{Ext}(H \otimes \mathscr{O}_{S'}, \mathscr{O}_{S'})$.

We note that taking duals yields an isomorphism between $\mathrm{Ext}(G, H)$ and $\mathrm{Ext}(\check{H}, \check{G})$. Also, upon identifying $(\check{G})^{\vee}$ with G, $\check{\nabla}_{\check{G}} = \nabla_G$.

LEMMA 1.1.4. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}(H, G) & \to & H^1(G \otimes \check{H}, \nabla_G \otimes \check{\nabla}_H) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Ext}(\check{G}, \check{H}) & \to & H^1(\check{H} \otimes G, \check{\nabla}_H \otimes \nabla_G) \end{array}$$

anti-commutes, where the horizontal arrows are the isomorphisms given by the proposition and the right vertical arrow is the evident one.

Proof. Since the assertion is local, we may suppose H and G are free. Suppose (E, ∇) is an extension of H by G and $s: H \to E$ is a section. Then $h = \nabla \circ s - s \circ \nabla_H$ is an element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(H, \Omega^1_S \otimes G)$ which represents the image of the isomorphism class of E in

$$H^1(\mathrm{Hom}(H, G), \nabla_{H,G}) \cong H^1(G \otimes \check{H}, \nabla_G \otimes \check{\nabla}_H) .$$

The image k of h in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\check{G}, \Omega^1_S \otimes \check{H})$ is determined by

$$k(w)(v) = w(h(v)) = w((\nabla \circ s - s \circ \nabla_H)(v))$$

where v is a section of H and w is a section of $\check{G}$.

Now $(\check{E}, \check{\nabla})$ is an extension of $\check{G}$ by $\check{H}$ and the homomorphism t determined by

$$t(w)(e) = w(e - s \circ \pi(e))$$

is a section, where $\pi: E \to H$ is the projection, e is a section of E and w is a section of $\check{G}$. Hence, $g = \check{\nabla} \circ t - t \circ \nabla_{\check{G}}$ is an element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\check{G}, \Omega^1_S \otimes \check{H})$ which represents the image of the isomorphism class of $\check{E}$ in

$$H^1(\mathrm{Hom}(\check{G}, \check{H}), \nabla_{\check{G}, \check{H}}) .$$

Now

$$g(w)(v) = (\check{\nabla} \circ t - t \circ \nabla_{\check{G}})(w)(e)$$

where $e = s(v)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\nabla} \circ t(w)(e) &= d(w(e - s(\pi(e)) - w(\nabla(e) - s(\pi(\nabla(e))))) \\ &= -w(\nabla \circ s(v) - s \circ \nabla_H(v)) = -k(w)(v) \end{aligned}$$

since $\pi(s(v)) = v$ and $\pi\nabla(e) = \nabla_H(\pi(e))$. The lemma now follows from

$$(t \circ \nabla_G^\vee)(w)(e) = \nabla_G^\vee(w)(e - s(\pi(e)) = 0 . \quad \square$$

Suppose W is an $\mathscr{O}_S$ submodule of H. We let [W] denote the smallest subconnection of H containing W.

2. The Gauss-Manin connection

Here we will recall the definition and some basic properties of the Gauss-Manin connection which we will need in this paper. For more details see [K-O]. If $\mathscr{S}^\cdot$ is a complex, $\mathscr{S}^\cdot(k)$ will denote the complex obtained from $\mathscr{S}^\cdot$ by setting $\mathscr{S}^i(k) = \mathscr{S}^{i+k}$. For any scheme Y over K will let $K[Y]$ denote $\Gamma(\mathscr{O}_Y)$.

Suppose S is a smooth connected affine scheme over K. Suppose $f: X \to S$ is a smooth morphism, Z is a closed subscheme of X, smooth over S. Suppose T is either $\mathrm{Spec}(K)$ or S. Then we define the subcomplex $\Omega^\cdot_{X/T,Z}$ of $\Omega^\cdot_{X/T}$ by the exactness of the sequence.

$$0 \to \Omega^\cdot_{X/T,Z} \to \Omega^\cdot_{X/T} \to \Omega^\cdot_{Z/T} \to 0 .$$

When $T = \mathrm{Spec}(K)$ we drop it from the notation. It follows that $\Omega^i_{X/S,Z} = \Omega^i_{X/S}$ for $i > \dim_S Z$. Note that $\Omega^0_{X,Z} = \Omega^0_{X/S,Z}$ is the sheaf of ideals of Z on X. We define $H^i_{DR}(X/S, Z)$ to be the i-th hypercohomology group of the complex $\Omega^\cdot_{X/S,Z}$. We set $H^i_{DR}(X/S) = H^i_{DR}(X/S, \varnothing)$. If X is affine, then $H^i_{DR}(X/S, Z)$ is the i-th cohomology group of the complex of $K[S]$ modules $\Gamma(\Omega^\cdot_{X/S,Z})$. If X is affine, K has characteristic zero and U is a dense open subscheme of X then the natural map from $H^i_{DR}(X/S, Z)$ to $H^i_{DR}(U/S, U \cap Z)$ is an injection.

From the last short exact sequence with $T = S$, we obtain a long exact sequence

$$(2.1) \qquad \ldots \to H^{i-1}_{DR}(Z/S) \to H^i_{DR}(X/S, Z) \to H^i_{DR}(X/S) \to \ldots$$

The Gauss-Manin connection $\nabla: H^i_{DR}(X/S, Z) \to \Omega^1_S \otimes H^i_{DR}(X/S, Z)$ is the boundary map in the long exact sequence obtained by taking hypercohomology of the short exact sequence of complexes:

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \to f^*\Omega^1_S \otimes \Omega^\cdot_{X/S,Z}(-1) \to \Omega^\cdot_{X/S,Z}/f^*\Omega^2_S \otimes \Omega^\cdot_X(-2) \to \Omega^\cdot_{X/S,Z} \to 0$$

(which is exact because X and Z are smooth over S). It is an integrable connection. If K has characteristic zero and f is surjective and has geometrically connected fibers, then $H^0_{DR}(X/S) = K[S]$ and the Gauss-Manin