**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
**Band:** 32 (1986)
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** INTRODUCTION TO MICROLOCAL ANALYSIS
**Autor:** Kashiwara, Masaki
**Kapitel:** §9. Regularity Conditions (See [KK], [K-O])
**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-55089

**Nutzungsbedingungen**
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

**Conditions d'utilisation**
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

**Terms of use**
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

**Download PDF:** 09.12.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch**

THEOREM 8.10.1. *Let* $U_X$ *and* $U_Y$ *be open subsets of* $T^*X$ *and* $T^*Y$, *respectively. Let* $\mathcal{N}$ *be a coherent* $(\mathcal{E}_Y|_{U_Y})$*-module. Assume*

(i) $\rho_g : \tilde{\omega}_g^{-1}(\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{N}) \cap \rho_g^{-1}(U_X) \to U_X$ *is a finite morphism.*

*Then we have*

(a) $\mathcal{T}or_j^{\tilde{\omega}_g^{-1}\mathcal{E}_Y}(\mathcal{E}_{X\leftarrow Y}, \tilde{\omega}_g^{-1}\mathcal{N}) = 0$ for $j \neq 0$.

(b) $\mathcal{M} = \rho_{g*}(\mathcal{E}_{X\leftarrow Y} \underset{\tilde{\omega}_g^{-1}\mathcal{E}_Y}{\otimes} \tilde{\omega}_g^{-1}\mathcal{N})|_{U_X}$ *is a coherent* $\mathcal{E}_X|_{U_X}$*-module.*

(c) $\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{M} = \rho_g(\tilde{\omega}_g^{-1}\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{N} \cap U_X)$.

## § 9. REGULARITY CONDITIONS (See [KK], [K-O])

9.1. Let us recall the notion of regular singularity of ordinary differential equations. Let $P(x, \partial) = \sum_{j\leq m} a_j(x)\partial^i$ be a linear differential operator in one variable $x$. We assume that the $a_j(x)$ are holomorphic on a neighborhood of $x = 0$. Then we say that the origin 0 is a regular singularity of $Pu = 0$ if

$$(*) \qquad \operatorname{ord}_{x=0} a_j(x) \geqslant \operatorname{ord}_{x=0} a_m(x) - (m-j).$$

Here $\operatorname{ord}_{x=0}$ means the order of the zero. In this case, the local structure of the equation is very simple. In fact, the $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P$ is a direct sum of copies of the following modules:

$$\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X\partial, \ \mathcal{B}_{\{0\}|X} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X x, \ \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X(x\partial-\lambda)^{m+1} \quad (\lambda\in\mathbf{C}, m\in\mathbf{N}),$$
$$\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X(x\partial)^{m+1}x \quad (m\in\mathbf{N}), \ \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X\partial(x\partial)^{m+1} \quad (m\in\mathbf{N}).$$

If we denote by $u$ the canonical generator, then we have $Pu = 0$. By multiplying either a power of $\partial$ or a power of $x$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} b_j(x)\,(x\partial)^j u = 0$$

with $b_N(x) = 1$. Hence $\mathcal{F} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}(x\partial)^j u = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{O}(x\partial)^j u$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}$-submodule of $\mathcal{M}$ which satisfies $(x\partial)\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$. We shall generalize this property to the case of several variables.

9.2. Let $X$ be a complex manifold, $\Omega$ an open subset of $\mathring{T}^*X$ and $V$ a closed involutive complex submanifold of $\Omega$. Let us define

$$\mathscr{J}_V = \{u \in \mathscr{E}(1)|_\Omega;\ \sigma_1(P)|_V = 0\}$$

and let $\mathscr{E}_V$ be the subring of $\mathscr{E}_X|_\Omega$ generated by $\mathscr{J}_V$. For a coherent $\mathscr{E}_X$-module $\mathscr{M}$, a coherent sub-$\mathscr{E}_X(0)$-module $\mathscr{L}$ of $\mathscr{M}$ is called a *lattice* of $\mathscr{M}$ if $\mathscr{M} = \mathscr{E}_X\mathscr{L}$. The following proposition is easily derived from the fact that $\mathscr{E}(0)$ is a Noetherian ring.

PROPOSITION 9.2.1 ([K-O] Theorem 1.4.7). *Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a coherent $\mathscr{E}_X|_\Omega$-module. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *For any point $p \in \Omega$, there is a lattice $\mathscr{M}_0$ of $\mathscr{M}$ on a neighborhood of $p$ such that $\mathscr{J}_V\mathscr{M}_0 = \mathscr{M}_0$.*

(2) *For any open subset $U$ of $\Omega$ and for any coherent $\mathscr{E}(0)$-submodule $\mathscr{L}$ of $\mathscr{M}|_U$, $\mathscr{E}_V\mathscr{L}$ is coherent over $\mathscr{E}(0)|_U$.*

*Definition 9.2.2.* If the equivalent conditions of the preceding proposition are satisfied, then we say that $\mathscr{M}$ has *regular singularities* along $V$.

Remark that if $\mathscr{M}$ has regular singularity along $V$, then the support of $\mathscr{M}$ is contained in $V$. Let us denote by $IR_V(\mathscr{M})$ the set of points $p$ such that $\mathscr{M}$ has no regular singularities along $V$ on any neighborhood of $p$.

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Gabber's Theorem 6.3.2.

THEOREM 9.2.3. *$IR_V(\mathscr{M})$ is an involutive analytic subset of $\mathscr{M}$.*

In fact, if we take a lattice $\mathscr{M}_0$ of $\mathscr{M}$, then $T^*X \backslash IR_V(\mathscr{M})$ is the largest open subset on which $\mathscr{E}_V\mathscr{M}_0$ is coherent over $\mathscr{E}(0)$.

9.3. If an $\mathscr{E}$-module $\mathscr{M}$ has regular singularities along an involutive submanifold $V$ then $\mathscr{M}$ is, roughly speaking, constant along the bicharacteristics of $V$. More precisely, let $Y$ and $Z$ be complex manifolds and $X = Y \times Z$. Let $z_0 \in Z$ and let $j$ be the inclusion map $Y \hookrightarrow X$ by $y \mapsto (y, z_0)$. Then we have

THEOREM 9.3.1. *Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a coherent $\mathscr{E}_X$-module. Assume that $\mathscr{M}$ has regular singularities along $T^*Y \times T^*_Z Z$. Then $\mathscr{M}$ is isomorphic to $j^*\mathscr{M} \hat{\otimes} \mathscr{O}_Z$.*

Note that any involutive submanifold $V$ of $T^*X$ with $\theta_X|_V \neq 0$ is transformed by a homogeneous symplectic transformation to the form $T^*Y \times T^*_Z Z$.

9.4. Noting that any nowhere dense closed analytic subset of a Lagrangean variety is never involutive, Theorem 9.2.3 implies the following theorem.

THEOREM 9.4.1. *Let* $\mathscr{M}$ *be a holonomic* $\mathscr{E}_X$*-module. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *There exists a Lagrangean subvariety* $\Lambda$ *such that* $\mathscr{M}$ *has regular singularities along* $\Lambda$.

(ii) *For any involutive subvariety* $\Lambda$ *which contains* $\operatorname{Supp}\mathscr{M}$, $\mathscr{M}$ *has regular singularities along* $\Lambda$.

(iii) *There exists an open dense subset* $\Omega$ *of* $\operatorname{Supp}\mathscr{M}$ *such that* $\mathscr{M}$ *has regular singularities along* $\operatorname{Supp}\mathscr{M}$ *on* $\Omega$.

If these equivalent conditions are satisfied, we say that $\mathscr{M}$ is a *regular holonomic* $\mathscr{E}_X$-module.

The following properties are almost immediate.

THEOREM 9.4.2.

(i) *Let* $0 \to \mathscr{M}' \to \mathscr{M} \to \mathscr{M}'' \to 0$ *be an exact sequence of three coherent* $\mathscr{E}_X$*-modules. If two of them are regular holonomic then so is the third.*

(ii) *If* $\mathscr{M}$ *is regular holonomic, its dual* $\mathscr{M}^*$ *is also regular holonomic.*

We just mention another analytic property of regular holonomic modules, which generalizes the fact that a formal solution of an ordinary differential equation with regular singularity converges.

THEOREM 9.4.3 ([KK] Theorem 6.1.3). *If* $\mathscr{M}$ *and* $\mathscr{N}$ *are regular holonomic* $\mathscr{E}_X$*-modules, then* $\mathscr{E}xt^j_{\mathscr{E}_X}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N}) \to \mathscr{E}xt^j_{\mathscr{E}_X}(\mathscr{M}, \hat{\mathscr{E}}_X \underset{\mathscr{E}_X}{\otimes} \mathscr{N})$ *and* $\mathscr{E}xt^j_{\mathscr{E}_X}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N}) \to \mathscr{E}xt^j_{\mathscr{E}_X}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{E}^\infty_X \underset{\mathscr{E}_X}{\otimes} \mathscr{N})$ *are isomorphisms.*

## § 10. STRUCTURE OF REGULAR HOLONOMIC $\mathscr{E}$-MODULES (See [SKK], [KK])

10.1. Let $\Lambda$ be a Lagrangean submanifold of $T^*X$. We define $\mathscr{J}_\Lambda$ and $\mathscr{E}_\Lambda$ as in § 9.2.

Then $\mathscr{E}_\Lambda(-1) = \mathscr{E}_\Lambda \cdot \mathscr{E}(-1)$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathscr{E}_\Lambda$ and $\mathscr{E}_\Lambda/\mathscr{E}_\Lambda(-1)$ is a sheaf of rings which contains $\mathscr{O}_\Lambda(0) = \mathscr{E}(0)/\mathscr{J}_\Lambda(-1)$, the sheaf of homogeneous functions on $\Lambda$.