

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 35 (1989)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: KNOTTING CODIMENSION 2 SUBMANIFOLDS LOCALLY
Autor: Masuda, Mikiya / Sakuma, Makoto
Kapitel: §5. Type 3 case
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57360>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 27.04.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

$$\tau(F) + F_*\tau(i_0) = \tau(j_0) + j_{0*}\tau(Id)$$

(see [M1, Lemma 7.8]). Here F , j_0 , and Id are all simple homotopy equivalences; so these Whitehead torsions vanish. Hence it follows that $\tau(i_0) = 0$, because $F_*: Wh(\pi_1(U')) \rightarrow Wh(\pi_1(E(L \times I)))$ is an isomorphism. This means that U' is an s -cobordism. Therefore $(S^{n+2}, K) \in I_0(M, L)$ by Lemma 1.6. Q.E.D.

§ 5. TYPE 3 CASE

In this section we treat the case where $\langle m \rangle$ or $[m]$ is of order p (p is not necessarily a prime number). We begin with

LEMMA 5.1. *Suppose $[m]$ is of order p . Then if $(S^{n+2}, K) \in I(M, L)$, then $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$ is a homotopy $(n+2)$ -sphere.*

Proof. Let r be the order of $\text{Tor } H_1(M-L; \mathbf{Z})$, and let γ be the canonical epimorphism $\pi_1(M-L) \rightarrow H_1(M-L; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_r$. Since the order of $\gamma(\langle m \rangle)$ is p , we obtain the desired result by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1. Q.E.D.

If $p \geq 2$, there are infinitely many knots (S^{n+2}, K) such that $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$ is not a homotopy $(n+2)$ -sphere; so Lemma 5.1 shows that $I(M, L) \subsetneq \mathcal{K}_n$ for such (M, L) .

The rest of this section is devoted to looking for a non-trivial knot in $I(M, L)$ or $I_0(M, L)$. We will extend Proposition 3.6 and 4.2 to the case where $\langle m \rangle$ is of order p . Lemma 5.1 reminds us of counterexamples to the generalized Smith conjecture.

Let (S^{n+2}, K) be an n -knot which bounds a disk pair (D^{n+3}, D) such that $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$ is a homotopy $(n+3)$ -disk. Since $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$ is the boundary of $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$, $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$ is a homotopy $(n+2)$ -sphere. If $n+3 \geq 5$, then $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$ is diffeomorphic to D^{n+3} and hence $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$ is diffeomorphic to S^{n+2} .

The p -fold branched cyclic covering $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$ supports a \mathbf{Z}_p -action with the branch set D as the fixed point set. Let $E(D)_p$ be the exterior of D in $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$ and let $\rho: S^1 \rightarrow E(D)_p$ be an equivariant embedding of a meridian of D in $E(D)_p$, where the standard free \mathbf{Z}_p -action is considered on S^1 . Since ρ is a homology equivalence and equivariant, the Whitehead torsion of ρ is defined in $Wh(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. Clearly it is independent of the choice of ρ ; so we shall denote it by $\tau_p(D^{n+3}, D)$.

The following theorem is an extension of Proposition 3.6.

THEOREM 5.2. *Suppose $\langle m \rangle$ is of order p (p may be equal to 1) for (M^{n+2}, L^n) and $n \geq 4$. Then $(S^{n+2}, K) \in I_0(M, L)$ if it bounds a disk pair (D^{n+3}, D) such that*

$$(1) \quad (D^{n+3}, D)_p \text{ is diffeomorphic to } D^{n+3},$$

$$(2) \quad \mu_* \tau_p(D^{n+3}, D) = 0,$$

where $\mu_*: Wh(\mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow Wh(\pi_1(M-L))$ is the homomorphism induced from a homomorphism $\mu: \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \pi_1(M-L)$ sending a generator of \mathbf{Z}_p to $\langle m \rangle \in \pi_1(M-L)$.

Remark 5.3. (1) For each p , there are infinitely many n -knots satisfying the conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 5.2. For example the \mathbf{Z}_p -orbit spaces of Sumners' knots [R, p. 347] (which are counterexamples to the generalized Smith conjecture) are the desired knots. In fact, $\tau_p(D^{n+3}, D) = 0$ for them.

(2) If $p = 1, 2, 3, 4,$ or 6 , then $Wh(\mathbf{Z}_p) = 0$. Hence the condition (2) of Theorem 5.2 is trivially satisfied in these cases.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. We shall observe that the proof of Proposition 3.6 works with a little modification. As before $E(L \times I \natural D)$ can be viewed as a cobordism relative boundary between $E(L)$ and $E(L \# K)$. We shall check that this is an s -cobordism.

The condition (1) implies that

$$(5.4) \quad \pi_1(E(D))/\langle m^p \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}_p$$

where a meridian of D in D^{n+3} is also denoted by m . Hence it follows from the decomposition (3.7) that

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D)) &\simeq \pi_1(E(L \times I)) \underset{\langle m \rangle}{*} \pi_1(E(D)) \\ &\simeq \pi_1(E(L \times I)) \underset{\mathbf{Z}_p}{*} \pi_1(E(D))/\langle m^p \rangle \\ &\quad (\text{as } \langle m \rangle \text{ is of order } p \text{ in } \pi_1(E(L \times I))) \\ &\simeq \pi_1(E(L \times I)) \quad (\text{by (5.4)}) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the inclusion map $i: E(L) = E(L) \times \{0\} \rightarrow E(L \times I \natural D)$ induces an isomorphism $\pi_1(E(L)) \rightarrow \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D))$.

We consider the map $\tilde{i}: \tilde{E}(L) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(L \times I \natural D)$ lifted to the universal cover. Let $q: \tilde{E}(L \times I \natural D) \rightarrow E(L \times I \natural D)$ be the covering projection map. By (5.5) $q^{-1}(E(L \times I))$ is exactly the universal cover $\tilde{E}(L \times I)$. As for $q^{-1}(E(D))$ we need a little consideration. The above observation (5.5) shows that the image of $j_*: \pi_1(E(D)) \rightarrow \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D))$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_p , where j is the inclusion

map. We shall identify $j_*\pi_1(E(D))$ with \mathbf{Z}_p . Remember that \mathbf{Z}_p acts freely on $E(D)_p$ as covering transformations.

Claim 5.6. $q^{-1}(E(D)) = E(D)_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi$, where the right hand side denotes the orbit space of $E(D)_p \times \Pi$ by the diagonal \mathbf{Z}_p -action defined by $s \cdot (x, g) = (xs^{-1}, sg)$ for $s \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, $x \in E(D)_p$, and $g \in \Pi$.

Proof. The Π -covering $q^{-1}(E(D)) \rightarrow E(D)$ is classified by the map: $E(D) \rightarrow B\Pi$ induced from the homomorphism $j_*: \pi_1(E(D)) \rightarrow \Pi = \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D))$. Here j_* factors through the inclusion $\ell: \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \Pi$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(E(D)) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \Pi \\ \ell \searrow & & \nearrow \ell \\ & \mathbf{Z}_p & \end{array}$$

The pullback of the universal Π -bundle $E\Pi \rightarrow B\Pi$ by ℓ is of the form $E\mathbf{Z}_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi \rightarrow B\mathbf{Z}_p$. In fact, since $E\mathbf{Z}_p = E\Pi$, the map $(u, g) \rightarrow ug$ ($u \in E\mathbf{Z}_p$, $g \in \Pi$) is defined from $E\mathbf{Z}_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi$ to $E\Pi$. The map induces a Π -bundle map from $E\mathbf{Z}_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi \rightarrow B\Pi$ to $E\Pi \rightarrow B\Pi$. On the other hand the covering induced from the homomorphism $\ell: \pi_1(E(D)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$ is exactly the \mathbf{Z}_p -covering $E(D)_p \rightarrow E(D)$. These prove the claim.

Consequently we have a decomposition

$$(5.7) \quad \tilde{E}(L \times I \natural D) = \tilde{E}(L \times I) \cup E(D)_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi,$$

where $\tilde{E}(L \times I)$ and $E(D)_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi$ are pasted together along $D^n \times S^1 \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi$ equivariantly embedded in their boundaries. The condition (1) means that $E(D)_p$ is a homology circle. This together with (5.7) tells us that $\tilde{i}: \tilde{E}(L \times I) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(L \times I \natural D)$ induces an isomorphism on homology as $\mathbf{Z}[\Pi]$ -modules. Hence i is a homotopy equivalence.

The decomposition (5.7) also tells us that

$$\tau(i) = \mu_* \tau_p(D^{n+3}, D) \quad \text{up to sign.}$$

Hence $\tau(i) = 0$ by the condition (2). Therefore $E(L \times I \natural D)$ is an s -cobordism relative boundary. The theorem then follows from Lemma 1.6. Q.E.D.

A torsion $\tau_p(S^{n+2}, K)$ is defined similarly to $\tau_p(D^{n+3}, D)$ if $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$ is a homotopy $(n+2)$ -sphere. The following theorem is an extension of Proposition 4.2.

THEOREM 5.8. Suppose $\langle m \rangle$ is of order p (p may be equal to 1) for (M^{n+2}, L^n) and $n \geq 4$. Let $a_{n,p} = 2$ if $n \equiv 0 (4)$ and p is even, and let $a_{n,p} = 1$ otherwise. Then $a_{n,p}(S^{n+2}, K) \in I_0(M, L)$ if

- (1) $\sigma(S^{n+2}, K) = 0$ in case n is odd.
- (2) $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$ is a homotopy $(n+2)$ -sphere,
- (3) $a_{n,p} \mu_* \tau_p(S^{n+2}, K) = 0$

where μ_* is the same as in Theorem 5.2.

Proof. The argument developed in Steps 1, 2, and 3 of the proof of Proposition 4.2 still works. Step 4 needs a little modification. Instead of (4.10) we have

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{E}(L \# K) & = & \tilde{E}(L) \cup E(K)_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi \\ \tilde{h}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow Id \quad \downarrow h_p \times Id \\ \tilde{E}(L \# S^n) & = & \tilde{E}(L) \cup E(S^n)_p \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Pi \end{array} ,$$

(see (5.7)) where $h_p: E(K)_p \rightarrow E(S^n)_p$ denotes the lifting of h to the \mathbf{Z}_p -covers. Since h_p is a homology equivalence, the above diagram tells us that \tilde{h}_1 is a homotopy equivalence.

It also tells us that

$$\tau(h_1) = -\mu_* \tau_p(S^{n+2}, K),$$

which vanishes by the condition (3). Hence $h_1: E(L \# K) \rightarrow E(L \# S^n)$ is a simple homotopy equivalence.

Step 5 also needs some modification. We need to replace α and β by the canonical epimorphism $\gamma: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$ and $\mu: \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \Pi$ respectively. Then we have

$$\sigma(\bar{h}) = \mu_* \gamma_* \sigma(h).$$

Here we distinguish three cases to observe the value $\sigma(\bar{h})$.

Case 1. The case where n is odd. In this case the trivial homomorphism $\alpha: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 1$ induces an isomorphism $L_{n+3}(\mathbf{Z}, 1) \rightarrow L_{n+3}(1, 1)$ ([W11, 13A.8]). As observed in Step 5 of the proof of Proposition 4.2, $\alpha_*(\sigma(h))$ vanishes. Hence $\sigma(h) = 0$, so $\sigma(\bar{h}) = 0$.

Case 2. The case where $n \equiv 2 (4)$ or p is odd. According to Wall [W12] or Bak [Ba], $L_{n+3}(\mathbf{Z}_p, 1) = 0$ in this case. Since $\gamma_* \sigma(h)$ lies in $L_{n+3}(\mathbf{Z}_p, 1)$, $\gamma_* \sigma(h) = 0$ and hence $\sigma(\bar{h}) = 0$.

Case 3. The case where $n \equiv 0(4)$ and p is even. In this case $L_{n+3}(\mathbf{Z}_p, 1) \simeq \mathbf{Z}_2$. Since the value $\gamma_*\sigma(h) \in L_{n+3}(\mathbf{Z}_p, 1)$ is additive with respect to connected sum, it necessarily vanishes for $(S^{n+2}, K) \# (S^{n+2}, K)$.

The rest of the argument is the same as that in Step 5. This proves the theorem. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

- [AS] ATIYAH, M. F. and I. M. SINGER. The index of elliptic operators III. *Ann. of Math.* 87 (1968), 546-604.
- [Ba] BAK, A. Odd dimensional surgery groups of odd torsion groups vanish. *Topology* 14 (1975), 367-374.
- [Br] BROWDER, W. The Kervaire invariant of framed manifolds and its generalization. *Ann. of Math.* 90 (1969), 157-186.
- [DF] DUNWOODY, M. J. and R. A. FENN. On the finiteness of higher dimensional knot sums. *Topology* 26 (1987), 337-343.
- [K] KERVAIRE, M. Les nœuds de dimension supérieure. *Bull. Soc. Math. de France* 93 (1965), 225-271.
- [KW] KERVAIRE, M. and C. WEBER. A survey of multidimensional knots. *Knot theory*, Lect. Notes in Math. 685, Springer, pp. 61-134, 1978.
- [La] LAWSON, T. Detecting the standard embedding of \mathbf{RP}^2 in S^4 . *Math. Ann.* 267 (1984), 439-448.
- [Le1] LEVINE, J. Unknotting spheres in codimension two. *Topology* 4 (1965), 9-16.
- [Le2] ——— Knot cobordism in codimension two. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 44 (1969), 229-244.
- [Le3] ——— Knot modules I. *Trans. A.M.S.* 229 (1977), 1-50.
- [Li] LITHERLAND, R. A generalization of the lightbulb theorem and PL I -equivalence of links. *Proc. A.M.S.* 98 (1985), 353-358.
- [Ma] MAEDA, T. Star decompositions along splitting groups. In preparation.
- [Ms] MASUDA, M. An invariant of manifold pairs and its applications. *J. Math. Soc. of Japan*. To appear.
- [MI] MILNOR, J. W. Whitehead torsion. *Bull. A.M.S.* 72 (1966), 358-426.
- [MS] MILNOR, J. W. and J. D. STASHEFF. *Characteristic classes*. Ann. of Math. Studies 76, Princeton, 1974.
- [My] MIYAZAKI, K. Conjugation and the prime decomposition of knots in closed, oriented 3-manifolds. Preprint.
- [MB] MORGAN, J. and H. BASS. *The Smith conjecture*. Pure Appl. Math. 112, Academic Press, 1984.
- [R] ROLFSEN, D. *Knots and links*. Math. Lect. Series 7, Publish or Perish Inc. 1976.
- [Sc] SCHULTZ, R. Smooth structures on $S^p \times S^q$. *Ann. of Math.* 90 (1969), 187-198.
- [Sm1] SUMNERS, D. W. On the homology of finite cyclic coverings of higher-dimensional links. *Proc. A.M.S.* 46 (1974), 143-149.
- [Sm2] ——— Smooth \mathbf{Z}_p -actions on spheres which have knots pointwise fixed. *Trans. A.M.S.* 205 (1975), 193-203.