

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 35 (1989)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** CAUCHY RESIDUES AND DE RHAM HOMOLOGY  
**Autor:** Iversen, Birger  
**Kapitel:** 3. Smooth singular homology  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57358>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 28.04.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

and second, that the map  $a_X$  introduced in (2.4) induces an isomorphism

$$(2.7) \quad a: \Gamma_c(X, \Omega^{\cdot \vee}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^c(X, \mathbf{C}).$$

Collect this together to conclude the proof. Q.E.D.

The de Rham homology as defined here agrees with the original theory based on currents [6]: the inclusion of the complex of currents in  $\Omega^{\cdot \vee}$  is a quasi-isomorphism as can be seen by the method used in the last third of the proof above.

As a consequence of the isomorphism (2.7) we can of course redefine de Rham homology as

$$(2.8) \quad H_p^c(X, \mathbf{C}) = H_p \Gamma_c(X, \Omega^{\cdot \vee}).$$

If the letter  $c$  is dropped we obtain Borel Moore homology, compare [5] IX and the references given there.

The biduality theorem 2.1 is certainly related to that of Verdier [7], [1]. In fact most of the material presented here may be extended to a context of similar generality. I hope to return to this point in the near future.

### 3. SMOOTH SINGULAR HOMOLOGY

Let us consider an  $n$ -dimensional smooth manifold  $X$ . Integration over smooth singular simplexes defines a map

$$(3.1) \quad S^{\infty}(X, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow D^c(X, \mathbf{C})$$

from the complex of smooth singular simplexes to the complex of compact chains on  $X$ .

(3.2) THEOREM. *Integration induces an isomorphism*

$$H^{\infty}(X, \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^c(X, \mathbf{C})$$

*from smooth singular homology to de Rham homology.*

*Proof.* Let us first discuss *Mayer-Vietoris* sequences in de Rham homology. For open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$  a Mayer-Vietoris sequence arises from the following exact sequence of complexes

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_c(U \cap V, \Omega^{\cdot \vee}) \xrightarrow{+} \Gamma_c(U, \Omega^{\cdot \vee}) \oplus \Gamma_c(V, \Omega^{\cdot \vee}) \xrightarrow{-} \Gamma_c(U \cup V, \Omega^{\cdot \vee}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The main reason for the exactness of the sequence is

$$H_c^1(U \cap V, \Omega^{q \vee}) = 0, \quad q \in \mathbf{N},$$

compare [5] III. 7.5. The vanishing of the cohomology group follows from the fact that  $\Omega^{q \vee}$  is a flabby sheaf.

In singular homology the Mayer-Vietoris sequence originates from the tautological exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S_\bullet^\infty(U \cap V, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow S_\bullet^\infty(U, \mathbf{C}) \oplus S_\bullet^\infty(V, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow S_\bullet^\infty(U, V; \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $S_\bullet^\infty(U, V; \mathbf{C})$  is the complex based on singular simplexes supported entirely by  $U$  or entirely by  $V$ . The difficult part is to prove that the inclusion

$$S_\bullet^\infty(U, V, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow S_\bullet^\infty(U \cup V, \mathbf{C})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism, compare [8].

This description makes it obvious that the Mayer-Vietoris sequences in the two theories are connected by a commutative ladder.

A second common feature of the two theories is that given a manifold  $X$  which is the disjoint union of the family  $(X_\alpha)$  of open subsets, then

$$(3.4) \quad \bigoplus_\alpha H_\bullet(X_\alpha, \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_\bullet(X, \mathbf{C})$$

as it follows from the Borel-Heine theorem.

Let us now investigate the case  $X = \mathbf{R}^n$ . The homology groups are for both theories, compare (2.1)

$$H_0(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}, \quad H_i(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{C}) = 0, \quad i \geq 1.$$

The transition from  $H_\bullet^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{C})$  to  $H_\bullet^c(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{C})$  is an isomorphism as it follows from the discussion of zero cycles at the end of section 1, see also (2.1).

The result follows by *Fribourg-induction*, an elementary but ingenious induction procedure based on the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, see [2] or [4] where this method is used for the proof of Poincaré duality and the Künneth theorem. The last reference attributes this method to the master thesis of C. Auderset, Fribourg 1968. Q.E.D.

Let us record that the canonical isomorphism from smooth singular homology to singular homology

$$(3.5) \quad H_\bullet^\infty(X, \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_\bullet(X, \mathbf{C})$$

likewise can be established by Fribourg-induction.

If we combine theorem (3.2) and the biduality theorem (2.1) we obtain what is usually known as the

(3.6) DE RHAM THEOREM. *Integration over smooth singular simplexes induces an isomorphism*

$$H^\bullet(X, \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_\infty^\bullet(X, \mathbf{C})$$

*from de Rham cohomology to smooth singular cohomology.*

#### 4. RELATIVE DE RHAM HOMOLOGY

Let us start by some general remarks on the support of a compact  $p$ -chain  $T$  on a smooth  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $X$ . Since we can realize  $T$  as a section in the sheaf  $\Omega^p^\vee$  the general sheaf theoretic notion of support applies: The *support* of  $T$ ,  $\text{Supp}(T)$  is the smallest closed subset  $Z$  of  $X$ , such that the restriction of  $T$  to  $X - Z$  is zero.

(4.1) EXAMPLE. Integration over an oriented compact  $p$ -dimensional submanifold  $K$  with boundary defines a compact  $p$ -chain  $\kappa$  with  $\text{Supp}(\kappa) = K$ . From Stokes formula

$$\int_K d\omega = \int_{\partial K} \omega, \quad \omega \in \Gamma(X, \Omega^p),$$

we conclude that  $\text{Supp}(b\kappa) = \partial K$ .

Let us now consider the inclusion  $j: U \rightarrow X$  of an open subset  $U$  of  $X$ . The induced map

$$j_*: D_p^c(U, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow D_p^c(X, \mathbf{C}), \quad p \in \mathbf{N},$$

is injective since we may interpret  $j_*$  as "extension by zero" in the sheaf  $\Omega_p^\vee$ , compare (2.5). A compact  $p$ -chain  $T$  on  $X$  belongs to the image of  $j_*$  if and only if  $\text{Supp}(T) \subseteq U$ . The complex  $D_p^c(X, U; \mathbf{C})$  of *relative compact chains* is defined to fit into the following exact sequence

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow D_p^c(U, \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{j_*} D_p^c(X, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow D_p^c(X, U; \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow 0.$$

On this basis we can define the *relative de Rham* homology group

$$H_p(X, U; \mathbf{C}) = H_p D_p^c(X, U; \mathbf{C}), \quad p \in \mathbf{N}.$$