

## §5. Type 3 case

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **35 (1989)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **27.09.2024**

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

### **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

$$\tau(F) + F_*\tau(i_0) = \tau(j_0) + j_{0*}\tau(Id)$$

(see [M1, Lemma 7.8]). Here  $F$ ,  $j_0$ , and  $Id$  are all simple homotopy equivalences; so these Whitehead torsions vanish. Hence it follows that  $\tau(i_0) = 0$ , because  $F_*: Wh(\pi_1(U')) \rightarrow Wh(\pi_1(E(L \times I)))$  is an isomorphism. This means that  $U'$  is an  $s$ -cobordism. Therefore  $(S^{n+2}, K) \in I_0(M, L)$  by Lemma 1.6. Q.E.D.

### § 5. TYPE 3 CASE

In this section we treat the case where  $\langle m \rangle$  or  $[m]$  is of order  $p$  ( $p$  is not necessarily a prime number). We begin with

LEMMA 5.1. *Suppose  $[m]$  is of order  $p$ . Then if  $(S^{n+2}, K) \in I(M, L)$ , then  $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$  is a homotopy  $(n+2)$ -sphere.*

*Proof.* Let  $r$  be the order of  $\text{Tor } H_1(M-L; \mathbf{Z})$ , and let  $\gamma$  be the canonical epimorphism  $\pi_1(M-L) \rightarrow H_1(M-L; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_r$ . Since the order of  $\gamma(\langle m \rangle)$  is  $p$ , we obtain the desired result by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1. Q.E.D.

If  $p \geq 2$ , there are infinitely many knots  $(S^{n+2}, K)$  such that  $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$  is not a homotopy  $(n+2)$ -sphere; so Lemma 5.1 shows that  $I(M, L) \subsetneq \mathcal{K}_n$  for such  $(M, L)$ .

The rest of this section is devoted to looking for a non-trivial knot in  $I(M, L)$  or  $I_0(M, L)$ . We will extend Proposition 3.6 and 4.2 to the case where  $\langle m \rangle$  is of order  $p$ . Lemma 5.1 reminds us of counterexamples to the generalized Smith conjecture.

Let  $(S^{n+2}, K)$  be an  $n$ -knot which bounds a disk pair  $(D^{n+3}, D)$  such that  $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$  is a homotopy  $(n+3)$ -disk. Since  $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$  is the boundary of  $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$ ,  $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$  is a homotopy  $(n+2)$ -sphere. If  $n+3 \geq 5$ , then  $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$  is diffeomorphic to  $D^{n+3}$  and hence  $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^{n+2}$ .

The  $p$ -fold branched cyclic covering  $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$  supports a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -action with the branch set  $D$  as the fixed point set. Let  $E(D)_p$  be the exterior of  $D$  in  $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$  and let  $\rho: S^1 \rightarrow E(D)_p$  be an equivariant embedding of a meridian of  $D$  in  $E(D)_p$ , where the standard free  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -action is considered on  $S^1$ . Since  $\rho$  is a homology equivalence and equivariant, the Whitehead torsion of  $\rho$  is defined in  $Wh(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ . Clearly it is independent of the choice of  $\rho$ ; so we shall denote it by  $\tau_p(D^{n+3}, D)$ .

The following theorem is an extension of Proposition 3.6.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Suppose  $\langle m \rangle$  is of order  $p$  ( $p$  may be equal to 1) for  $(M^{n+2}, L^n)$  and  $n \geq 4$ . Then  $(S^{n+2}, K) \in I_0(M, L)$  if it bounds a disk pair  $(D^{n+3}, D)$  such that*

$$(1) \quad (D^{n+3}, D)_p \text{ is diffeomorphic to } D^{n+3},$$

$$(2) \quad \mu_* \tau_p(D^{n+3}, D) = 0,$$

where  $\mu_*: Wh(\mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow Wh(\pi_1(M-L))$  is the homomorphism induced from a homomorphism  $\mu: \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \pi_1(M-L)$  sending a generator of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  to  $\langle m \rangle \in \pi_1(M-L)$ .

*Remark 5.3.* (1) For each  $p$ , there are infinitely many  $n$ -knots satisfying the conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 5.2. For example the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -orbit spaces of Sumners' knots [R, p. 347] (which are counterexamples to the generalized Smith conjecture) are the desired knots. In fact,  $\tau_p(D^{n+3}, D) = 0$  for them.

(2) If  $p = 1, 2, 3, 4,$  or  $6$ , then  $Wh(\mathbf{Z}_p) = 0$ . Hence the condition (2) of Theorem 5.2 is trivially satisfied in these cases.

*Proof of Theorem 5.2.* We shall observe that the proof of Proposition 3.6 works with a little modification. As before  $E(L \times I \natural D)$  can be viewed as a cobordism relative boundary between  $E(L)$  and  $E(L \# K)$ . We shall check that this is an  $s$ -cobordism.

The condition (1) implies that

$$(5.4) \quad \pi_1(E(D))/\langle m^p \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}_p$$

where a meridian of  $D$  in  $D^{n+3}$  is also denoted by  $m$ . Hence it follows from the decomposition (3.7) that

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D)) &\simeq \pi_1(E(L \times I)) \underset{\langle m \rangle}{*} \pi_1(E(D)) \\ &\simeq \pi_1(E(L \times I)) \underset{\mathbf{Z}_p}{*} \pi_1(E(D))/\langle m^p \rangle \\ &\quad (\text{as } \langle m \rangle \text{ is of order } p \text{ in } \pi_1(E(L \times I))) \\ &\simeq \pi_1(E(L \times I)) \quad (\text{by (5.4)}) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the inclusion map  $i: E(L) = E(L) \times \{0\} \rightarrow E(L \times I \natural D)$  induces an isomorphism  $\pi_1(E(L)) \rightarrow \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D))$ .

We consider the map  $\tilde{i}: \tilde{E}(L) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(L \times I \natural D)$  lifted to the universal cover. Let  $q: \tilde{E}(L \times I \natural D) \rightarrow E(L \times I \natural D)$  be the covering projection map. By (5.5)  $q^{-1}(E(L \times I))$  is exactly the universal cover  $\tilde{E}(L \times I)$ . As for  $q^{-1}(E(D))$  we need a little consideration. The above observation (5.5) shows that the image of  $j_*: \pi_1(E(D)) \rightarrow \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D))$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ , where  $j$  is the inclusion

map. We shall identify  $j_*\pi_1(E(D))$  with  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . Remember that  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  acts freely on  $E(D)_p$  as covering transformations.

*Claim 5.6.*  $q^{-1}(E(D)) = E(D)_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi$ , where the right hand side denotes the orbit space of  $E(D)_p \times \Pi$  by the diagonal  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -action defined by  $s \cdot (x, g) = (xs^{-1}, sg)$  for  $s \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ ,  $x \in E(D)_p$ , and  $g \in \Pi$ .

*Proof.* The  $\Pi$ -covering  $q^{-1}(E(D)) \rightarrow E(D)$  is classified by the map:  $E(D) \rightarrow B\Pi$  induced from the homomorphism  $j_*: \pi_1(E(D)) \rightarrow \Pi = \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D))$ . Here  $j_*$  factors through the inclusion  $\ell: \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \Pi$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(E(D)) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \Pi \\ \ell \searrow & & \nearrow \ell \\ & \mathbf{Z}_p & \end{array}$$

The pullback of the universal  $\Pi$ -bundle  $E\Pi \rightarrow B\Pi$  by  $\ell$  is of the form  $E\mathbf{Z}_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi \rightarrow B\mathbf{Z}_p$ . In fact, since  $E\mathbf{Z}_p = E\Pi$ , the map  $(u, g) \rightarrow ug$  ( $u \in E\mathbf{Z}_p$ ,  $g \in \Pi$ ) is defined from  $E\mathbf{Z}_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi$  to  $E\Pi$ . The map induces a  $\Pi$ -bundle map from  $E\mathbf{Z}_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi \rightarrow B\Pi$  to  $E\Pi \rightarrow B\Pi$ . On the other hand the covering induced from the homomorphism  $\ell: \pi_1(E(D)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$  is exactly the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -covering  $E(D)_p \rightarrow E(D)$ . These prove the claim.

Consequently we have a decomposition

$$(5.7) \quad \tilde{E}(L \times I \natural D) = \tilde{E}(L \times I) \cup E(D)_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi,$$

where  $\tilde{E}(L \times I)$  and  $E(D)_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi$  are pasted together along  $D^n \times S^1 \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi$  equivariantly embedded in their boundaries. The condition (1) means that  $E(D)_p$  is a homology circle. This together with (5.7) tells us that  $\tilde{i}: \tilde{E}(L \times I) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(L \times I \natural D)$  induces an isomorphism on homology as  $\mathbf{Z}[\Pi]$ -modules. Hence  $i$  is a homotopy equivalence.

The decomposition (5.7) also tells us that

$$\tau(i) = \mu_* \tau_p(D^{n+3}, D) \quad \text{up to sign.}$$

Hence  $\tau(i) = 0$  by the condition (2). Therefore  $E(L \times I \natural D)$  is an  $s$ -cobordism relative boundary. The theorem then follows from Lemma 1.6. Q.E.D.

A torsion  $\tau_p(S^{n+2}, K)$  is defined similarly to  $\tau_p(D^{n+3}, D)$  if  $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$  is a homotopy  $(n+2)$ -sphere. The following theorem is an extension of Proposition 4.2.

THEOREM 5.8. Suppose  $\langle m \rangle$  is of order  $p$  ( $p$  may be equal to 1) for  $(M^{n+2}, L^n)$  and  $n \geq 4$ . Let  $a_{n,p} = 2$  if  $n \equiv 0 (4)$  and  $p$  is even, and let  $a_{n,p} = 1$  otherwise. Then  $a_{n,p}(S^{n+2}, K) \in I_0(M, L)$  if

- (1)  $\sigma(S^{n+2}, K) = 0$  in case  $n$  is odd.
- (2)  $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$  is a homotopy  $(n+2)$ -sphere,
- (3)  $a_{n,p} \mu_* \tau_p(S^{n+2}, K) = 0$

where  $\mu_*$  is the same as in Theorem 5.2.

*Proof.* The argument developed in Steps 1, 2, and 3 of the proof of Proposition 4.2 still works. Step 4 needs a little modification. Instead of (4.10) we have

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{E}(L \# K) = \tilde{E}(L) \cup E(K)_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi & & \\ \tilde{h}_1 \downarrow & \downarrow Id & \downarrow h_p \times Id \\ \tilde{E}(L \# S^n) = \tilde{E}(L) \cup E(S^n)_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi & & \end{array} ,$$

(see (5.7)) where  $h_p: E(K)_p \rightarrow E(S^n)_p$  denotes the lifting of  $h$  to the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -covers. Since  $h_p$  is a homology equivalence, the above diagram tells us that  $\tilde{h}_1$  is a homotopy equivalence.

It also tells us that

$$\tau(h_1) = -\mu_* \tau_p(S^{n+2}, K),$$

which vanishes by the condition (3). Hence  $h_1: E(L \# K) \rightarrow E(L \# S^n)$  is a simple homotopy equivalence.

Step 5 also needs some modification. We need to replace  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  by the canonical epimorphism  $\gamma: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$  and  $\mu: \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \Pi$  respectively. Then we have

$$\sigma(\bar{h}) = \mu_* \gamma_* \sigma(h).$$

Here we distinguish three cases to observe the value  $\sigma(\bar{h})$ .

*Case 1.* The case where  $n$  is odd. In this case the trivial homomorphism  $\alpha: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 1$  induces an isomorphism  $L_{n+3}(\mathbf{Z}, 1) \rightarrow L_{n+3}(1, 1)$  ([W11, 13A.8]). As observed in Step 5 of the proof of Proposition 4.2,  $\alpha_*(\sigma(h))$  vanishes. Hence  $\sigma(h) = 0$ , so  $\sigma(\bar{h}) = 0$ .

*Case 2.* The case where  $n \equiv 2 (4)$  or  $p$  is odd. According to Wall [W12] or Bak [Ba],  $L_{n+3}(\mathbf{Z}_p, 1) = 0$  in this case. Since  $\gamma_* \sigma(h)$  lies in  $L_{n+3}(\mathbf{Z}_p, 1)$ ,  $\gamma_* \sigma(h) = 0$  and hence  $\sigma(\bar{h}) = 0$ .

Case 3. The case where  $n \equiv 0(4)$  and  $p$  is even. In this case  $L_{n+3}(\mathbf{Z}_p, 1) \simeq \mathbf{Z}_2$ . Since the value  $\gamma_*\sigma(h) \in L_{n+3}(\mathbf{Z}_p, 1)$  is additive with respect to connected sum, it necessarily vanishes for  $(S^{n+2}, K) \# (S^{n+2}, K)$ .

The rest of the argument is the same as that in Step 5. This proves the theorem. Q.E.D.

## REFERENCES

- [AS] ATIYAH, M. F. and I. M. SINGER. The index of elliptic operators III. *Ann. of Math.* 87 (1968), 546-604.
- [Ba] BAK, A. Odd dimensional surgery groups of odd torsion groups vanish. *Topology* 14 (1975), 367-374.
- [Br] BROWDER, W. The Kervaire invariant of framed manifolds and its generalization. *Ann. of Math.* 90 (1969), 157-186.
- [DF] DUNWOODY, M. J. and R. A. FENN. On the finiteness of higher dimensional knot sums. *Topology* 26 (1987), 337-343.
- [K] KERVAIRE, M. Les nœuds de dimension supérieure. *Bull. Soc. Math. de France* 93 (1965), 225-271.
- [KW] KERVAIRE, M. and C. WEBER. A survey of multidimensional knots. *Knot theory*, Lect. Notes in Math. 685, Springer, pp. 61-134, 1978.
- [La] LAWSON, T. Detecting the standard embedding of  $\mathbf{RP}^2$  in  $S^4$ . *Math. Ann.* 267 (1984), 439-448.
- [Le1] LEVINE, J. Unknotting spheres in codimension two. *Topology* 4 (1965), 9-16.
- [Le2] ——— Knot cobordism in codimension two. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 44 (1969), 229-244.
- [Le3] ——— Knot modules I. *Trans. A.M.S.* 229 (1977), 1-50.
- [Li] LITHERLAND, R. A generalization of the lightbulb theorem and  $PL$   $I$ -equivalence of links. *Proc. A.M.S.* 98 (1985), 353-358.
- [Ma] MAEDA, T. Star decompositions along splitting groups. In preparation.
- [Ms] MASUDA, M. An invariant of manifold pairs and its applications. *J. Math. Soc. of Japan*. To appear.
- [MI] MILNOR, J. W. Whitehead torsion. *Bull. A.M.S.* 72 (1966), 358-426.
- [MS] MILNOR, J. W. and J. D. STASHEFF. *Characteristic classes*. Ann. of Math. Studies 76, Princeton, 1974.
- [My] MIYAZAKI, K. Conjugation and the prime decomposition of knots in closed, oriented 3-manifolds. Preprint.
- [MB] MORGAN, J. and H. BASS. *The Smith conjecture*. Pure Appl. Math. 112, Academic Press, 1984.
- [R] ROLFSEN, D. *Knots and links*. Math. Lect. Series 7, Publish or Perish Inc. 1976.
- [Sc] SCHULTZ, R. Smooth structures on  $S^p \times S^q$ . *Ann. of Math.* 90 (1969), 187-198.
- [Sm1] SUMNERS, D. W. On the homology of finite cyclic coverings of higher-dimensional links. *Proc. A.M.S.* 46 (1974), 143-149.
- [Sm2] ——— Smooth  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -actions on spheres which have knots pointwise fixed. *Trans. A.M.S.* 205 (1975), 193-203.