Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 35 (1989)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: HURWITZ-RADON MATRICES AND PERIODICITY MODULO 8
Autor: Eckmann, Beno
Kapitel: 2. The reduced -representation ring
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57365

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 16.10.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

The values of d_s^O follow immediately from the I_s and the d_s^U. The values n_0 for the case O, as given in the Introduction, are the d_s^O.

2. The reduced ε-representation ring

2.1. For all $s \geqslant 0$ the group G_s is the subgroup of G_{s+1} obtained by omitting the generator a_{s+1}; let $h_s: G_s \to G_{s+1}$ be the embedding homomorphism. Via h_s we can restrict an ε-representation of G_{s+1} to G_s, which in terms of HR-matrices means omitting A_{s+1}.

Let $h_s^*: D_{s+1}^U \to D_s^U$ be the corresponding homomorphism of Grothendieck groups, and $E_s^U = D_s^U/h_s^* D_{s+1}^U$ the "reduced" groups; similarly $E_s^O = D_s^O/h_s^* D_{s+1}^O$. They can easily be computed by means of the characters of ε-representations, as follows.

For Q and D the character of an irreducible unitary ε-representation is 0 except on 1 and ε. For C and K it is $\neq 0$ on all 4 elements; on the essential generator ($\neq \varepsilon$) of C it is $+i$ or $-i$ for the two inequivalent representations, and $+1$ or -1 in the case of K. For G_s, s even, we infer from the table (2) that the character is 0 except on $1, \varepsilon$. For G_s, s odd, the character is 0 except on $1, \varepsilon$ and two further elements $z, \varepsilon z$; on these the two inequivalent ε-representations differ just by the sign of the character.

If s is even, $d_{s+1}^U = d_s^U = 2^{s/2}$; thus the restriction of an irreducible ε-representation must be irreducible, whence $h_s^* D_{s+1}^U = D_s^U$, $E_s^U = 0$. If s is odd, $d_{s+1}^U = 2d_s^U = 2^{(s+1)/2}$; thus the restriction is the sum of two irreducible ε-representations, and since the character is 0 (except on $1, \varepsilon$) these two must be inequivalent. Therefore $h_s^* D_{s+1}^U$ is the "diagonal" of $D_s^U = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$, and $E_s^U = \mathbf{Z}$; its generator ρ_s is represented by either of the two inequivalent irreducible ε-representations of G_s, $-\rho_s$ by the other one.

In the orthogonal case the E_s^O are computed similarly from (3). Since $d_1^O = 2$ and $d_0^O = 1$, the restriction from D_1^O to D_0^O yields twice the generator, and $E_0^O = \mathbf{Z}/2$; the same argument holds for $s \equiv 0 \bmod 8$, $d_{s+1}^O = 2d_s^O$. Since $d_2^O = 4$ and $d_1^O = 2$, we get $E_1^O = \mathbf{Z}/2$. From $d_3^O = d_2^O = 4$ we get $E_2^O = 0$. As for $s = 3$, the character argument shows that $h_3^* D_4^O =$ diagonal of $D_3^O (= \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z})$, and $E_3^O = \mathbf{Z}$. For $s = 4, 5, 6$ the dimensions $d_{s+1}^O = d_s^O$ show that $E_4^O = E_5^O = E_6^O = 0$. For $s = 7$, the character argument yields $h_7^* D_8^O =$ diagonal of $D_7^O (= \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z})$, and $E_7^O = \mathbf{Z}$. Finally one has, for all s, $E_{s+8}^O \cong E_s^O$.

These results are summarized in the table

(4)

s	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	...
E_s^U	0	$\mathbf{Z}$	0	$\mathbf{Z}$	0	$\mathbf{Z}$	0	$\mathbf{Z}$	0	$\mathbf{Z}$	
E_s^O	$\mathbf{Z}/2$	$\mathbf{Z}/2$	0	$\mathbf{Z}$	0	0	0	$\mathbf{Z}$	$\mathbf{Z}/2$	$\mathbf{Z}/2$	

According to the Bott periodicity theorems the above table is just that of the $\pi_s(U)$ and $\pi_s(O)$, $s = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Before studying the relation as stated in Theorem A we establish product structures in the reduced Grothendieck groups of ε-representations, i.e., of HR-matrices.

2.2. We consider HR-matrices $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_s \in U(n)$ and put, for

$$x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_s) \in \mathbf{R}^{s+1}$$

and $A_0 = E_n$ ($n \times n$ unit matrix)

$$f(x) = \sum_0^s x_j A_j .$$

For all x with $|x| = 1$, $f(x)$ is a unitary matrix: this is, as mentioned in the Introduction, precisely the meaning of the HR-matrix relations (1).

Let further $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_t \in U(m)$ be HR-matrices, and for

$$y = (y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_t) \in \mathbf{R}^{t+1}, \quad B_0 = E_m,$$

$$g(y) = \sum_0^t y_k B_k ;$$

$g(y) \in U(m)$ for all y with $|y| = 1$. We define F by

$$F(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} f(x) \otimes E_m & E_n \otimes g(y) \\ -E_n \otimes \overline{g(y)}^T & \overline{f(x)}^T \otimes E_m \end{pmatrix} .$$

One immediately checks that $F(x, y)\bar{F}^T(x, y) = (|x|^2 + |y|^2)E_{2nm}$. Thus $F(x, y) \in U(2nm)$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{s+t+2}$ with $|x|^2 + |y|^2 = 1$. Since the coefficient matrix of x_0 is E_{2nm} the coefficient matrices of $x_1, \ldots, x_s, y_0, \ldots, y_t$ constitute a set of $s + t + 1$ HR-matrices $\in U(2nm)$. They are, explicitly,

(5) $$\begin{pmatrix} A_j \otimes E_m & 0 \\ 0 & -A_j \otimes E_m \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_{nm} \\ -E_{nm} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_n \otimes B_k \\ E_n \otimes B_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $j = 1, \ldots, s$ and $k = 1, \ldots, t$. In other words, we have a product of ε-representations of G_s and G_t

$$D_s^U \times D_t^U \xrightarrow{\cup} D_{s+t+1}^U .$$

Since addition in D_s^U is by the direct sum of ε-representations this product is clearly distributive. Associativity (up to equivalence) is easily checked. We thus get a ring structure in $D_*^U = \bigoplus_{-1}^{\infty} D_s^U$; we have added the term $D_{-1}^U = \mathbf{Z}$ generated by the ring unit. The ring D_*^U is graded if the grading is by $s + 1$ for D_s.

From the HR-matrices (5) of the product one notes that if one of the two factors is restricted from D_*^U so is the product; i.e., $h*D_*^U$ is a (graded) ideal in D_*^U, and we get a (graded) ring structure in $D_*^U/h*D_*^U = E_*^U$.

The same procedure yields, of course, a (graded) ring structure in $E_*^O = \bigoplus_{s=-1}^{\infty} E_s^O$, with grading $s + 1$ for E_s^O. In 2.3 and 2.4 below these rings are described explicitly.

Remark 2.1. An easy computation shows that the rings E_*^U and E_*^O are anticommutative with respect to the grading, i.e., commutative except for the factor $(-1)^{(s+1)(t+1)}$. This will not really be used since the E_s^U and E_s^O are all 0, $\mathbf{Z}$ or $\mathbf{Z}/2$. We just note that in the case $\mathbf{Z}$, with generator ρ_s, $-\rho_s$ is given by the other equivalence class of irreducible ε-representations, see 2.1.

2.3. The ring E_*^U.

The generator ρ_s of E_s^U, given by an irreducible unitary ε-representation of G_s, has degree $2^{s/2}$ if s is even, $2^{(s-1)/2}$ if s is odd. The product $\rho_s\rho_t \in E_{s+t+1}^U$ has degree

$$\begin{array}{lll} 2^{(s+t+2)/2} & \text{if} & s \text{ and } t \text{ are even}, \\ 2^{(s+t+1)/2} & \text{if} & s \text{ is even, } t \text{ odd, or vice-versa}, \\ 2^{(s+t)/2} & \text{if} & s \text{ and } t \text{ are odd}. \end{array}$$

Thus, unless both s and t are even, the product is irreducible, i.e., $\rho_s\rho_t = \pm\, \rho_{s+t+1}$. After choice of $\rho_1 \in E_1^U$ we can choose $\rho_3 = \rho_1^2$, $\rho_5 = \rho_1\rho_3 = \rho_3\rho_1 = \rho_1^3, \ldots$, and for all odd $s = 2r - 1$, $\rho_s = \rho_1^r$; for even s, $E_s^U = 0$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The product with* $\rho_1 \in E_1^U$ *is an isomorphism* $E_s^U \cong E_{s+2}^U$ *for all* s. *For odd* $s = 2l - 1$ *we choose*

$$\rho_{2l-1} = \rho_1^l,\ l = 1, 2, 3, \ldots .$$

THEOREM 2.3. *E_*^U is the polynomial ring* $\mathbf{Z}[\rho_1]$.

2.4. THE RING E_*^O.

We denote by σ_s the generator of E_s^O ($= 0$ if $s \equiv 2, 4, 5, 6$ modulo 8; determined up to sign if $s \equiv 3, 7$ modulo 8 where $E_s^O = \mathbf{Z}$).

The generator ρ_7 $(= \rho_1^4) \in E_7^U$ can be given by a real ε-representation of degree 8 which we can use as generator $\sigma_7 \in E_7^O$. The ring homomorphism $\Phi: E_*^O \to E_*^U$ induced by the embedding $O \to U$, $\Phi(\sigma_7) = \rho_7$, is thus an isomorphism $E_7^O \cong E_7^U$. In E_*^O the degree of $\sigma_7\sigma_s \in E_{s+8}^O$ is $16d_s^O = d_{s+8}^O$. Hence $\sigma_7\sigma_s$ is irreducible, i.e., $= \pm\, \sigma_{s+8}$ for all s. In particular we can choose $\sigma_{15} = \sigma_7^2$, $\sigma_{23} = \sigma_7^3, \ldots, \sigma_{8r-1} = \sigma_7^r$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *The isomorphism $E_s^O \cong E_{s+8}^O$ can be given by the product with $\sigma_7 \in E_7^O$.*

PROPOSITION 2.5. *$\sigma_7 \in E_7^O$ generates a subring of E_*^O which is the polynomial ring* $\mathbf{Z}[\sigma_7]$.

We further note that $\sigma_3 \in E_3^O$ is mapped by Φ to $2\rho_3 \in E_3^U$. From $\Phi(\sigma_3^2) = 4\rho_3^2 = 4\rho_7 = \Phi(4\sigma_7)$ we infer that $\sigma_3^2 = 4\sigma_7$. As for $\sigma_0 \in E_0^O$, it is of degree 1 and order 2, and $\sigma_0^2 \in E_1^O$ is of degree 2 and order 2, i.e., $\sigma_0^2 = \sigma_1$. Of course $\sigma_0^3 = 0$.

In summary:

THEOREM 2.6. *E_*^O is the commutative ring, graded by $s + 1$ for E_s^O, generated by $\sigma_0, \sigma_3, \sigma_7$ with the only relations $2\sigma_0 = 0$, $\sigma_0^3 = 0$, $\sigma_3^2 = 4\sigma_7$.*

3. THE HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF U AND O

3.1. We will deal explicitly with the unitary case. The orthogonal case can be treated in almost exactly the same way; any additional arguments will be mentioned wherever necessary.

In the Introduction 0.1 we associated with a set of s unitary $n \times n$ HR-matrices, i.e., with an ε-representation of G_s, a map $f: S^s \to U$ of the s-sphere $S^s \subset \mathbf{R}^{s+1}$ into the infinite unitary group U via $U(n)$. Since conjugation is homotopic to the identity, equivalent representations yield homotopic maps f (in the orthogonal case, we have to observe that conjugation can be made with a matrix from the identity component). The map $\phi: D_s^U \to \pi_s(U)$ thus obtained is a homomorphism; indeed, homotopy group addition of f and f' in $\pi_s(U(n))$ can be replaced by multiplication in