Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 33 (1987)

Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: SIMPLE PROOF OF THE MURASUGI AND KAUFFMAN THEOREMS ON ALTERNATING LINKS

Autor: Turaev, V. G.

Kapitel: §5. Proof of Theorem 2

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-87894

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 27.11.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch



FIGURE 19

Observe that two unshaded regions near one crossing point are necessarily distinct, otherwise the diagram K would not be reduced:



FIGURE 20

It is evident that A is equal to the number of unshaded regions. Let a state S^2 be obtained from A by replacing one positive marker by the negative marker. Under this operation two distinct unshaded regions are connected by a band, and therefore $|S^2| = |A| - 1$. In view of the arguments given in the proof of part (i) of the Theorem, this implies that $D_S < D_A$ for any state S of K. This implies (8). Analogous arguments imply (9), and the proof of (ii) in Theorem 1 is complete.

§ 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let me first recall the definition of the *signature* of an oriented link L in terms of a (not necessarily orientable) surface V bounded by L (see [2]). One defines a bilinear form

$$Q = Q_V : H_1(V; Z) \times H_1(V; Z) \to Z$$

as follows. Let $\alpha, \beta \in H_1(V; Z)$ be represented by loops a, b in V. Let us double all points of a and push them in $S^3 - V$ along both normal directions to V, at the same small distance. We obtain an oriented closed 1-manifold $\tilde{a} \in S^3 - V$; the following picture shows the local situation. The natural projection $\tilde{a} \to a$ is of course a 2-sheeted covering.



FIGURE 21

Denote by $Q(\alpha, \beta)$ the linking coefficient $Lk(\tilde{a}, b)$ of $\tilde{a}$ and b. It turns out that Q is a well defined symmetric bilinear form. Let L^V be a parallel copy of L in $S^3 - V$. Define

$$\sigma(L) = \operatorname{sign}(Q) - \frac{1}{2} Lk(L, L^V).$$

Here sign (Q) denotes the signature of the symmetric bilinear form obtained by factorizing out the annihilator of Q. According to [2], $\sigma(L)$ does not depend on the choice of the spanning surface V. In case V is orientable, $Lk(L, L^V) = 0$ and we get the classical definition of the signature of L due to Murasugi.

All diagrams and links being oriented, it is easy to check that the writhe number of a link diagram, the signature of a link, and the number $d_{\max}(V_L(t)) + d_{\min}(V_L(t))$ are additive with respect to both disjoint unions and connected sums of diagrams. Therefore it is enough to prove Theorem 2 for a diagram K which is connected, prime, alternating and reduced.

Let c_+ and c_- denote the numbers of positive and negative crossing points of such a K.

CLAIM (Murasugi). *One has* $\sigma(L) = |A| - 1 - c_+$.

This claim implies Theorem 2. Indeed, formulas (8), (9) and (6) show that

$$d_{\max}(V_L(t)) + d_{\min}(V_L(t)) + w(K)$$
$$= -w(K)/2 + D_A + d_B = -w(K)/2 + (|A|-|B|)/2 .$$

Substituting in the last expression

$$w(K) = c_+ - c_-$$
$$|B| = c + 2 - |A|$$
$$c = c_+ + c_-$$

we obtain

$$d_{\max}(V_L(t)) + d_{\min}(V_L(t)) + w(K)$$
$$= |A| - 1 - c_+ = \sigma(L) .$$

This implies Theorem 2.

Proof of the Claim. There is a spanning surface V of L associated with the diagram K. It is built up from shaded regions of $S^2 - K$ (see § 4) and small bands connecting these regions which enter one crossing point. In a neighbourhood of a crossing point, V looks like this:



FIGURE 22

We shall prove the claim by using this surface V.

We prove first that the number $-\frac{1}{2}Lk(L, L^V)$ is equal to $-c_+$. We may assume that the push-off L^V of L in $S^3 - V$ lies in the unshaded regions of R^2 except in a neighbourhood of the crossing points. The following picture shows L^V near a crossing point (the orientations of L and L^V are not shown).



FIGURE 23

We compute $Lk(L, L^V)$, by counting the algebraic number of times L^V passes under L. It is easy to check that each crossing point of L contributes with a 2 if it is positive and with a 0 if it is negative. Thus $Lk(L, L^V) = 2c_+$.

Now, we prove that $\operatorname{sign}(Q_V) = |A| - 1$. The surface V retracts by deformation onto the complement on the unshaded regions in S^2. As the diagram is alternating, the number of unshaded regions is $|A|$, so that $b_1(V) = |A| - 1$. Thus we have to prove that the form Q_V is positive definite.

Let $\alpha \in H_1(V; Z)$ and let a be an oriented closed 1-manifold (possibly non connected) in V which represents α. Thus $Q(\alpha, \alpha) = Lk(\tilde{a}, a)$, where $\tilde{a}$ is the oriented closed 1-manifold in $S^3 - V$ obtained from a by the 2-sheeted blowing up procedure. If a subarc x of a lies in a shaded region far from crossing points of K, then, of the two corresponding subarcs of $\tilde{a}$, one lies over R^2 and the other one lies under R^2. We shall always picture the first (higher) subarc of $\tilde{a}$ on the right side of x (looking from above along a) and the second (lower) subarc of $\tilde{a}$ on the left side of x; see the following picture.



FIGURE 24

Note that the diagram of $\tilde{a}$ misses the diagram of a except in a neighborhood of the crossing points. Surgering if necessary a in V, we may assume that all components of a go through any band of V in one direction. Positions of a like those in the following picture may easily be removed by surgery.



FIGURE 25

For simplicity, consider first a neighbourhood of a crossing point through which a goes only once:



FIGURE 26

It is clear that $\tilde{a}$ passes under a in this neighbourhood one time from right to left.

If a goes through a neighbourhood $\mathscr{U}$ of a crossing point n times, then the relative positions of the corresponding n arcs of a, say $x_1, ..., x_n$, are represented as follows:



FIGURE 27

In the next picture, we show the two arcs of $\tilde{a}$ which correspond to x_i:



FIGURE 28

It is clear that these two arcs of $\tilde{a}$ pass $2i-1$ times from right to left under a. Thus the contribution of the neighbourhood $\mathscr{U}$ to $Q(\alpha, \alpha)$ is given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (2i-1) = -n + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = n^2 .$$

This shows that $Q(\alpha, \alpha) > 0$ if a crosses at least one band of V. If not, then $\alpha = 0$.

Thus Q is positive definite. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Appendix: an improvement of the inequality of Theorem 1

Though the inequality

$$c(K) + r(K) - 1 \geqslant \operatorname{span}(L) \tag{10}$$

of Theorem 1 becomes an equality for weakly alternating diagrams, it may be sharpened a little for other cases. Let K be a link diagram in R^2 and let $\Gamma \subset R^2$ be the associated link projection. For $P \in S^2 - \Gamma$ (where $S^2 = R^2 \cup \{\infty\}$), let $i(P)$ be the intersection number modulo 2 of Γ with a generic 1-chain connecting P to ∞. Shade the regions of $S^2 - \Gamma$ for which $i \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, so that S^2 is painted like a chessboard. Let $b_1, \ldots, b_m$ be the shaded regions of $S^2 - \Gamma$ and let $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ be the unshaded regions of $S^2 - \Gamma$.

An edge e of Γ is called *K-good* either if e is a loop or if one of the end points of e corresponds to an overcrossing point of K and the other end point of e corresponds to an undercrossing point of K. An edge of Γ which is not K-good is called *K-bad*. For any $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and for any $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, it is clear that the set $\overline{b_i} \cap \overline{w_j}$ consists of several edges and double points of Γ. Denote by $a(i, j)$ the number modulo 2 of K-bad edges in $\overline{b_i} \cap \overline{w_j}$. Denote by $u(K)$ the rank of the $m-by-n$ matrix $(a(i, j))$.

Theorem. *If K is a diagram of a link L, then*

$$c(K) + r(K) - 1 \geqslant \operatorname{span}(L) + u(K) . \tag{11}$$

Corollary. *If K is a diagram of an unsplittable link L, then*

$$c(K) \geqslant \operatorname{span}(L) + u(K) .$$

Of course, if K is a weakly alternating diagram, then $u(K) = 0$.