

## §4. Adelic representation masses

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **35 (1989)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **20.09.2024**

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

### **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

for all  $n \in \mathbf{R}^*$ , where we have denoted by  $d$  the quadratic form  $d(x) = x^T D x$ . It is also easy to check that for all  $m \in \mathbf{R}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(m, f, \mathbf{R}) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} \exp(-\pi(y^T y) + 2\pi m i(y^T D y)) dy \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \exp(-\pi y^2(1 + 2im)) dy \right)^s \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \exp(-\pi y^2(1 - 2im)) dy \right)^{k-s} \\ &= (1 + 2im)^{s/2} (1 - 2im)^{(k-s)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The following result is now clear:

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $f, g$  be non-singular real quadratic forms in  $k$  variables. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- i)  $f \sim g$  over  $\mathbf{R}$ ,
- ii)  $r(\cdot, f, \mathbf{R}) = r(\cdot, g, \mathbf{R})$ ,
- iii)  $\theta(\cdot, f, \mathbf{R}) = \theta(\cdot, g, \mathbf{R})$ .  $\square$

#### § 4. ADELIC REPRESENTATION MASSES

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the ring of adèles over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . We identify  $\mathbf{A}$  with its topological dual by defining  $\langle n, m \rangle$ , where  $\chi$  is Tate's character

$$\chi(a) = \chi_\infty(a_\infty) \cdot \prod_p \chi_p(a_p),$$

for any  $a = (a_p) \in \mathbf{A}$ . Let  $dn$  be the restricted product measure of the local measures used in the preceding sections. As is well-known,  $dn$  is also a selfdual measure. Let  $dx$  be the Haar measure on  $\mathbf{A}^k$  naturally induced by  $dn$ .

A non-singular integral adelic quadratic form  $f$  in  $k$  variables with unit determinant can be identified to a collection  $(f_p)$  of non-singular integral  $p$ -adic quadratic forms in  $k$  variables such that  $p \nmid \det f_p$ , for almost all  $p$ .

Let  $\Phi$  be the Schwartz-Bruhat function on  $\mathbf{A}^k$  defined by

$$\Phi = \phi_\infty \cdot \prod_p 1_{(\mathbf{Z}_p)^k}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{A}_o := \mathbf{R} \times \prod_p \mathbf{Z}_p$ . We consider

$$r_{\Phi}(n, f, \mathbf{A}) := \lim_{U \rightarrow (n)} \left( \int_{f^{-1}(U)} \Phi(x) dx / \int_U dn \right) \\ = r(n_{\infty}, f_{\infty}, \mathbf{R}) \cdot \prod_p r(n_p, f_p, \mathbf{Z}_p),$$

the limit being well-defined whenever the infinite product on the right is absolutely convergent. Applying Siegel's explicit formulas for  $r(n_p, f_p, \mathbf{Z}_p)$  ([13, Hilfsatz 16]), it is easy to check that the product is absolutely convergent for all  $n \in \mathbf{A}_o$  if  $k \geq 5$ . Since  $r(\cdot, f_{\infty}, \mathbf{R}) \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\prod_p \mathbf{Z}_p$  is compact,  $r_{\Phi}$  is an everywhere defined continuous function on  $\mathbf{A}$ , with support contained in  $\mathbf{A}_o$ , and integrable on  $\mathbf{A}$ . On the other hand, clearly  $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbf{A}^k)$  and we have

$$\theta_{\Phi}(m, f, \mathbf{A}) := \int_{\mathbf{A}^k} \Phi(x) \langle f(x), m \rangle dx = \theta(m_{\infty}, f_{\infty}, \mathbf{R}) \prod \theta(m_p, f_p, \mathbf{Q}_p).$$

Note that the infinite product is always well-defined since only a finite number of factors are different from 1.

We recall that given two integral adelic quadratic forms in  $k$  variables it is said that they belong to the same *genus* if  $f_{\infty} \sim g_{\infty}$  over  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $f_p \sim g_p$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  for all  $p$ . We say that they are of the same 2-type if  $f_2$  and  $g_2$  are of the same type over  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $f, g$  be two non-singular integral adelic quadratic forms in  $k \geq 5$  variables with unit determinant. Assume that they are of the same 2-type. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i)  $\text{gen } f = \text{gen } g$ ,
- ii)  $r_{\Phi}(\cdot, f, \mathbf{A}) = r_{\Phi}(\cdot, g, \mathbf{A})$ ,
- iii)  $\theta_{\Phi}(\cdot, f, \mathbf{A}) = \theta_{\Phi}(\cdot, g, \mathbf{A})$ .

*Proof.* Two forms in the same genus have the same local integral representation masses, hence i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii). Since  $\theta_{\Phi}$  is just the Fourier transform of  $r_{\Phi}$ , ii)  $\Rightarrow$  iii). Now condition iii) is equivalent to  $\theta(\cdot, f_{\infty}, \mathbf{R}) = \theta(\cdot, g_{\infty}, \mathbf{R})$  and  $\theta(\cdot, f_p, \mathbf{Q}_p) = \theta(\cdot, g_p, \mathbf{Q}_p)$  for all  $p$ ; therefore by Theorems 2.3 and 3.4, iii)  $\Rightarrow$  i).  $\square$

We deal now with  $\mathbf{A}$ -equivalence of forms. If  $f$  and  $g$  are two non-singular quadratic forms defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , we have by the Minkowski-Hasse theorem that  $f \sim g$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  if and only if  $f \sim g$  over  $\mathbf{A}$ . Thus Theorem 4.2 below can be also considered as a characterization of  $\mathbf{Q}$ -equivalence in terms of representation masses.

For every finite set  $S$  of primes and for every integer  $s \geq 1$  we consider the following function defined on  $\mathbf{A}$ :

$$r_{S,s}(n, f, \mathbf{A}) = r(n_\infty, f_\infty, \mathbf{R}) \cdot \prod_{p \in S} r_s(n_p, f_p, \mathbf{Z}_p) \cdot \prod_{p \notin S} r(n_p, f_p, \mathbf{Z}_p).$$

As before,  $r_{S,s}$  is well-defined, continuous and integrable if  $k \geq 5$ . The corresponding function  $\theta_{S,s}(\cdot, f, \mathbf{A})$  will be well-defined and continuous for all  $k \geq 1$ , being the Fourier transform of the former.

Since  $f \sim g$  over  $\mathbf{A}$  is equivalent to  $f_p \sim g_p$  over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  for all  $p$  including  $p = \infty$ , and  $f_p \sim g_p$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  for almost all  $p$ , we get from Theorem 2.3, 3.3 and 3.4 the following:

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $f, g$  be two non-singular integral adelic quadratic forms in  $k \geq 5$  variables. Let  $S = \{p; p \mid \det f_p \cdot \det g_p\}$  and let  $s \geq \max(s_o(f), s_o(g))$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i)  $f \sim g$  over  $\mathbf{A}$ ,
- ii)  $r_{S,s}(\cdot, f, \mathbf{A}) = r_{S,s}(\cdot, g, \mathbf{A})$ ,
- iii)  $\theta_{S,s}(\cdot, f, \mathbf{A}) = \theta_{S,s}(\cdot, g, \mathbf{A})$ .  $\square$

Note that we could have also expressed these functions as  $r_{S,s} = r_{\Phi_{S,s}}$ ,  $\theta_{S,s} = \theta_{\Phi_{S,s}}$ , where  $\Phi_{S,s} \in L^1(\mathbf{A}^k)$  is defined as:

$$\Phi_{S,s} = \phi_\infty \cdot \prod_{p \in S} \phi_s \cdot \prod_{p \notin S} 1_{(\mathbf{Z}_p)^k}.$$

## § 5. REPRESENTATION MASSES IN $\mathbf{Z}$

Let  $(V, q)$  be a regular quadratic space over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of dimension  $k$ , and let  $G$  be the proper orthogonal group of this space. The adèle group  $G(\mathbf{A})$  operates in the set of lattices  $L$  of  $V$ ; by definition the orbit of  $L$  under this action is called the genus of  $L$ . The orbit of  $L$  under the subgroup  $G(\mathbf{Q})$  of  $G(\mathbf{A})$  is the class of  $L$ .

If  $L = \mathbf{Z}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}e_k$  is a lattice of  $V$ , the formula

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = q(x_1e_1 + \dots + x_ke_k)$$

establishes a one to one correspondence between the set of classes of lattices of  $(V, q)$  and the set of classes, over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , of quadratic forms which are  $\mathbf{Q}$ -equivalent to  $q$ .