Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 36 (1990)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: EXTERIOR ALGEBRAS AND THE QUADRATIC RECIPROCITY LAW
Autor: Rousseau, G.
Rubrik
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57910

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 06.03.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Zolotarev's Theorem. Finally in 4 we show how the main propositions can be formulated in terms of operations on ordered sets rather than in the framework of exterior algebras.

1. The exterior algebra $\Lambda(M)$ of a module M (see, for example, [6]) satisfies the skew-commutative property $a \wedge b = -b \wedge a$ $(a, b \in M)$. It follows that for any permutation σ of $1, 2, \ldots, m$,

$$\wedge_{i=1}^{m} a_{\sigma(i)} = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \wedge_{i=1}^{m} a_i .$$

If a_i $(i = 1, \ldots, m)$ and b_j $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$ are module elements then

$$\wedge_{i=1}^{m} a_i \wedge \wedge_{j=1}^{n} b_j = (-1)^{mn} \wedge_{j=1}^{n} b_j \wedge \wedge_{i=1}^{m} a_i . \tag{3}$$

Also it is clear that

$$\text{if } \wedge_{i=1}^{m} \wedge_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j} = \wedge_{i=1}^{m} \wedge_{j=1}^{n} b_{i,j} \text{ then } \wedge_{j=1}^{n} \wedge_{i=1}^{m} a_{i,j} = \wedge_{j=1}^{n} \wedge_{i=1}^{m} b_{i,j} ,$$

while

$$\text{if } \wedge_{i=1}^{m} \wedge_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j} = \wedge_{j=1}^{n} \wedge_{i=1}^{m} b_{i,j} \text{ then } \wedge_{j=1}^{n} \wedge_{i=1}^{m} a_{i,j} = \wedge_{i=1}^{m} \wedge_{j=1}^{n} b_{i,j} .$$

In the first case, in passing from the antecedent equation to the consequent equation the permutation undergone by the elements on the left is equal to that undergone by the elements on the right; in the second case it is inverse.

The Jacobi symbol may be defined independently of the notion of quadratic residue as follows ([9], [5], [3]). If n is an integer which is relatively prime to the odd positive integer m, then the mapping

$$\pi_{nm}(i) = ni \bmod m \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1)$$

is a permutation of the set $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1\}$; we define the symbol $(n|m)$ to be the signature of this permutation,

$$(n|m) = \operatorname{sgn}(\pi_{nm}) . \tag{4}$$

It follows from the definition that

$$\text{if } n \equiv n' \pmod m \text{ then } (n|m) = (n'|m) . \tag{5}$$

Also, since $\pi_{nn'm} = \pi_{nm}\, \pi_{n'm}$, we have

$$(nn'|m) = (n|m)\ (n'|m) . \tag{6}$$

Using (3) and the fact that m is odd, we see that since the permutation $i \to i + r \bmod m$ interchanges the first r of the numbers $0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ with the last $m - r$ it has signature $(-1)^{r(m-r)} = 1$. It follows that each linear permutation $i \to ni + r \bmod m$ has signature $(n|m)$.

2. To prove that (1) and (2) are equivalent when m and n are odd and relatively prime, we consider permutations μ_j and ν_i defined by

$$\mu_j(i) = ni + j \bmod m \quad (i = 0, \ldots, m-1; j = 0, \ldots, n-1),$$
$$\nu_i(j) = i + mj \bmod n \quad (j = 0, \ldots, n-1; i = 0, \ldots, m-1).$$

We have

$$\Lambda_{i=0}^{m-1} \Lambda_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{\mu_j(i),j} = \Lambda_{j=0}^{n-1} \Lambda_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{i,\nu_i(j)}$$

because both sides are equal to $\Lambda_{k=0}^{mn-1} a_{k \bmod m, k \bmod n}$. It follows that

$$\Lambda_{j=0}^{n-1} \Lambda_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{\mu_j(i),j} = \Lambda_{i=0}^{m-1} \Lambda_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{i,\nu_i(j)}.$$

The left side is

$$\Lambda_{j=0}^{n-1} (n|m) \Lambda_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{i,j} = (n|m)^n \Lambda_{j=0}^{n-1} \Lambda_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{i,j},$$

while the right side is

$$\Lambda_{i=0}^{m-1} (m|n) \Lambda_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{i,j} = (m|n)^m \Lambda_{i=0}^{m-1} \Lambda_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{i,j}.$$

Thus

$$(n|m)^n \Lambda_{j=0}^{n-1} \Lambda_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{i,j} = (m|n)^m \Lambda_{i=0}^{m-1} \Lambda_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{i,j}.$$

From this it is clear that (2) implies (1), and the converse implication is obtained if the $a_{i,j}$ are taken to be basis elements of a free module.

Formula (1) may easily be proved by induction using (3), or even more simply by observing that the permutation which transforms the pairs (i, j) from lexicographic (row) order to dual-lexicographic (column) order inverts the order in which (i, j) and (i', j') appear just when both

(i) $\quad i < i' \quad \text{or} \quad (i = i' \text{ and } j < j'), \quad \text{and}$

(ii) $\quad j > j' \quad \text{or} \quad (j = j' \text{ and } i > i');$

since this condition is equivalent to $i < i'$ and $j > j'$, the number of inversions is $\binom{m}{2}\binom{n}{2}$, as required.

3. The permutation π_{-1m} leaves 0 fixed and transforms the numbers $1, \ldots, m-1$ to reverse order, so in view of the evident formula

$$\Lambda_{i=1}^{n} a_i = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \Lambda_{i=n}^{1} a_i \tag{7}$$

we have (on putting $n = m - 1$) the first supplementary law,

$$(8) \qquad (-1|m) = (-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}.$$

As is well known, formulas (2), (5), (6) and (8) suffice for the calculation of the Jacobi symbol, and the other standard properties can be deduced easily from them (cf. [3], [2]). However they may also be proved directly by the present methods by suitably adapting the arguments in [2] and [4]. One can also readily establish by the present methods Schur's generalisation of the Zolotarev-Frobenius-Lerch Theorem, according to which, for odd m, a k-dimensional integral linear transformation A, considered as a transformation of the k-tuples of residues modulo m, has signature $(\det(A)|m)$ (cf. [8], [4], [2]).

In 1 we defined the Jacobi symbol independently of the notion of quadratic residue. The crucial connection is established by Zolotarev's Theorem [9]:

$$(9) \qquad nRp \quad \text{iff} \quad (n|p) = 1 \qquad (2 \nmid p \nmid n).$$

To prove this we may use the formula

$$(n|p) = \operatorname{sgn}(\pi_{np}) = \prod_{i>i'} \frac{\pi_{np}(i) - \pi_{np}(i')}{i - i'}.$$

Calculating modulo p, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(n|p) &\equiv \prod_{i>i'} (ni - ni')/(i - i') = \prod_{i>i'} n \\ &= n^{p(p-1)/2} \equiv n^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p},\end{aligned}$$

and so (9) follows by Euler's criterion.

4. We have seen that the theory of quadratic residues may be deduced from three propositions of exterior algebra, namely (1), (3) and (7). These essentially combinatorial propositions may also be formulated in terms of ordered sets.

If E and F are linearly ordered sets (supposed disjoint) then as is known there are two sums, $E + F$ and $F + E$, defined on the union, and two products, $E \,.\, F$ and $F \,.\, E$, defined on the Cartesian product; also one considers the dual or opposite, E^*, defined on the same base set as E. If E and F are finite then $E + F \cong F + E$, $E \,.\, F \cong F \,.\, E$ and $E^* \cong E$, but in each case one may ask what is the signature of the (uniquely determined) isomorphism, considered as a permutation of the base set. The answers are contained in the following three propositions, which correspond to (7), (3) and (1) respectively.