**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
**Band:** 31 (1985)
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** ON A CLASS OF ORTHOMODULAR QUADRATIC SPACES
**Autor:** Gross, Herbert / Künzi, Urs-Martin
**Kapitel:** IV. The fundamental inequalities in definite spaces
**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-54565

**Nutzungsbedingungen**
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

**Conditions d'utilisation**
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

**Terms of use**
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

**Download PDF:** 06.02.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch**

## IV. The fundamental inequalities in definite spaces

IV.1. *-Valuations (cf. [14]). Let $(k, *)$ be an involutorial division ring and $\Gamma$ a totally ordered (additively written) abelian group. A surjective map

$$\varphi : k \to \Gamma \bigcup \{\infty\} \qquad (a+\infty=\infty \quad \text{for all} \quad a\in\Gamma\bigcup\{\infty\}) \tag{7}$$

is called *-valuation iff (i) $\varphi(x+y) \geqslant \min\{\varphi(x), \varphi(y)\}$, (ii) $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$, (iii) $\varphi(x) = \infty \Leftrightarrow x = 0$, (iv) $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x^*)$.

The set of all $U_\varepsilon := \{x \in k \mid \varphi(x) \geqslant \varepsilon\}$, $\varepsilon \in \Gamma$, is a neighbourhood basis for a division ring topology on $k$. In general we think of $(k, *)$ as equipped with this topology.

IV.2. The inequalities. Assume that char $k \neq 2$ and that the valuation in (7) has $\varphi(2) = 0$ (cf. Remark 35). Let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ be a hermitean form on a $k$-space $\mathfrak{E}$. Assume $\mathfrak{E}$ non-degenerate $(\mathfrak{E}^\perp=(0))$. Recall that we write "$\langle\mathfrak{x}\rangle$" for $\langle\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}\rangle$, $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathfrak{E}$. It is useful to know a proof for the following fact

Lemma 14 ([20]). *The following four statements are equivalent*

(i) $\forall \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y} \in \mathfrak{E}: \varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}+\mathfrak{y}\rangle \geqslant \min\{\varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}\rangle, \varphi\langle\mathfrak{y}\rangle\}$ *(triangle inequality)*

(ii) $\forall \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y} \in \mathfrak{E}: \langle\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}\rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}+\mathfrak{y}\rangle = \min\{\varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}\rangle, \varphi\langle\mathfrak{y}\rangle\}$ *("Pythagoras")*

(iii) $\forall \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y} \in \mathfrak{E}: \varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}\rangle \geqslant \min\{\varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}\rangle, \varphi\langle\mathfrak{y}\rangle\}$ *("weak Cauchy-Schwarz")*

(iv) $\forall \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y} \in \mathfrak{E}: 2\varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}\rangle \geqslant \varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}\rangle + \varphi\langle\mathfrak{y}\rangle$ *("Cauchy-Schwarz")*

*(Notice that each statement implies anisotropy of* $\mathfrak{E}$*).*

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let $\mathfrak{x} \perp \mathfrak{y}$ and

$$\varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}\rangle \leqslant \varphi\langle\mathfrak{y}\rangle; \quad \varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}\rangle = \varphi\langle 2\mathfrak{x}\rangle = \varphi\langle(\mathfrak{x}+\mathfrak{y}) + (\mathfrak{x}-\mathfrak{y})\rangle \geqslant \min\{\varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}+\mathfrak{y}\rangle, \varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}-\mathfrak{y}\rangle\} = \varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}+\mathfrak{y}\rangle \geqslant \varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}\rangle .$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): Assume $\mathfrak{x} \neq 0 \neq \mathfrak{y}$. Pick $\mathfrak{b}$ in the span of $\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{x} = \mathfrak{b} + \lambda\mathfrak{y}\,,\ \mathfrak{b} \perp \mathfrak{y}; \quad 2\varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}\rangle = 2\varphi\langle\mathfrak{b}+\lambda\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y}\rangle = 2\varphi\langle\lambda\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y}\rangle = 2\varphi(\lambda) + 2\varphi\langle\mathfrak{y}\rangle = \varphi\langle\lambda\mathfrak{y}\rangle + \varphi\langle\mathfrak{y}\rangle \geqslant \varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}\rangle + \varphi\langle\mathfrak{y}\rangle .$$

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): trivial

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): straight forward. □

IV.3. THE CLASS $\mathscr{D}$ OF DEFINITE SPACES. Positive definite forms over ordered fields satisfy the triangle inequality as well as the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. We therefore set down

*Definition 15.* A definite space is a nondegenerate hermitean space $(\mathfrak{E}; \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$ over an involutorial division ring $(k, *)$, char $k \neq 2$, that is equipped with a $*$-valuation $\varphi$ that has $\varphi(2) = 0$ (cf. Remark 35) and that satisfies one (and hence all) of the four statements in Lemma 14. A definite space $\mathfrak{E}$ will always be considered as a topological vector space, the topology being given by the zero-neighbourhood basis $\mathfrak{U}_\gamma := \{\mathfrak{y} \in \mathfrak{E} \mid \varphi\langle\mathfrak{y}\rangle \geqslant \gamma\}, \gamma \in \Gamma$. If $(\mathfrak{e}_\iota)_{\iota \in I}$ is any family over vectors in $\mathfrak{E}$ such that the net of all *finite* ("partial") sums $\sum \mathfrak{e}_\iota$ has a limit $\mathfrak{x}$ in $\mathfrak{E}$ then we write $\mathfrak{x} = \sum_{\iota \in I} \mathfrak{e}_\iota$ and call $(\mathfrak{e}_\iota)_{\iota \in I}$ summable.

LEMMA 16. *Let* $(\mathfrak{e}_\iota)_{\iota \in I}$ *be an orthogonal family in the definite space* $(\mathfrak{E}; \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$ *and* $\mathfrak{F}$ *its span. For each* $\mathfrak{x}$ *in the topological closure of* $\mathfrak{F}$ *we have* $\mathfrak{x} = \sum_{\iota \in I} \langle\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{e}_\iota\rangle \langle\mathfrak{e}_\iota\rangle^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_\iota$.

*Proof.* Let $\mathscr{P}$ be the set of all finite subsets of $I$. For $V \in \mathscr{P}$ we set $\mathfrak{x}_V := \sum_{\iota \in V} \langle\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{e}_\iota\rangle \langle\mathfrak{e}_\iota\rangle^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_\iota$. We have to prove that for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there is $U \in \mathscr{P}$ such that $\varphi\langle\mathfrak{x} - \mathfrak{x}_V\rangle \geqslant \varepsilon$ for all $V$ *with* $U \subset V \in \mathscr{P}$. Now there is $\mathfrak{y} \in \mathfrak{F}$ with $\varphi(\mathfrak{x} - \mathfrak{y}\rangle \geqslant \varepsilon$. Pick $U \in \mathscr{P}$ with $\mathfrak{y} \in \operatorname{span}\{\mathfrak{e}_\iota \mid \iota \in U\}$. If $U \subset V \in \mathscr{P}$ then $\mathfrak{x} - \mathfrak{x}_V \perp \mathfrak{x}_V - \mathfrak{y}$, so by "Pythagoras" (Lemma 14 (ii)) we obtain $\varepsilon \leqslant \varphi\langle\mathfrak{x} - \mathfrak{y}\rangle = \min\{\varphi\langle\mathfrak{x} - \mathfrak{x}_V\rangle, \varphi\langle\mathfrak{x}_V - \mathfrak{y}\rangle\} \leqslant \varphi\langle\mathfrak{x} - \mathfrak{x}_V\rangle$. □

## V. NECESSARY CONDITIONS IN $\mathscr{D}$ FOR $L_c = L_{\perp\perp}$

The principal result of this section is

THEOREM 17 ([20]). *Let* $\mathfrak{E}$ *be an infinite dimensional definite space carrying an admissible topology i.e., the topology mentioned in Definition 15 is admissible in the sense of Definition 1; let furthermore* $(\mathfrak{e}_\iota)_{\iota \in I}$ *be an orthogonal family in* $\mathfrak{E}$ *such that* $(\varphi\langle\mathfrak{e}_\iota\rangle)_{\iota \in I}$ *has a lower bound in* $\Gamma$. *Then* $\sum_{\iota \in I} \mathfrak{e}_\iota$ *exists.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathfrak{F} := \operatorname{span}\{\langle\mathfrak{e}_\iota\rangle^{-1}\mathfrak{e}_\iota - \langle\mathfrak{e}_0\rangle^{-1}\mathfrak{e}_0 \mid \iota \in I\}$. We first wish to show that $\langle\mathfrak{e}_0\rangle^{-1}\mathfrak{e}_0$ is not an element of the topological closure $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}$. Indeed,