

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 33 (1987)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: RADICALS AND HILBERT NULLSTELLENSATZ FOR NOT NECESSARILY ALGEBRAICALLY CLOSED FIELDS
Autor: Laksov, Dan
Kapitel: §4. Connections with previous results
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-87902>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 20.05.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

To prove that, if $K = \bar{k}$ and I is a proper ideal of R , we have that $Z_K(I) \neq \emptyset$, we choose a maximal ideal M containing I . By repeated application of assertion (ii) of Proposition 7 we see that there is a k -homomorphism

$$a: R/M \rightarrow \bar{k} = K$$

Hence, if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ are the classes of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r in R/M we have that $(a(\alpha_1), a(\alpha_2), \dots, a(\alpha_n)) \in Z_K(M) \subseteq Z_K(I)$ and $Z_K(I) \neq \emptyset$ as we wanted to prove.

§ 4. CONNECTIONS WITH PREVIOUS RESULTS

A less elegant form of the Hilbert K -Nullstellensatz, that do not involve the K -radical explicitly, is the following:

Let J be an ideal of R . The following two assertions are equivalent:

- (i) *If $f \in R$ vanishes on $Z_K(J)$, then $f \in J$.*
- (ii) *If f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m are polynomials in R such that $p(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m) \in J$ for some p in $P_K(m)$, then $f_m \in J$.*

From Proposition 4(ii) it follows that assertion (i) can be stated as

$$J = \{f \in R \mid Z_K(f) \supseteq Z_K(J)\}$$

and from the definition of the K -radical assertion (ii) can be stated as $J = \sqrt[K]{J}$. Hence the equivalence of the two assertions is the Hilbert K -Nullstellensatz for K -radical ideals. However, if I is any ideal of R , we have that $J = \sqrt[K]{I}$ is K -radical by Proposition 3 and that $Z_K(I) = Z_K(J)$ by Proposition 4(i). Hence, the above result is equivalent to the Hilbert K -Nullstellensatz

$$\sqrt[K]{I} = \{f \in R \mid Z_K(f) \supseteq Z_K(I)\}$$

for I .

The sets $P_K(m)$ in the particular case $k = K$, were introduced by Adkins, Gianni and Tognoli [1] in order to prove the above result when $k = K$. As a consequence they obtained the Hilbert Nullstellensatz in the particular case $k = K = \bar{k}$. The reason for introducing the sets $P_K(m)$ in general is to formulate the above more general result, that is a true generalization of the Hilbert Nullstellensatz.

In the case that k is an ordered field results similar to the Hilbert K -Nullstellensatz were proved by Dubois [2] and Risler [7]. To state their results we introduce the following notation:

Assume that k is an ordered field. Given an ideal I in R we let

$$I_D = \{f \in R \mid \text{there exists an integer } m, \text{ positive elements } a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \text{ of } k \text{ and rational functions } u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \text{ in } k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \text{ such that } f^n(1 + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i u_i^2) \in I\} \text{ and}$$

$$I_R = \{f \in R \mid \text{there are positive elements } a_2, a_3, \dots, a_m \text{ of } k \text{ and elements } f_2, f_3, \dots, f_m \text{ of } R \text{ such that } f^2 + \sum_{i=2}^m a_i f_i^2 \in I\}.$$

It is fairly easy to see that I_R and I_D are radical ideals and clearly $I_R \subseteq I_D$. The Hilbert Nullstellensatz of Risler [7] states that, if $k = K = R_k$ where we denote by R_k the real closure of k , then

$$I_R = \{f \in R \mid Z_K(f) \supseteq Z_K(I)\}$$

and the Nullstellensatz of Dubois [2] that, if $K = R_k$, then

$$I_D = \{f \in R \mid Z_K(f) \supseteq Z_K(I)\}.$$

In particular it follows from these results that in the above cases I_R or I_D are equal to the K -radical $\sqrt[K]{I}$. From our point of view it is more satisfactory to proceed in the opposite direction and first prove directly, in the above cases, that the ideals I_D or I_R are equal to the K -radical and thus obtain the results of Dubois and Risler as a consequence of our K -Nullstellensatz. This can be done, however in order to prove that the various ideals are equal we need to use S. Lang's [6] version of Hilbert Nullstellensatz for real closed fields or Artin's solution of Hilbert's 17th problem (see [6], § 3 in particular Theorem 5 and Corollary 2 p. 279), so that this procedure is too close to the methods of Dubois and Risler to merit a separate presentation here.

§ 5. TWO EXAMPLES

In the introduction we associated to each ideal I of R a subset I_T of R such that $I \subseteq I_T \subseteq \sqrt[K]{I}$. For the two pairs of fields $k = K = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} = GF(2)$ and $k = K = \mathbf{Q}$ we give, in this section, examples of ideals I such that we have a strict inclusion $I_T \subset \sqrt[K]{I}$.