

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 33 (1987)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: RADICALS AND HILBERT NULLSTELLENSATZ FOR NOT NECESSARILY ALGEBRAICALLY CLOSED FIELDS
Autor: Laksov, Dan
Kapitel: §2. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE K-RADICAL
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-87902>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 09.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

For which pair of fields $k \subseteq K$ do we have that $I_T = \sqrt[k]{I}$ for all ideals I of R ?

It was long conjectured that equality holds for all pairs of fields (at least when the characteristics of k is zero). We shall however, in section 5, give examples showing that one may have strict inequality $I_T \subset \sqrt[k]{I}$ for the two pairs $k = K = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ and $k = K = \mathbf{Q}$.

Before we proceed (in § 3) to prove the Hilbert K -Nullstellensatz we shall in § 2 collect all the results that we need about the K -radicals and the polynomials $P_K(m)$ in the next section.

§ 2. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE K -RADICAL

We shall denote by $S(m)$ the polynomial ring $k[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m]$.

LEMMA 1. Let $p \in P_K(m)$ and $q \in P_K(n)$. For each polynomial $s = s(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+n}) \in S(m+n)$ of degree one less than q , we have that,

$$r = p(y_1 \cdot s, y_2 \cdot s, \dots, y_{m-1} \cdot s, q(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, \dots, y_{m+n})) \in P_K(m+n).$$

Proof. It is clear that r is a homogeneous polynomial in $S(m+n)$. Let $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m+n}) \in \mathbf{A}_K^{m+n}$ be a zero of r . Since $p \in P_K(m)$, we have that $q(a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}, \dots, a_{m+n}) = 0$. However, we have that $q \in P_K(m)$ so that $a_{m+n} = 0$. Consequently $r \in P_K(m+n)$ as asserted.

PROPOSITION 2. Let A be a k -algebra and I an ideal of A . Then the K -radical $\sqrt[k]{I}$ of I is an ideal of A (possibly A itself) which contains the radical of I .

Proof. Since $P_K(1) = \{1, y_1, y_1^2, \dots\}$ it is clear that the set $\sqrt[k]{I}$ contains \sqrt{I} .

Let f and g be elements in $\sqrt[k]{I}$. Then by the definition of the K -radical there are positive integers m and n , polynomials $p \in P_K(m)$ and $q \in P_K(n)$ and elements f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1} and g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1} of A such that

$$p(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1}) \in I \quad \text{and}$$

$$q(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1}, g) \in I$$

Let h be an element of A and let d be the degree of p . Then we have that

$$p(hf_1, hf_2, \dots, hf_{m-1}, hf) = h^d p(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1}, f) \in I.$$

Consequently it follows from the definition of K -radicals that $h \cdot f \in \sqrt[d]{I}$.

In order to prove the Proposition it remains to prove that $(f + g) \in \sqrt[d]{I}$.

To this end we rewrite the polynomial $q(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, \dots, y_{m+n})$ in the following form

$$q(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, \dots, y_{m+n-1}, y_{m+n} - y_m) + y_m^s q(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, \dots, y_{m+n}),$$

where s is a homogeneous polynomial of $S(m+n)$ of degree one less than the degree of q .

By Lemma 1 we have that

$$r = r(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+n}) = p(y_1 \cdot s, y_2 \cdot s, \dots, y_{m-1} \cdot s, q(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, \dots, y_{m+n}))$$

is in $P_K(m+n)$. However, from the above form of $q(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, \dots, y_{m+n})$, it follows that r can be rewritten as

$$p(y_1 \cdot s, y_2 \cdot s, \dots, y_{m-1} \cdot s, y_m \cdot s) + q(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, \dots, y_{m+n-1}, y_{m+n} - y_m) \cdot t(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+n}),$$

where $t(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+n})$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $S(m+n)$ of degree equal to $(d-1) \cdot \deg(q)$.

From the latter form of r we obtain that, if we write $l = s(f, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$, then $h = r(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1}, f, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1}, f+g)$ can be written as

$$l^d p(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1}, f) + q(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1}, g) \cdot t(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1}, f, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1}, f+g).$$

The latter element is in I and since $r \in P_K(m+n)$ it follows from the definition of the K -radical that $f + g \in \sqrt[d]{I}$, as we wanted to prove.

We shall call an ideal I of a k -algebra A , K -radical, if $\sqrt[d]{I} = I$.

The next result shows that $\sqrt[d]{I}$ is always K -radical.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let A be a k -algebra and I an ideal of A . Moreover, let $J = \sqrt[d]{I}$. Then we have that $\sqrt[d]{J} = J$.*

Proof. Let f be in $\sqrt[d]{J}$. We shall prove that $f \in J$. By definition of the K -radical, there is a positive integer n , a polynomial $q \in P_K(n)$ and elements f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1} in A such that

$$g = q(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}, f) \in J.$$

Now, since $g \in \sqrt[d]{I}$, there is furthermore a positive integer m , a polynomial $p \in P_K(m)$ and elements g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m-1} in A such that

$$p(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m-1}, g) \in I.$$

Let d be the degree of q . Then by Lemma 1 with $s = y_n^{d-1}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & r(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+n}) \\ &= p(y_1 \cdot y_m^{d-1}, y_2 \cdot y_m^{d-1}, \dots, y_{m-1} \cdot y_m^{d-1}, q(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, \dots, y_n)) \end{aligned}$$

is in $P_K(m+n)$. However, we have that the element

$$\begin{aligned} & r(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m-1}, 1, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}, f) \\ &= p(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m-1}, q(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}, f)) = p(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m-1}, g) \end{aligned}$$

is in I . Hence f is in $\sqrt[K]{I} = J$ as we wanted to prove.

As in the traditional case, one of the two assertions of the Hilbert K -Nullstellensatz and of its weak form is easy.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let I be an ideal of R and $J = \sqrt[K]{I}$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) $Z_K(J) = Z_K(I)$,
- (ii) $J \subseteq \{f \in R \mid Z_K(f) \supseteq Z_K(I)\}$,
- (iii) if $Z_K(I) \neq \emptyset$ then $J \neq R$.

Proof. Since J contains I we have the inclusion $Z_K(J) \subseteq Z_K(I)$. To prove the opposite inclusion as well as assertion (ii) it suffices to prove that for each point $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbf{A}_K^r$ of $Z_K(I)$, we have that $f(a) = 0$ for all $f \in J$. However if $f \in T$ then there exists a polynomial p in $P_K(m)$ for some natural number m and elements f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1} in R such that

$$p(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1}, f) \in I.$$

Since a is in $Z_K(I)$ we obtain that

$$p(f_1(a), f_2(a), \dots, f_{m-1}(a), f(a)) = 0.$$

However, we have that $p \in P_K(m)$ so that $f(a) = 0$.

The last assertion of the Proposition follows from assertion (ii).

The crucial tool in our proof of the Hilbert K -Nullstellensatz is the following result, which certainly is well known, but for which we have no reference.

PROPOSITION 5. *Assume that K is not algebraically closed. Then, for each positive integer m , there is a homogeneous polynomial $p \in k[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m]$ with only the trivial zero in \mathbf{A}_K^m . That is, $Z_K(p) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$.*

Proof. For $m = 1$ we can use $p(y_1) = y_1$. The heart of the proof is the case $m = 2$. We divide the proof for $m = 2$ into two cases.

Case 1. There exists an element α in $\bar{k} \setminus K$ which is separable over k . Let L be the normal closure of $k(\alpha)$ in \bar{k} . Then L is a finite separable extension of k and thus generated by one element β . That is $L = k(\beta)$. Since L is normal all the conjugates $\beta = \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$ of β are in L and clearly $L = k(\beta_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We have that L is not contained in K because $\alpha \notin K$. Hence, none of the roots $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$ of the minimal polynomial $f(x) \in k[x]$ of the element β over k , are in K . Consequently, the homogenization.

$$p(y_1, y_2) = y_2^d \cdot f(y_1 \cdot y_2^{-1})$$

of f , where d is the degree of f , has no non-trivial root in \mathbf{A}_K^2 .

Case 2. All elements of $\bar{k} \setminus K$ are purely inseparable over k . Choose an element $\gamma \in \bar{k} \setminus K$. Then $\gamma^q = a$ is in k for some power q of the characteristics of k and γ is the only root of the polynomial $x^q - a$. Hence

$$p(y_1, y_2) = (y_1 - a y_2)^q$$

is a homogeneous polynomial without any non-trivial roots in \mathbf{A}_K^2 .

The two cases above exhaust all possibilities for elements in $\bar{k} \setminus K$. Hence we have proved the existence of homogeneous polynomials in $k[y_1, y_2]$ without any non trivial zeroes.

We now proceed by induction on m . Assume that $m \geq 2$ and that we have proved the existence of a homogeneous polynomial $p(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ with only the trivial zero in \mathbf{A}_K^m . Let $q(y_1, y_2)$ be a homogeneous polynomial with only the trivial zero in \mathbf{A}_K^2 . Then, if d is the degree of p , we have that $r(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+1}) = q(p(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m), y_{m+1}^d)$ is a homogeneous polynomial with only the trivial zero in \mathbf{A}_K^{m+1} . Indeed, the homogeneity is clear, and if $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m+1}) \in \mathbf{A}_K^{m+1}$ is a zero of r , we must have that $p(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) = 0$ and $a_{m+1} = 0$ since q has no non-trivial zeroes. Then we must have that $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m = 0$ since the same is true for p .

§ 3. PROOF OF THE HILBERT K -NULLSTELLENSATZ

There exists in the literature a great variety of proofs of the Hilbert Nullstellensatz. Most of them start by proving the weak form and then deducing the Nullstellensatz by localization procedures that are more or less