Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 31 (1985)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ON A CLASS OF ORTHOMODULAR QUADRATIC SPACES
Autor: Gross, Herbert / Künzi, Urs-Martin
Kapitel: VI. Sufficient conditions in D for $L_c = L_{\bot \bot}$
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-54565

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 25.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

straight lines in E. A family $(\mathfrak{e}_\iota)_{\iota\in I}$ of vectors in $\mathfrak{E}$ is said to satisfy the *type-condition* iff for all $(\alpha_\iota)_{\iota\in I} \in k^I$ the following holds: if $(\varphi\langle\alpha_\iota\mathfrak{e}_\iota\rangle)_{\iota\in I}$ is bounded (below) then $(\alpha_\iota\mathfrak{e}_\iota)_{\iota\in I}$ converges to $0 \in E$.

COROLLARY 22. *Let $\mathfrak{E}$ be as in Theorem 17. $\Gamma/2\Gamma$ is infinite. Each orthogonal family in $\mathfrak{E}$ satisfies the type-condition, equivalently, $\Gamma/2\Gamma$ satisfies (8) below.* □

COROLLARY 23. *Let $\mathfrak{E}$ be as in Theorem 17. Then k is complete.*

Proof. By Corollary 19 it suffices to show that a sequence $(\alpha_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ with limit $0 \in k$ is summable. Let $(\mathfrak{e}_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ be maximal orthogonal in $\mathfrak{E}$ with $(\varphi\langle\mathfrak{e}_i\rangle)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ bounded below. If $(\lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}} \in k^{\mathbf{N}}$ has $(\varphi(\lambda_i))_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ bounded below then $(\lambda_i\mathfrak{e}_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ is summable and by continuity of $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ we obtain

$$\langle \sum_{\mathbf{N}} \lambda_i\mathfrak{e}_i, \sum_{\mathbf{N}} \mathfrak{e}_i\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{N}} \lambda_i\langle\mathfrak{e}_i\rangle .$$

Thus, all families $(\lambda_i\langle\mathfrak{e}_i\rangle)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ with bounded $(\lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ are summable.

Pick a strictly monotonic sequence $(n_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}} \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ with $u_0 = 0$ and for all $i \in \mathbf{N}^+$ and all $m \geqslant n_i$: $\varphi(\alpha_m) > \varphi\langle\mathfrak{e}_i\rangle$, and set $A_i := \sum\{\alpha_j \mid n_i \leqslant j < n_{i+1}\}$. The family $(A_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ is summable if and only if $(\alpha_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ summable and, if the sums exist, these must be equal. If we set $\lambda_i := A_i\langle\mathfrak{e}_i\rangle^{-1}$ then, by what we have shown, the family of the $A_i = \lambda_i\langle\mathfrak{e}_i\rangle$ is summable. □

COROLLARY 24. *Let $\mathfrak{E}$ be as in Theorem 17. Then $\mathfrak{E}$ is complete.*

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{x}_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence (Corollary 19). For each fixed $\mathfrak{y} \in \mathfrak{E}$ the map $\mathfrak{x} \mapsto \langle\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{x}\rangle$ is uniformly continuous. Hence by Cor. 23 the map $f: \mathfrak{y} \mapsto \lim_i \langle\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{x}_i\rangle$ is well-defined. As it is a continuous linear map, its kernel is a closed hyper-plane and so $(L_c(\mathfrak{E}) = L_{\perp\perp}(\mathfrak{E}))$ there is $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{E}$ such that $f(\mathfrak{y}) = \langle\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{a}\rangle$. Let $N \subseteq \mathbf{N}$ be infinite. Because $\lim \varphi\langle\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{x}_i\rangle = \infty$ for all $\mathfrak{y} \in \mathfrak{E}$ it follows by systematic use of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that $\{\varphi\langle\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{x}_i\rangle \mid i \in N\}$ is not bounded above by any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Therefore $(\mathfrak{x}_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ converges to $\mathfrak{a}$.

VI. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS IN $\mathscr{D}$ FOR $L_c = L_{\perp\perp}$

VI.1. ASSUMPTIONS. In this chapter $(\mathfrak{E}; \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$ is a definite space in the sense of Definition 15. Of the base field k we shall furthermore assume

(cf. Corolllaries 22 and 23)

$\Gamma/2\Gamma$ contains a sequence $(\xi_i+2\Gamma)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ such that each

(8) system of representatives $(\xi_i+2\gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ that is bounded below tends to ∞.

(9) k is complete.

Thus, by (8), $\Gamma/2\Gamma$ will be infinite and the topology on k will satisfy the first countability axiom. There are many fields that satisfy (8) and (9): See Remark 30.

The results in the next sections will culminate in Theorem 28 which characterizes certain definite spaces that are orthomodular.

VI.2. Counting types. Let $\mathfrak{E}$ be the completion of an $\aleph_0$-dimensional space $\mathfrak{F}$ which is spanned by an orthogonal basis $\mathscr{B} = (\mathfrak{e}_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ that satisfies the type condition (Def. 21). $\mathfrak{F}$ is dense in $\mathfrak{E}$ so $\mathfrak{F}^\perp = (0)$ and hence $\mathscr{B}$ is maximal. By Lemma 16 we have therefore $\mathfrak{x} = \sum_{\mathbf{N}} \langle \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{e}_i\rangle \langle \mathfrak{e}_i\rangle^{-1}\mathfrak{e}_i$ for all $\mathfrak{x}\in\mathfrak{E}$.

We now introduce the function ν which counts types on $\mathscr{B}$. Let $\nu\colon \Gamma/2\Gamma \to \mathbf{N}\colon t \mapsto \operatorname{card}\{i\in\mathbf{N} \mid T\circ\varphi\langle\mathfrak{e}_i\rangle = t\}$ (cf. Def. 21). We have

Lemma 25. *If* $\mathfrak{f}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{f}_m$ *are pairwise orthogonal (non zero) vectors in* $\mathfrak{E}$ *with* $T\circ\varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}_i\rangle = t\in\Gamma/2\Gamma$ *for all* $1\leqslant i\leqslant m$ *then* $m\leqslant \nu(t)$.

Proof. We shall replace the $\mathfrak{f}_i$ by suitable multiples and assume that $\varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}_i\rangle = \gamma\in\Gamma$ for all $1\leqslant i\leqslant m$. Let $J := \{i\in\mathbf{N} \mid T\circ\varphi\langle\mathfrak{e}_i\rangle = t\}$. We have $\mathfrak{f}_j = \mathfrak{f}'_j + \mathfrak{f}''_j$ where

$$\mathfrak{f}'_j := \sum_J \langle\mathfrak{f}_j, \mathfrak{e}_i\rangle\langle\mathfrak{e}_i\rangle^{-1}\mathfrak{e}_i, \qquad \mathfrak{f}''_j := \sum_{\mathbf{N}\setminus J} \langle\mathfrak{f}_i, \mathfrak{e}_i\rangle\langle\mathfrak{e}_i\rangle^{-1}\mathfrak{e}_i .$$

Since Lemma 14 (ii) generalizes to finite as well as to infinite sums we find $\varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}''_j\rangle = \min_{i\in\mathbf{N}\setminus J}\{\varphi\langle\langle\mathfrak{f}_j, \mathfrak{e}_i\rangle\langle\mathfrak{e}_i\rangle^{-1}\mathfrak{e}_i\rangle\} \neq \varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}_j\rangle$ (because types are different). By Lemma 14 (ii) furthermore $\varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}_j\rangle \leqslant \varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}'_j\rangle$, $\varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}_j\rangle \leqslant \varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}''_j\rangle$ and we must have equality in at least one instance. Therefore

$$\varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}_j\rangle = \varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}'_j\rangle = \gamma < \varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}''_j\rangle, \qquad 1\leqslant j\leqslant m \tag{10}$$

Now, for $i\neq j$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} 2\varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}'_i, \mathfrak{f}'_j\rangle &= 2\varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}_i-\mathfrak{f}''_i, \mathfrak{f}_j-\mathfrak{f}''_j\rangle \geqslant \min\{2\varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}_i, \mathfrak{f}''_j\rangle, 2\varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}''_i, \mathfrak{f}_j\rangle, 2\varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}''_i, \mathfrak{f}''_j\rangle\} \\ &\geqslant \min\{\varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}_i\rangle + \varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}''_j\rangle, \varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}''_i\rangle + \varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}_j\rangle, \varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}''_i\rangle + \varphi\langle\mathfrak{f}''_j\rangle\} > 2\gamma \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\varphi\langle \mathfrak{f}'_i, \mathfrak{f}'_j\rangle > \gamma, \quad 1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant m \tag{11}$$

Thus $\mathfrak{f}'_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{f}'_m$ are an almost orthogonal system in the $\nu(t)$-dimensional space $k(\mathfrak{e}_i)_{i\in J}$. Assume by way of contradiction that the $\mathfrak{f}'_j$ were linearly dependent, $\sum_1^m \mu_i \mathfrak{f}'_i = 0$ and not all $\mu_i = 0$. Thus, for each

$$r \in \{1, \ldots, m\}, 0 = \sum \mu_i \langle \mathfrak{f}'_i, \mathfrak{f}'_r\rangle$$

and so for each r

$$\varphi\langle \mathfrak{f}'_r\rangle + \varphi(\mu_r) = \varphi(-\sum_{j\neq r} \mu_j \langle \mathfrak{f}'_j, \mathfrak{f}'_r\rangle) \geqslant \min_{j\neq r} \{\varphi(\mu_j) + \varphi\langle \mathfrak{f}'_j, \mathfrak{f}'_r\rangle\}.$$

Therefore, by (10) and (11), $\varphi(\mu_r) > \min_{j\neq r} \{\varphi(\mu_j)\}$ which tells that there is no smallest $\varphi(\mu_r)$ at all, a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathfrak{f}'_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{f}'_m$ are linearly independent and so $m \leqslant \nu(t)$, QED. By Lemma 27 we thus obtain

COROLLARY 26. *The function* ν *that counts types on an orthogonal basis of* $\mathfrak{E}$ *is the same on all bases.*

VI.3. THE TYPE CONDITION. Let $\mathfrak{E}$ be the completion of a $\aleph_0$-dimensional space $\mathfrak{F}$ which is spanned by an orthogonal basis $(\mathfrak{e}_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ that satisfies the type condition (Def. 21).

LEMMA 27. *Let* $\mathscr{B} = (\mathfrak{u}_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ *be a maximal orthogonal family in* $\mathfrak{E}$. *Then* $\mathscr{B}$ *satisfies the type condition and* $\mathfrak{x} = \sum_{\mathbf{N}} \langle \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{u}_i\rangle \langle \mathfrak{u}_i\rangle^{-1}\mathfrak{u}_i$ *for all* $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathfrak{E}$. *In particular, the span of* $\mathscr{B}$ *is dense in* $\mathfrak{E}$.

Proof. The assertion on the type condition follows directly from Lemma 25. Let then $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathfrak{E}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi\langle\langle \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{u}_i\rangle \langle \mathfrak{u}_i\rangle^{-1}\mathfrak{u}_i\rangle &= 2\varphi\langle \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{u}_i\rangle - \varphi\langle \mathfrak{u}_i\rangle \geqslant \varphi\langle \mathfrak{x}\rangle \\ &\quad + \varphi\langle \mathfrak{u}_i\rangle - \varphi\langle \mathfrak{u}_i\rangle = \varphi\langle \mathfrak{x}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the family of vectors $\langle \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{u}_i\rangle \langle \mathfrak{u}_i\rangle^{-1}\mathfrak{u}_i$ is bounded; in fact, it is a null sequence as $\mathscr{B}$ satisfies the type condition, hence it is summable as $\mathfrak{E}$ is complete. Put $\mathfrak{y} := \sum_{\mathbf{N}} \langle \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{u}_i\rangle \langle \mathfrak{u}_i\rangle^{-1}\mathfrak{u}_i$. We have $\langle \mathfrak{u}_i, \mathfrak{y}-\mathfrak{x}\rangle = \langle \mathfrak{u}_i, \mathfrak{y}\rangle - \langle \mathfrak{u}_i, \mathfrak{x}\rangle = 0$, so $\mathfrak{x} - \mathfrak{y} = 0$ as $\mathscr{B}$ is a maximal orthogonal family. □