

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 31 (1985)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ON A CLASS OF ORTHOMODULAR QUADRATIC SPACES
Autor: Gross, Herbert / Künzi, Urs-Martin
Kapitel: X. Clifford algebras of orthomodular spaces
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-54565>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 02.09.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

X. CLIFFORD ALGEBRAS OF ORTHOMODULAR SPACES

X.1. ASSUMPTIONS. In Chap. X k is a commutative field of characteristic not 2 and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a symmetric bilinear anisotropic form $\mathfrak{E} \times \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow k$ on the k -vector space \mathfrak{E} .

$C(\mathfrak{E})$ is the Clifford algebra of $(\mathfrak{E}; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$; it is a k -algebra that contains the space \mathfrak{E} as a set of ring generators which satisfy $\mathfrak{x} \cdot \mathfrak{y} + \mathfrak{y} \cdot \mathfrak{x} = 2\langle \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y} \rangle$. For any pair of elements $\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d} \in C(\mathfrak{E})$ there exists a finite orthogonal family $\mathfrak{e}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{e}_n$ in \mathfrak{E} such that $\mathfrak{c} = \sum_I \alpha_I \mathfrak{e}_I, \mathfrak{d} = \sum_I \beta_I \mathfrak{e}_I$; here the summation index I runs over all subsets

$I = \{\iota_1 < \dots < \iota_r\}$ of $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and $\mathfrak{e}_I := \mathfrak{e}_{\iota_1} \cdot \mathfrak{e}_{\iota_2} \cdot \dots \cdot \mathfrak{e}_{\iota_r}$; the empty product \mathfrak{e}_\emptyset is the unit element in $C(\mathfrak{E})$.

There is a *canonical* symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $C(\mathfrak{E})$ which extends the given form on \mathfrak{E} ([5, 11, 22]). One has

$$(16) \quad \langle \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d} \rangle = \sum_I \alpha_I \beta_I \prod_{\iota \in I} \langle \mathfrak{e}_\iota, \mathfrak{e}_\iota \rangle$$

From now on we shall assume that $(\mathfrak{E}; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an infinite dimensional definite space.

X.2. CLIFFORD ALGEBRAS OF DEFINITE SPACES. In [6] Angela Fässler has proved that for certain definite orthomodular spaces \mathfrak{E} the algebra $C(\mathfrak{E})$ is a skew field; furthermore, the k -vector space $C(\mathfrak{E})$ equipped with the form (16) is a definite space whose completion $\tilde{C}(\mathfrak{E})$ is orthomodular again. Furthermore $\tilde{C}(\mathfrak{E})$ is a skew field, in fact, a *-valued field with * the extension to $\tilde{C}(\mathfrak{E})$ of the main antiautomorphism of the Clifford algebra $C(\mathfrak{E})$; the residue class field of $\tilde{C}(\mathfrak{E})$ is isomorphic to the residue class field of φ .

In the following theorem we prove the main fact in a simplified and slightly more general setting.

THEOREM 37. Assume that in the definite space $(\mathfrak{E}; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ each orthogonal family $\mathfrak{e}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{e}_n$ has

$$(17) \quad \varphi \langle \mathfrak{e}_0 \rangle + \dots + \varphi \langle \mathfrak{e}_n \rangle \notin 2\Gamma$$

Then:

- (i) $C(\mathfrak{E})$ equipped with the form in (16) is a definite space,
- (ii) $C(\mathfrak{E})$ is a division ring,

(iii) *The map $\tilde{\phi}: C(\mathfrak{E}) \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ defined by $c \mapsto \phi(c)$ is a *-valuation for * the main antiautomorphism of $C(\mathfrak{E})$.*

Proof. (i) It suffices to prove the triangle inequality (Lemma 14 (i)). Write $c = \sum \alpha_I e_I$, $d = \sum \beta_I e_I$ as in X.1. Then we have $\phi(\alpha_I e_I) \neq \phi(\beta_I e_J)$ for $I \neq J$ and $\alpha \neq 0 \neq \beta$. Hence

$$\phi(c) = \phi \sum_I \langle \alpha_I e_I \rangle = \min_I \{ \phi(\alpha_I e_I) \}$$

and similarly for $\phi(d)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(c+d) &= \phi \sum_I \langle (\alpha_I + \beta_I) e_I \rangle \geq \min \{ 2\phi(\alpha_I + \beta_I) + \phi(e_I) \} \\ &\geq \min \{ 2\phi(\alpha_I) + \phi(e_I), 2\phi(\beta_I) + \phi(e_I) \} = \min \{ \phi(c), \phi(d) \}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (i). Next we show

$$(18) \quad \phi(c \cdot d) = \phi(c) + \phi(d)$$

Indeed, from

$$\langle e_I \cdot e_J \rangle = \langle \pm \langle e_{I \cap J} \rangle e_{(I \cup J) \setminus (I \cap J)} \rangle = \langle e_{I \cap J} \rangle^2 \langle e_{(I \cup J) \setminus (I \cap J)} \rangle = \langle e_I \rangle \cdot \langle e_J \rangle$$

we see that

$$\phi(\alpha_I e_I) \leq \phi(\alpha_I e_I) \& \phi(\beta_J e_J) \leq \phi(\beta_J e_J)$$

implies

$$\phi(\alpha_I, \beta_J, e_I, e_J) \leq \phi(\alpha_I \beta_J e_I e_J).$$

We therefore pick $G, H \subseteq \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that for all $I \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$ we shall have

$$\phi(\alpha_G e_G) \leq \phi(\alpha_I e_I), \phi(\beta_H e_H) \leq \phi(\beta_I e_I).$$

It now follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(c \cdot d) &= \phi((\sum \alpha_I e_I) \cdot (\sum \beta_J e_J)) = \phi(\sum \alpha_I \beta_J e_I e_J) = \phi(\alpha_G \beta_H e_G e_H) \\ &\quad + \sum' \alpha_I \beta_J e_I e_J = \phi(\alpha_G \beta_H e_G e_H) = \phi(c) + \phi(d). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (18) is established.

From (18) it follows that $C(\mathfrak{E})$ has no zero divisors, hence $C(\mathfrak{E})$ is a division ring (being an inductive limit of finite dimensional algebras). The map $\tilde{\phi}: C(\mathfrak{E}) \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ as defined in (iii) of the Theorem is a *-valuation, for $\tilde{\phi}(c^*) = \tilde{\phi}(c)$ is obvious and everything else has been established already.

COROLLARY 38. Assume that the definite space $(\mathfrak{E}; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is complete and that the system of types (Corollary 26) is linearly independent in $\Gamma/2\Gamma$ (considered as a \mathbf{Z}_2 -vector space) then the conclusions (i), (ii), (iii) of Theorem 37 hold.

$C(\mathfrak{E})$ in Theorem 37 is not complete (unless finite dimensional). Its quadratic form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be extended to the completion \tilde{C} . By using Theorem 28 one can see that this completion has $L_{\perp\perp}(\tilde{C}) = L_c(\tilde{C})$ if and only if E has $L_{\perp\perp}(E) = L_c(E)$.

XI. CONTINUOUS OPERATORS ARE NOT ALWAYS BOUNDED

XI.1. INTRODUCTION. Let \mathfrak{E} be an infinite dimensional definite space in the sense of Definition 15. A linear map (operator) $h: \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ is called *bounded* iff there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that for all $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ we have $\varphi\langle hx \rangle \geq \gamma + \varphi\langle x \rangle$.

In [6] A. Fässler gave an explicit example of a continuous operator h on an orthomodular space \mathfrak{E} that is not bounded; she also proved a criterion for boundness which is very useful in the study of the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ of bounded operators $h: \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ when \mathfrak{E} is an orthomodular definite space of a certain kind. We shall prove this criterion anew here as its original proof can be shortened considerably.

We shall consider definite spaces that satisfy

- (19) $(\mathfrak{E}; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ contains a maximal orthogonal family $(e_i)_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that the groups $\Theta(\varphi\langle e_i \rangle)$ are different.

By (14) we see that (19) is a property of \mathfrak{E} , not of $(e_i)_{\mathbb{N}}$; Keller's original example of an orthomodular space satisfies (19).

XI.2. FÄSSLER'S CRITERION. In this subsection let $(\mathfrak{E}; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an infinite dimensional orthomodular space that has (19). Fix a maximal orthogonal family $(e_i)_{\mathbb{N}}$ that enjoys (19). If $f: \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ is given, expand (Lemma 27)

$$(20) \quad f e_i = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{ij} e_j \quad (i \in \mathbb{N})$$

THEOREM 39 ([6]). *The linear map f is bounded iff it is continuous and satisfies*