

<b>Zeitschrift:</b>	L'Enseignement Mathématique
<b>Herausgeber:</b>	Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
<b>Band:</b>	34 (1988)
<b>Heft:</b>	1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE
 <b>Artikel:</b>	ABOUT THE PROOFS OF CALABI'S CONJECTURES ON COMPACT KÄHLER MANIFOLDS
<b>Autor:</b>	Delanoë, Ph. / Hirschowitz, A.
<b>Kapitel:</b>	4. Properness
<b>DOI:</b>	<a href="https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-56591">https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-56591</a>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 28.01.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $A, B$  be metric spaces, with  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $B$  connected. Let  $P: A \rightarrow B$  be a continuous map. Assume:*

- (i)  $P$  is open,
- (ii)  $P$  is proper, that is, for any compact subset  $K$  in  $B$ ,  $P^{-1}(K)$  is compact. Then  $P$  is surjective.

*Proof.* We only need to prove that  $P(A)$  is closed. Let  $b_0$  be a point in  $\overline{P(A)}$ . Since  $B$  is a metric space, there exists a sequence  $(b_i)_{i>0}$  in  $P(A)$  converging to  $b_0$ . The subset  $K = \{b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots\}$  is compact, hence so is  $PP^{-1}(K)$ . The latter contains  $b_1, \dots, b_i, \dots$ , hence  $b_0$ , and it is obviously contained in  $P(A)$ . Q.E.D.

In order to make use of this lemma, we shall need some inverse function theorem for (i), and some *a priori* estimates for (ii).

### 3. LOCAL INVERSION

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $X$  be a smooth compact manifold,  $V$  and  $W$  smooth vector bundles on  $X$ ,  $U$  an open set in  $C^\infty(X, V)$ , and  $P: U \rightarrow C^\infty(X, W)$ , a smooth nonlinear elliptic partial differential operator. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be LCFC submanifolds of  $U$  and of  $C^\infty(X, W)$  respectively, such that the restriction  $P_A$  of  $P$  to  $A$ , sends  $A$  into  $B$ . Then the Jacobian criterion holds for  $P_A$ , namely, if the derivative of  $P_A: A \rightarrow B$  is invertible at  $\varphi_0 \in A$ , then  $P_A$  is a local diffeomorphism near  $\varphi_0$ .*

This is a convenient variant of the Nash-Moser theorem (e.g. [14]) regarding suitable restrictions of elliptic operators. It is established in a separate paper [11] (see also [22]). It relies only on the *classical* (Banach) inverse function theorem combined with *elliptic regularity*.

*Remark 3.2.* The Nash-Moser theorem has been studied by many authors, see the bibliography below and further references in [14] [15] [25].

### 4. PROPERNESS

In view of (2), theorem 3.1 implies that  $P_\lambda$  is open. We want to apply lemma 2.1 in order to prove that  $P_\lambda$  is surjective from  $A_\lambda$  to  $B_\lambda$ . Since  $P_\lambda(A_\lambda) \neq \emptyset$  (it contains 0), and since  $B_\lambda$  is connected, this amounts to proving that  $P_\lambda$  is *proper*. Let us explain why *a priori* estimates imply properness.

Concerning subsets in  $A_\lambda$  we have

PROPOSITION 4.1. *A subset  $S$  in  $A_\lambda$  is relatively compact in  $A_\lambda$  iff its closure  $\bar{S}$  in  $C^\infty(X)$  lies inside  $A_\lambda$  and  $S$  is bounded in  $C^\infty(X)$ .*

This readily follows from Ascoli theorem which implies the well-known fact [12] (p. 231) that in  $C^\infty(X)$  (and in any *closed* LCFC submanifold of  $C^\infty(X)$ , such as  $B_\lambda$ , as well) bounded subsets are relatively compact and vice-versa; hence, *compact* subset of  $A_\lambda$  are nothing but *bounded closed strictly interior* subsets of  $A_\lambda$ . Explicitly, let us state the

COROLLARY 4.2. *A closed subset  $S$  in  $A_\lambda$  is compact if and only if there exists a sequence  $(C_i)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , of positive numbers, such that for any  $\varphi$  in  $S$  the following estimates hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \| (g')^{-1} \| &= : \sup_X | (g')^{-1} | \leq C_0, \\ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \| D^i \varphi \| &= : \sup_X | D^i \varphi | \leq C_i, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes some natural norms of tensors in the original metric  $g$ , and  $D = :(\nabla, \bar{\nabla})$  is the total covariant differentiation with respect to the metric  $g$ .

*Proof.* Indeed  $S$  is closed and bounded. Moreover, since for  $\varphi \in S$ ,

$$\| (g')^{-1} \| \leq C_0$$

all the eigenvalues of  $(g')^{-1}$  (which are *positive*) are uniformly bounded *from above*, hence those of  $g'$  are uniformly bounded *from below*, in other words:

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in S, \quad g' \geq \varepsilon g,$$

or equivalently  $\bar{S}$  lies *strictly inside*  $A_\lambda$ . Q.E.D.

In the next sections we will show that if  $f$  belongs to some *compact* (i.e. bounded and closed) subset  $K$  of  $B_\lambda$ , defined by a sequence  $(K_i)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\| D^i f \| \leq K_i$ , then for  $\varphi \in A_\lambda$  satisfying  $P_\lambda(\varphi) = f$ , the following *a priori* estimates hold:

$$\| \varphi \| \leq C_0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \| D^i \nabla \bar{\nabla} \varphi \| \leq C_{i+2}.$$

These estimates imply that  $P_\lambda$  is *proper*, i.e. that  $S = P_\lambda^{-1}(K)$  is compact, according to the following

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let  $S$  be a closed subset in  $A_\lambda$ . Suppose that there exists a sequence  $(C_i)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for any  $\varphi$  in  $S$ , the following estimates hold:*

$$\|\phi\| \leq C_0, \quad \|P_\lambda(\phi)\| \leq C_0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbf{N}, \quad \|D^i \nabla \bar{\nabla} \phi\| \leq C_{i+2}.$$

Then  $S$  is compact.

*Proof.* The first two estimates imply a uniform estimate

$$|\operatorname{Log} \det(g'g^{-1})| \leq E.$$

The estimate on  $\|\nabla \bar{\nabla} \phi\|$  yields another one:

$$\|g'\| \leq F.$$

These two estimates yield

$$\|(g')^{-1}\| \leq G.$$

Now from  $\|D^i \nabla \bar{\nabla} \phi\| \leq C_{i+2}$  we infer

$$\|D^i \Delta \phi\| \leq \tilde{C}_{i+2}$$

since  $D$  and  $g^{-1}$  commute ( $\Delta$  denotes the Laplacian in the metric  $g$ ). As  $\Delta$  performs a continuous linear automorphism of the Fréchet space of smooth functions *with zero average* (by Fredholm theory), the Closed Graph Theorem implies the missing estimates. Q.E.D.

*Remark 4.4.* Actually we have been considering two *gradings* of  $C^\infty(X)$  [14]. The usual one, namely the one defined,  $\forall u \in C^\infty(X)$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_0 &= \sup_X |u|, \\ \|u\|_i &= \|u_i\|_{i-1} + \|D^i u\|, \quad i \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and another one, well-adapted here since the true unknown is a Kähler metric, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_0^* &= \|u\|_0, \quad \|u\|_1^* = \|u\|_1, \\ \|u\|_i^* &= \|u\|_{i-1}^* + \|D^{i-2}(\nabla \bar{\nabla} u)\|, \quad i \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Although it is unnecessary for the purpose of proposition 4.3, it can be shown globally (without Schauder theory) that these two gradings are *tame*ly equivalent [14] of degree 2 and base 0 [10] (section 5). Hence, they define the same topology.