

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 33 (1987)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: RADICALS AND HILBERT NULLSTELLENSATZ FOR NOT NECESSARILY ALGEBRAICALLY CLOSED FIELDS
Autor: Laksov, Dan
Kapitel: §5. TWO EXAMPLES
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-87902>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 14.04.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

In the case that k is an ordered field results similar to the Hilbert K -Nullstellensatz were proved by Dubois [2] and Risler [7]. To state their results we introduce the following notation:

Assume that k is an ordered field. Given an ideal I in R we let

$$I_D = \left\{ f \in R \mid \text{there exists an integer } m, \text{ positive elements } a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \text{ of } k \text{ and rational functions } u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \text{ in } k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \text{ such that } f^n \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i u_i^2 \right) \in I \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$I_R = \left\{ f \in R \mid \text{there are positive elements } a_2, a_3, \dots, a_m \text{ of } k \text{ and elements } f_2, f_3, \dots, f_m \text{ of } R \text{ such that } f^2 + \sum_{i=2}^m a_i f_i^2 \in I \right\}.$$

It is fairly easy to see that I_R and I_D are radical ideals and clearly $I_R \subseteq I_D$. The Hilbert Nullstellensatz of Risler [7] states that, if $k = K = R_k$ where we denote by R_k the real closure of k , then

$$I_R = \{ f \in R \mid Z_K(f) \cong Z_K(I) \}$$

and the Nullstellensatz of Dubois [2] that, if $K = R_k$, then

$$I_D = \{ f \in R \mid Z_K(f) \cong Z_K(I) \}.$$

In particular it follows from these results that in the above cases I_R or I_D are equal to the K -radical $\sqrt[K]{I}$. From our point of view it is more satisfactory to proceed in the opposite direction and first prove directly, in the above cases, that the ideals I_D or I_R are equal to the K -radical and thus obtain the results of Dubois and Risler as a consequence of our K -Nullstellensatz. This can be done, however in order to prove that the various ideals are equal we need to use S. Lang's [6] version of Hilbert Nullstellensatz for real closed fields or Artin's solution of Hilbert's 17th problem (see [6], § 3 in particular Theorem 5 and Corollary 2 p. 279), so that this procedure is too close to the methods of Dubois and Risler to merit a separate presentation here.

§ 5. TWO EXAMPLES

In the introduction we associated to each ideal I of R a subset I_T of R such that $I \subseteq I_T \subseteq \sqrt[K]{I}$. For the two pairs of fields $k = K = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} = GF(2)$ and $k = K = \mathbf{Q}$ we give, in this section, examples of ideals I such that we have a strict inclusion $I_T \subset \sqrt[K]{I}$.

Example 1. Let k be the field with two elements and let $K = k$. Consider the ideal $I = (x_1) \subseteq k[x_1, x_2] = R$. The following three assertions hold:

(i) We have that

$$Z_K(I) = \{(0, 0), (0, 1)\} \subseteq \mathbf{A}_K^2 \text{ and} \\ \{f \in R \mid f \text{ vanishes on } Z_K(I)\} = (x_1, x_2(x_2 + 1)).$$

(ii) $\sqrt[K]{I} = (x_1, x_2(x_2 + 1))$.

(iii) $I_T = (x_1) = I$.

In particular we have a strict inequality $I_T \subset \sqrt[K]{I}$.

Of the three assertions (i) is obvious and the second follows from (i) and the Hilbert K -Nullstellensatz. To prove assertion (iii) we let $p \in P_K^0(m)$ and f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m be elements in R such that $p(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m) \in I$. We shall prove that $f_i \in I$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Assume to the contrary that not all the f_i are in I . Then the polynomials $f_i(0, x_2)$ are not all identically zero. Let d be the non-negative integer such that

$$f_i(0, x_2) = x_2^d g_i(x_2) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and x_2 does not divide $g_j(x_2)$ for some index j . Since $p(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m) \in I$ we have that

$$p(f_1(0, x_2), f_2(0, x_2), \dots, f_m(0, x_2)) = x_2^{de} p(g_1(x_2), g_2(x_2), \dots, g_m(x_2))$$

is identically zero in $k[x_2]$, where e is the degree of p . Hence

$$p(g_1(x_2), g_2(x_2), \dots, g_m(x_2))$$

is identically zero. In particular we have that $(g_1(0), g_2(0), \dots, g_m(0))$ is a zero of p in \mathbf{A}_K^m with $g_j(0) \neq 0$. This contradicts the assumption that $p \in P_K^0(m)$.

Example 2. Let $k = K = \mathbf{Q}$ and let $R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. Moreover, let

$$f(y_1, y_2, y_3) = y_1^3 + y_2^3 + 3y_3^3$$

and $I = (f(y_1, y_2, y_3))$ the ideal in R generated by f .

The following three assertions hold:

(i) We have that $Z_K(I) = \{(a, -a, 0) \mid a \in K\} \subseteq \mathbf{A}_K^3$ and

$$\{f \in R \mid f \text{ vanishes on } Z_K(I)\} = (x_1 + x_2, x_3).$$

(ii) $\sqrt[K]{I} = (x_1 + x_2, x_3)$.

(iii) The ideal I_T does not contain a (non-zero) linear form.

In particular we have a strict inequality $I_T \subset \sqrt[K]{I}$.

The first assertion of (i) is a well known result in number theory (see e.g. Hardy and Wright [3], Theorem 232 page 196) and the second assertion of (i) is an immediate consequence of the first. Assertion (ii) follows from (i) and the Hilbert K -Nullstellensatz.

To prove assertion (iii) we let $l = ax_1 + bx_2 + cx_3$ be a non-zero linear form and $p = p(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \in P_K^0(m)$ an element of degree d . Assume that there are polynomials $f_i = f_i(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ of R for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ such that

$$p(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1}, l) = f(x_1, x_2, x_3) g(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

for some polynomial $g = g(x_1, x_2, x_3)$. Then the following six assertions hold:

(a) *The polynomials f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1} have zero constant term.*

Indeed, specialize x_1, x_2, x_3 to $0, 0, 0$ respectively. We obtain that

$$p(f_1(0, 0, 0), f_2(0, 0, 0), \dots, f_{m-1}(0, 0, 0), 0) = f(0, 0, 0) g(0, 0, 0) = 0.$$

Hence the existence of a non-zero constant term would contradict the assumption that $p \in P_K^0(m)$.

Denote by $l_i = l_i(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ the linear term of f_i .

(b) *The homogenous polynomial $p(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{m-1}, l)$ is not (identically) zero and it is the lowest non-zero homogeneous term of*

$$p(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1}, l).$$

Indeed, if $p(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{m-1}, l)$ were zero, we can specialize (x_1, x_2, x_3) to a point (a_1, b_1, c_1) of K^3 which is not a zero of l . We then obtain $p(l_1(a_1, b_1, c_1), l_2(a_1, b_1, c_1), \dots, l_{m-1}(a_1, b_1, c_1), l(a_1, b_1, c_1)) = 0$ which again contradicts the assumption that $p \in P_K^0(m)$. The second assertion of (b) follows from (a).

Denote by $h(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ the non-zero homogenous term of $g(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ which has lowest degree.

(c) *We have that $h(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is of degree $d - 3$ and that*

$$p(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{m-1}, l) = f(x_1, x_2, x_3) h(x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

Indeed, since f is homogeneous of degree 3, assertion (c) follows from assertion (b).

We write $l_i = a_i x_1 + b_i x_2 + c_i x_3$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$.

(d) *We have that $a = b$ and that $a_i = b_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$.*

Indeed, specialize x_1, x_2, x_3 to $1, -1, 0$ respectively. From assertion (c) we obtain that

$$p(a_1 - b_1, a_2 - b_2, \dots, a_{m-1} - b_{m-1}, a - b) = f(1, -1, 0) h(1, -1, 0) = 0.$$

Hence assertion (d) follows from the assumption that $p \in P_K^0(m)$.

(e) *We have that $a = b = a_i = b_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$.*

Indeed, specializing x_1, x_2, x_3 to $x_1, x_2, 0$ respectively, we obtain from the equation of assertion (c) and from assertion (d) that

$$\begin{aligned} p(a_1(x_1 + x_2), a_2(x_1 + x_2), \dots, a_{m-1}(x_1 + x_2), a(x_1 + x_2)) \\ = (x_1^3 + x_2^3) h(x_1, x_2, 0). \end{aligned}$$

The left hand side of the latter equation is equal to

$$(x_1 + x_2)^d p(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m-1}, a)$$

which is not divisible by $x_1^3 + x_2^3$ unless $p(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m-1}, a) = 0$. Assertion (e) therefore follows from assertion (d) and the assumption that $p \in P_K^0(m)$.

(f) *We have that $c \neq 0$ and $p(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{m-1}, c) = 0$.*

Indeed, since $l = ax_1 + bx_2 + cx_3$ is non-zero it follows from assertion (e) that $c \neq 0$. Moreover it follows from assertion (e) that the equation of assertion (c) can be written as

$$p(c_1x_3, c_2x_3, \dots, c_{m-1}x_3, cx_3) = f(x_1, x_2, x_3) h(x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

The left hand side of the latter equation is equal to $x_3^d p(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{m-1}, c)$ which is not divisible by $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ unless $p(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{m-1}, c) = 0$.

We have thus proved that, if we assume that polynomials f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1} such that $p(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1}, l) \in I$ exist, we arrive at the contradiction (f) to the assumption that $p \in P_K^0(m)$. Hence we must have that $l \notin I_T$ as asserted.