Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 31 (1985)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ON A CLASS OF ORTHOMODULAR QUADRATIC SPACES
Autor: Gross, Herbert / Künzi, Urs-Martin
Kapitel: XI. Continuous operators are not always bounded
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-54565

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 06.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

COROLLARY 38. *Assume that the definite space* $(\mathfrak{E}; \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$ *is complete and that the system of types (Corollary 26) is linearly independent in* $\Gamma/2\Gamma$ *(considered as a* $\mathbf{Z}_2$*-vector space) then the conclusions (i), (ii), (iii) of Theorem 37 hold.*

$C(\mathfrak{E})$ in Theorem 37 is not complete (unless finite dimensional). Its quadratic form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ can be extended to the completion $\tilde{C}$. By using Theorem 28 one can see that this completion has $L_{\perp\perp}(\tilde{C}) = L_c(\tilde{C})$ if and only if E has $L_{\perp\perp}(E) = L_c(E)$.

XI. CONTINUOUS OPERATORS ARE NOT ALWAYS BOUNDED

XI.1. INTRODUCTION. Let $\mathfrak{E}$ be an infinite dimensional definite space in the sense of Definition 15. A linear map (operator) $h: \mathfrak{E} \to \mathfrak{E}$ is called *bounded* iff there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that for all $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathfrak{E}$ we have $\varphi\langle h\mathfrak{x}\rangle \geqslant \gamma + \varphi\langle \mathfrak{x}\rangle$.

In [6] A. Fässler gave an explicit example of a continuous operator h on an orthomodular space $\mathfrak{E}$ that is not bounded; she also proved a criterion for boundness which is very useful in the study of the algebra $\mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ of bounded operators $h: \mathfrak{E} \to \mathfrak{E}$ when $\mathfrak{E}$ is an orthomodular definite space of a certain kind. We shall prove this criterion anew here as its original proof can be shortened considerably.

We shall consider definite spaces that satisfy

(19) $(\mathfrak{E}; \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$ contains a maximal orthogonal family $(\mathfrak{e}_i)_{\mathbf{N}}$ such that the groups $\Theta(\varphi\langle \mathfrak{e}_i\rangle)$ are different.

By (14) we see that (19) is a property of $\mathfrak{E}$, not of $(\mathfrak{e}_i)_{\mathbf{N}}$; Keller's original example of an orthomodular space satisfies (19).

XI.2. FÄSSLER'S CRITERION. In this subsection let $(\mathfrak{E}; \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$ be an infinite dimensional orthomodular space that has (19). Fix a maximal orthogonal family $(\mathfrak{e}_i)_{\mathbf{N}}$ that enjoys (19). If $f: \mathfrak{E} \to \mathfrak{E}$ is given, expand (Lemma 27)

$$f\mathfrak{e}_i = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \alpha_{ij}\mathfrak{e}_j \qquad (i \in \mathbf{N}) \tag{20}$$

THEOREM 39 ([6]). *The linear map* f *is bounded iff it is continuous and satisfies*

(21) $\{\varphi\alpha_{ii} \mid T\,\varphi\langle f e_i\rangle = T\,\varphi\langle e_i\rangle\}$ *is bounded below.*

The heart of the proof of Theorem 39 is the following consequence of assumption (19).

LEMMA 40 [6]. *If f is continuous then (19) implies that the set $I := \{i \in \mathbf{N} \mid \varphi\langle f e_i\rangle < \varphi\langle e_i\rangle \;\&\; \varphi\langle f e_i\rangle \not\equiv \varphi\langle e_i\rangle \pmod{2\Gamma}\}$ is finite.*

Proof. We renumber the e_i such that $\Theta(\varphi\langle e_i\rangle) \underset{\neq}{\subset} \Theta(\varphi\langle e_{i+1}\rangle)$. If we replace e_i by a multiple then its group does not change; therefore we may assume without loss of generality that for all $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$ we have

(22) $$r < s \Rightarrow \varphi\langle e_r\rangle \in \Theta(\varphi\langle e_s\rangle)\,, \qquad \varphi\langle e_r\rangle \geqslant 0$$

From (22) we obtain that for all $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$

(23) $$r < s \Rightarrow \forall\delta \in \Gamma : \varphi\langle e_r\rangle < |\,\varphi\langle e_s\rangle + 2\delta\,|$$

If $i \in I$ then $\varphi\langle f e_i\rangle \equiv \varphi\langle e_j\rangle$ for some $j \neq i$. Let $I_0 \subset I$ be the subset of those i for which the j is smaller than i. Thus, if $i \in I\backslash I_0$ then $\varphi\langle f e_i\rangle = \varphi\langle e_j\rangle + 2\varphi\alpha_{ij} < \varphi\langle e_i\rangle$; so by (23) we must actually have $\varphi\langle f e_i\rangle \leqslant -\varphi\langle e_i\rangle \leqslant 0$. Since (e_i) is a null sequence we see that $I\backslash I_0$ has to be finite (because $\{f e_i \mid i \in I\backslash I_0\}$ must also be a null sequence if $I\backslash I_0$ is infinite). Thus, in order to prove Lemma 40 we have to show that I_0 is finite.

The idea in [6] ist to show that for each $i \in I_0$ there is $\lambda_i \in k$ such that $\varphi\langle f(\lambda_i e_i)\rangle \leqslant 0$ and $\varphi\langle \lambda_i e_i\rangle \geqslant 0$ so that by the same token I_0 must be finite. This is accomplished by choosing, in turn, $\lambda = 1$, $\lambda = \langle f e_i\rangle^{-1}$, according as to whether $\varphi\langle f e_i\rangle$ is $\leqslant 0$, > 0 respectively.

Proof of Theorem 39. Assume that f is bounded. Continuity is obvious. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be a bound for f and let $\gamma_0 = \min\{0, \gamma\}$. Now $\varphi\langle f e_i\rangle = \varphi\langle \alpha_{ii} e_i\rangle$ for all i ocurring in (21), i.e., for all $i \in \mathbf{N}\backslash I$ (by assumption (19) we have $T\varphi\langle e_i\rangle \neq T\varphi\langle e_j\rangle$ for all $i \neq j$). Thus, if $\varphi\alpha_{ii} > 0$ then trivially $\varphi\alpha_{ii} \geqslant \gamma_0$; if $\varphi\alpha_{ii} < 0$ then $\varphi(\alpha_{ii}) > 2\varphi\alpha_{ii} \geqslant \gamma \geqslant \gamma_0$.

Assume conversely that f is continuous and has (21). We show that there is $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ with $\varphi\langle f e_i\rangle \geqslant \gamma_0 + \varphi\langle e_i\rangle$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$). Let γ be a lower bound for the set in (21) and set $\gamma_0 := \min\{0, 2\gamma, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n\}$ where $\gamma_\nu := \varphi\langle f e_\nu\rangle - \varphi\langle e_\nu\rangle$, $\nu \in I$. To finish the proof we conclude $\varphi\langle f\mathfrak{x}\rangle > \varphi\langle \mathfrak{x}\rangle + \gamma_0$ $(\forall\mathfrak{x})$ by continuity of f:

$$\varphi\langle f\sum_1^\infty \xi_i e_i\rangle = \varphi\langle f(\xi_{i_0} e_{i_0})\rangle \geqslant \gamma_0 + \varphi\langle \xi_{i_0} e_{i_0}\rangle = \gamma_0 + \varphi\langle \mathfrak{x}\rangle\,.$$