Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 32 (1986)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ON CONSECUTIVE VALUES OF THE LIOUVILLE FUNCTION
Autor: Hildebrand, Adolf
Kapitel: 3. Proof of the Theorem, beginning
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-55088

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 12.03.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

has infinitely many solutions, provided it has at least one solution. It would be interesting to have an analogous result for three (or more) consecutive values, but the above method does not work in this case.

3. Proof of the Theorem, beginning

We shall show here that each of the equations

$$\lambda(n) = \lambda(n+1) = \lambda(n-1) = 1 \tag{2}$$

and

$$\lambda(n) = \lambda(n+1) = \lambda(n-1) = -1 \tag{2}'$$

has infinitely many solutions. Since the arguments for the two cases are completely symmetric, we shall carry out the proof only in the case of equation (2).

Call an integer $n \geqslant 2$ "good", if (2) holds for this n. We have to show that there are infinitely many good integers. To this end we shall show that for any positive integer n satisfying

$$n \equiv 0 \pmod{15}, \quad \lambda(n+1) = \lambda(n-1) = 1, \tag{3}$$

the interval

$$I_n = \left[\frac{4n}{5}, 4n + 5\right] \tag{4}$$

contains a good integer. Since by the lemma (3) holds for infinitely many positive integers n, the desired result follows.

To prove our assertion we fix a positive integer n, for which (3) holds. We may suppose $\lambda(n) = -1$, since otherwise $n \in I_n$ is good, and we are done. Put $N = 4n$, and note that, by construction, N is divisible by 3, 4 and 5. From (3) we get, using the multiplicativity of the function λ,

$$\lambda(N \pm 4) = \lambda(4(n \pm 1)) = \lambda(4)\,\lambda(n \pm 1) = 1,$$

and our assumption $\lambda(n) = -1$ implies

$$\lambda(N) = \lambda(4n) = \lambda(4)\,\lambda(n) = -1.$$

If now

$$\lambda(N+5) = \lambda(N-5) = -1,$$

then

$$\lambda\left(\frac{N}{5} \pm 1\right) = \frac{\lambda(N \pm 5)}{\lambda(5)} = 1 = -\lambda(N) = \lambda\left(\frac{N}{5}\right),$$

and $N/5 = 4n/5 \in I_n$ is good. We may therefore suppose that at least one of values $\lambda(N+5)$ and $\lambda(N-5)$ equals 1.

For definiteness we shall assume $\lambda(N+5) = 1$; the other case is treated in exactly the same way.

If $\lambda(N+3) = 1$ or $\lambda(N+6) = 1$, then $N + 4 \in I_n$ or $N + 5 \in I_n$ is good. But in the remaining case

$$\lambda(N+3) = \lambda(N+6) = -1$$

we have

$$\lambda\left(\frac{N}{3}\right) = \lambda\left(\frac{N}{3} + 1\right) = \lambda\left(\frac{N}{3} + 2\right) = 1,$$

so that $(N+3)/3 \in I_n$ is good.

Thus (3) implies the existence of a good integer in the interval (4), as we had to show.

4. Proof of the Theorem, conclusion

So far we have proved that (1) has infinitely many solutions in the cases $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = -1$. But this obviously implies that for each of the triples $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) = (1, 1, -1)$, $(-1, -1, 1)$, $(1, -1, -1)$ and $(-1, 1, 1)$ there are also infinitely many solutions to (1). It remains therefore to consider the triples $(1, -1, 1)$ and $(-1, 1, -1)$. Since the arguments in both cases are the same (with $+1$ and -1 interchanged), we shall confine ourselves to the case $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) = (1, -1, 1)$. Accordingly, we call $n \geqslant 2$ good, whenever

$$\lambda(n+1) = \lambda(n-1) = 1, \quad \lambda(n) = -1.$$

We have to show that there are infinitely many such n.

Suppose, to get a contradiction, that there are only finitely many good integers, all of them $\leqslant N_0$, say. Suppose further that

$$\lambda(n) = 1 (m_0 \leqslant n \leqslant n_0) \tag{5}$$

holds for some integers $n_0 > m_0 \geqslant 2N_0$. We shall show that then