

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 31 (1985)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: REPRESENTATION OF REAL NUMBERS BY MEANS OF FIBONACCI NUMBERS
Autor: Ribenboim, Paulo
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-54568>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 22.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

REPRESENTATION OF REAL NUMBERS BY MEANS OF FIBONACCI NUMBERS

by Paulo RIBENBOIM

*Dedicated to Professor D.H. Lehmer
at the occasion of his eightieth birthday.*

The purpose of this note is to derive a new representation of positive real numbers as sums of series involving Fibonacci numbers. This will be an easy application of an old result of Kakeya [4]. The paper concludes with a result of Landau [5], relating the sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_n}$ with values of theta series; we believe it worthwhile to unearth Landau's result, which is now rather inaccessible.

1. Let $(s_i)_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers, such that $s_1 > s_2 > s_3 > \dots$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} s_i = 0$. Let $S = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_i \leq \infty$.

We say that $x > 0$ is representable by the sequence $(s_i)_{i \geq 1}$ if $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{i_j}$ (with $i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \dots$). Then necessarily $x \leq S$.

The first result is due to Kakeya; for the sake of completeness, we give a proof:

PROPOSITION 1. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) Every x , $0 < x \leq S$, is representable by the sequence $(s_i)_{i \geq 1}$, $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{i_j}$, where i_1 is the smallest index such that $s_{i_1} < x$.
- 2) Every x , $0 < x < S$ is representable by the sequence $(s_i)_{i \geq 1}$.
- 3) For every $n \geq 1$, $s_n \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} s_i$.

Proof. $1 \rightarrow 2$. This is trivial.

$2 \rightarrow 3$. If there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $s_n > \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} s_i$, let x be such that $s_n > x > \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} s_i$. By hypothesis, $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{i_j}$ with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$. Since $s_n > x > s_{i_1}$, then $n < i_1$, hence $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{i_j} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} s_k$, which is absurd.

$3 \rightarrow 1$. Since $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} s_i = 0$, there exists the smallest index i_1 such that $s_{i_1} < x$.

Similarly, there exists the smallest index i_2 such that $i_1 < i_2$ and $s_{i_2} < x - s_{i_1}$.

More generally, for every $n \geq 1$ we define i_n to be the smallest index such that $i_{n-1} < i_n$ and $s_{i_n} < x - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} s_{i_j}$.

Then $x \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{i_j}$. Suppose that $x > \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{i_j}$.

We note that there exists N such that if $m \geq N$ then $s_{i_m} < x - \sum_{j=1}^m s_{i_j}$. Otherwise, there exist infinitely many indices $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ such that $s_{i_{n_k}} \geq x - \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} s_{i_j}$. At the limit, we have $0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{i_{n_k}} \geq x - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{i_j} > 0$, and this is a contradiction.

We choose N minimal with above property.

We show: for every $m \geq N$, $i_m + 1 = i_{m+1}$. In fact

$$s_{i_m+1} < s_{i_m} < x - \sum_{j=1}^m s_{i_j},$$

so by definition of the sequence of indices, $i_m + 1 = i_{m+1}$. Therefore the following sets coincide: $\{i_N, i_N + 1, i_N + 2, \dots\} = \{i_N, i_{N+1}, i_{N+2}, \dots\}$.

Next we show that $i_N = 1$. If $i_N > 1$ we consider the index $i_N - 1$, and by hypothesis (3):

$$s_{i_N-1} \leq \sum_{k=i_N}^{\infty} s_k = \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} s_{i_j} < x - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} s_{i_j}.$$

We have $i_{N-1} \leq i_N - 1 < i_N$. If $i_{N-1} < i_N - 1$ this is impossible, because i_N was defined to be the smallest index such that $i_{N-1} < i_N$ and $s_{i_N} < x - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} s_{i_j}$. Thus $i_{N-1} = i_N - 1$, that is $s_{i_{N-1}} < x - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} s_{i_j}$ and this is against the choice of N as minimal with the property indicated.

Thus $i_N = 1$ and $x > \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{i_j} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_i = S$, against the hypothesis. \square

We remark now that if the above conditions are satisfied for the sequence $(s_i)_{i \geq 1}$, if $m \geq 0$ then every x , $0 < x < S' = \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} s_i$ is representable by the sequence $(s_i)_{i \geq m+1}$ with i_1 the smallest index such that $m + 1 \leq i_1$ and $s_{i_1} < x$.

Indeed, condition (3) holds for $(s_i)_{i \geq 1}$ hence also for $(s_i)_{i \geq m+1}$. Since $0 < x < S'$, the remark follows from the proposition.

Proposition 1 has been generalized (see for example Fridy [3]). Now we consider the question of unique representation (this was generalized by Brown in [1]).

PROPOSITION 2. *With above notations, the following conditions are equivalent:*

2') Every $x, 0 < x < S$, has a unique representation $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{i_j}$.

3') For every $n \geq 1, s_n = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} s_i$.

4') For every $n \geq 1, s_n = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} s_1$ (hence $S = 2s_1$).

Proof. $2' \rightarrow 3'$. Suppose there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $s_n \neq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} s_i$.

Since (2') implies (2) hence also (3) then $s_n < \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} s_i$. Let x be such that

$s_n < x < \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} s_i$. By the above remark, x is representable by the sequence

$\{s_i\}_{i \geq n+1}$, that is $x = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ k_j \geq n+1}}^{\infty} s_{k_j}$. On the other hand, (2') implies (2), hence

also (1) and x has a representation $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{i_j}$, where i_1 is the smallest index such that $s_{i_1} < x$. From $s_n < x$ it follows that $i_1 \leq n$ and so x would have two distinct representations, against the hypothesis.

$3' \rightarrow 4'$. We have $s_n = s_{n+1} + \sum_{i=n+2}^{\infty} s_i = 2s_{n+1}$ for every $n \geq 1$ hence

$s_n = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} s_1$ for every $n \geq 1$.

$4' \rightarrow 2'$. Suppose that there exists $x, 0 < x < S$ having two distinct representations

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{i_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{k_j}.$$

Let j_0 be the smallest index such that $i_{j_0} \neq k_{j_0}$, say $i_{j_0} < k_{j_0}$. Then

$$\sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} s_{i_j} = \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} s_{k_j} \leq \sum_{n=i_{j_0}+1}^{\infty} s_n.$$

By hypothesis, after dividing by s_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=i_{j_0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} &\geq \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} 2^{1-k_j} = \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} 2^{1-i_j} \\ &= 2^{1-i_{j_0}} + \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{1-i_j} = \sum_{n=i_{j_0}}^{\infty} 2^{-n} + \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{1-i_j} \end{aligned}$$

hence $\sum_{j=j_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{1-i_j} \leq 0$, which is impossible. \square

For practical applications, we note:

If $s_n \leq 2s_{n+1}$ for every $n \geq 1$ then condition (3) is satisfied.

Indeed

$$\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} s_i \leq 2 \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} s_{i+1} = 2 \sum_{i=n+2}^{\infty} s_i, \quad \text{hence} \quad s_{n+1} \leq \sum_{i=n+2}^{\infty} s_i$$

and $s_n \leq 2s_{n+1} \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} s_i$.

2. Now we give various ways of representing real numbers.

First, the dyadic representation, which may of course be easily obtained directly:

COROLLARY 1. *Every real number x , $0 < x < 1$, may be written uniquely in the form $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n_j}}$ (with $1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$).*

Proof. This has been shown in proposition 2, taking $s_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. \square

COROLLARY 2. *Every positive real number x may be written in the form $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_j}$ (with $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$).*

Proof. We consider the sequence $\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$, which is decreasing with limit equal to zero, and we note that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$ and $\frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{2}{n+1}$ for every $n \geq 1$.

Thus by Kakeya's theorem and the above remark, every $x > 0$ is representable as indicated. \square

COROLLARY 3. Every positive real number x may be written as $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_{i_j}}$ (where $p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < \dots$ is the increasing sequence of prime numbers).

Proof. We consider the sequence $\left(\frac{1}{p_i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$, which is decreasing with limit equal to zero. As Euler proved $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_i} = \infty$. By Tschebycheff's theorem (proof of Bertrand's "postulate") there is a prime in each interval $(n, 2n)$; thus $p_{i+1} < 2p_i$ and $\frac{1}{p_i} < \frac{2}{p_{i+1}}$ for every $i \geq 1$. By Kakeya's theorem and the above remark, every $x > 0$ is representable as indicated. \square

3. Now we shall represent real numbers by means of Fibonacci numbers and we begin giving some properties of these numbers.

The Fibonacci numbers are: $F_1 = F_2 = 1$ and for every $n \geq 3$, F_n is defined by the recurrence relation $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$.

Thus the sequence of Fibonacci numbers is

$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, \dots$$

In the following proposition, we give a closed form expression for the Fibonacci numbers; this is due to Binet (1843).

Let $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{5} + 1}{2}$ (the golden number) and $\beta = -\frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2}$, so $\alpha + \beta = 1$, $\alpha\beta = -1$, thus α, β are the roots of $X^2 - X - 1 = 0$ and $-1 < \beta < 0 < 1 < \alpha$.

We have

LEMMA 1. For every $n \geq 1$, $F_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\sqrt{5}}$ and $\frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{\sqrt{5}} < F_n < \frac{\alpha^{n+1}}{\sqrt{5}}$.

Proof. We consider the sequence of numbers $G_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\sqrt{5}}$ for $n \geq 1$.

Then $G_1 = G_2 = 1$; moreover

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n-1} + G_{n-2} &= \frac{\alpha^{n-1} - \beta^{n-1}}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\alpha^{n-2} - \beta^{n-2}}{\sqrt{5}} \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{n-2}(\alpha + 1) - \beta^{n-2}(\beta + 1)}{\sqrt{5}} = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\sqrt{5}} = G_n, \end{aligned}$$

because $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$, $\beta^2 = \beta + 1$. Therefore the sequence $(G_n)_{n \geq 1}$ coincides with the Fibonacci sequence.

Now we establish the estimates.

If $n \geq 1$ then $(-\beta)^n = \frac{1}{\alpha^n} < \alpha^{n-1} = -\alpha^n \beta = \alpha^n(\alpha - 1) = \alpha^{n+1} - \alpha^n$, so

$$F_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\sqrt{5}} \leq \frac{\alpha^n + (-\beta)^n}{\sqrt{5}} < \frac{\alpha^{n+1}}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

Similarly, if $n \geq 2$ then $(-\beta)^n = \frac{1}{\alpha^n} < \alpha^{n-2} = -\alpha^{n-1} \beta = \alpha^{n-1}(\alpha - 1) = \alpha^n - \alpha^{n-1}$ so $F_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\sqrt{5}} \geq \frac{\alpha^n - (-\beta)^n}{\sqrt{5}} > \frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{\sqrt{5}}$; this is also true when $n = 1$. □

For every $m \geq 1$ let $I_m = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_n^{1/m}}$.

We have:

LEMMA 2. For every $m \geq 1$, $I_m < \infty$, $I_1 < I_2 < I_3, \dots$, and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I_m = \infty$.

Proof. We have

$$I_m < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{5}}{\alpha^{n-1}} \right)^{1/m} = (\sqrt{5})^{1/m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{1/m}} \right)^{n-1} = \frac{(\sqrt{5})^{1/m} \alpha^{1/m}}{\alpha^{1/m} - 1},$$

noting that $\frac{1}{\alpha^{1/m}} < 1$.

Next, we have

$$I_{m-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_n^{1/(m-1)}} < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_n^{1/m}} = I_m.$$

Finally

$$I_m = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_n^{1/m}} > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{5}}{\alpha^{n+1}} \right)^{1/m} = \frac{(\sqrt{5})^{1/m}}{\alpha^{1/m}} \times \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/m} - 1};$$

thus $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I_m = \infty$. □

PROPOSITION 3. For every positive real number x there exists a unique $m \geq 1$ such that $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{i_j}^{1/m}}$, but x is not of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{i_j}^{1/(m-1)}}$.

Proof. First we note that each of the sequences $\left(\frac{1}{F_n^{1/m}}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is decreasing with limit equal to zero. By the above proposition, there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $I_{m-1} < x \leq I_m$ (with $I_0 = 0$).

We observe that $\frac{1}{F_n} \leq \frac{2}{F_{n+1}} \leq \frac{2^m}{F_{n+1}}$ for $m \geq 1$, because $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1} < 2F_n$. By proposition 1 and a previous remark, x is representable as indicated, while the last assertion follows from $x > I_{m-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{i_j}^{1/(m-1)}}$. \square

The number $I_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_n}$ appears to be quite mysterious. As we have seen $\sqrt{5} < I_1 < \sqrt{5} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}$.

4. In 1899, Landau gave an expression of I_1 in terms of Lambert series and Jacobi theta series. The Lambert series is $L(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{1 - x^n}$; it is convergent for $0 < x < 1$, as easily verified by the ratio test.

Jacobi theta series, which are of crucial importance, for example in the theory of elliptic functions, are defined as follows, for $0 < |q| < 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(z, q) &= i \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} e^{(2n-1)\pi iz} \\ &= 2q^{1/4} \sin \pi z - 2q^{9/4} \sin 3\pi z + 2q^{25/4} \sin 5\pi z - \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_2(z, q) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} e^{(2n-1)\pi iz} \\ &= 2q^{1/4} \cos \pi iz + 2q^{9/4} \cos 3\pi z + 2q^{25/4} \cos 5\pi z + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_3(z, q) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2} e^{2n\pi iz} \\ &= 1 + 2q \cos 2\pi z + 2q^4 \cos 4\pi z + 2q^9 \cos 6\pi z + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_4(z, q) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n^2} e^{2n\pi iz} \\ &= 1 - 2q \cos 2\pi z + 2q^4 \cos 4\pi z - 2q^9 \cos 6\pi z + \dots \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\theta_1(0, q) = 0$$

$$\theta_2(0, q) = 2q^{1/4} + 2q^{9/4} + 2q^{25/4} + \dots$$

$$\theta_3(0, q) = 1 + 2q + 2q^4 + 2q^9 + \dots$$

$$\theta_4(0, q) = 1 - 2q + 2q^4 - 2q^9 + \dots$$

Now we prove Landau's result:

PROPOSITION 4. *We have:*

$$1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{2n}} = \sqrt{5} \left[L\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) - L\left(\frac{7-3\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) \right].$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{2n-1}} &= -\sqrt{5} (1 + 2\beta^4 + 2\beta^{16} + 2\beta^{36} + \dots) (\beta + \beta^9 + \beta^{25} + \dots) \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} [\theta_3(0, \beta) - \theta_2(0, \beta^4)] \theta_2(0, \beta^4). \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

$$1) \text{ We have } \frac{1}{F_n} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\alpha^n - \beta^n} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\frac{(-1)^n}{\beta^n} - \beta^n} = \frac{\sqrt{5} \beta^n}{(-1)^n - \beta^{2n}}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{2n}} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{2n}}{1 - \beta^{4n}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta^{(4k+2)n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^{(4k+2)n} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{4k+2}}{1 - \beta^{4k+2}} = \frac{\beta^2}{1 - \beta^2} + \frac{\beta^6}{1 - \beta^6} + \frac{\beta^{10}}{1 - \beta^{10}} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\beta| < 1$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{2n}} = \sqrt{5} \left[L(\beta^2) - L(\beta^4) \right] = \sqrt{5} \left[L\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) - L\left(\frac{7-3\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) \right].$$

2) Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{2n-1}} &= - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{2n+1}}{1 + \beta^{4n+2}} = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^{2n+1} (1 - \beta^{4n+2} + \beta^{8n+4} - \dots) \\ &= (-\beta + \beta^3 - \beta^5 + \beta^7 - \beta^9 + \dots) \\ &\quad + (-\beta^3 + \beta^9 - \beta^{15} + \beta^{21} - \dots) \\ &\quad + (-\beta^5 + \beta^{15} - \beta^{25} + \beta^{35} - \dots) \\ &\quad + (-\beta^7 + \beta^{21} - \beta^{35} + \beta^{49} - \dots) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to determine the coefficient of β^m (for m odd) remarking that since the series is absolutely convergent, its terms may be rearranged.

If m is odd and d divides m , then β^m appears in the horizontal line beginning with $-\beta^{\frac{m}{d}}$ with the sign

$$\begin{cases} + & \text{when } d \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ - & \text{when } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Thus the coefficient ε_m of β^m is $\varepsilon_m = \delta_3(m) - \delta_1(m)$ where

$$\delta_1(m) = \# \{d \mid 1 \leq d \leq m, \quad d \mid m \quad \text{and} \quad d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\}$$

$$\delta_3(m) = \# \{d \mid 1 \leq d \leq m, \quad d \mid m \quad \text{and} \quad d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\}$$

A well-known result of Jacobi (see Hardy & Wright's book, page 241) relates the difference $\delta_1(m) - \delta_3(m)$ with the number $r(m) = r_2(m)$ of representations of m as sums of two squares. Precisely, let $r(m)$ denote the number of pairs (s, t) of integers (including the zero and negative integers) such that $m = s^2 + t^2$. Jacobi showed that

$$r(m) = 4 [\delta_1(m) - \delta_3(m)].$$

It follows that the number $r'(m)$ of pairs (s, t) of integers with $s > t \geq 0$ and $m = s^2 + t^2$ is

$$r'(m) = \begin{cases} \frac{r(m)}{8} & \text{when } m \text{ is not a square} \\ \frac{r(m) - 4}{8} + 1 = \frac{r(m) + 4}{8} & \text{when } m \text{ is a square} \end{cases}$$

(the first summand above corresponds to the representation of m as a sum of two non-zero squares).

Therefore

$$\varepsilon_m = -\frac{r(m)}{4} = \begin{cases} -2r'(m) & \text{when } m \text{ is not a square} \\ -(2r'(m)-1) & \text{when } m \text{ is a square.} \end{cases}$$

Since m is odd then $s \not\equiv t \pmod{2}$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{2n+1}} &= \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \text{ odd}}}^{\infty} \varepsilon_m \beta^m \\ &= -2(1 + \beta^4 + \beta^{16} + \beta^{36} + \dots)(\beta + \beta^9 + \beta^{25} + \dots) \\ &\quad + (\beta + \beta^9 + \beta^{25} + \dots) \\ &= -(1 + 2\beta^4 + 2\beta^{16} + 2\beta^{36} + \dots)(\beta + \beta^9 + \beta^{25} + \dots). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{2n+1}} = -\sqrt{5}(1 + 2\beta^4 + 2\beta^{16} + 2\beta^{36} + \dots)(\beta + \beta^9 + \beta^{25} + \dots).$$

We may now express this formula in terms of Jacobi series. Namely

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2\beta^4 + 2\beta^{16} + 2\beta^{36} + \dots &= (1 + 2\beta + 2\beta^4 + 2\beta^9 + 2\beta^{16} + \dots) \\ &\quad - (2\beta + 2\beta^9 + 2\beta^{25} + \dots) = \theta_3(0, \beta) - \theta_2(0, \beta^4) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{2n+1}} = -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \left[\theta_3(0, \beta) - \theta_2(0, \beta^4) \right] \theta_2(0, \beta^4). \quad \square$$

An unpublished formula of Gert Almqvist (1983) gives another expression of I_1 only in terms of Jacobi theta series:

$$I_1 = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{4} \left\{ \left[\theta_2\left(0, -\frac{1}{\beta^2}\right) \right]^2 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d}{dx} \log \theta_4\left(x, -\frac{1}{\beta^2}\right) \right) \cot \pi x dx \right\}.$$

Carlitz considered also in 1971 the following numbers:

$$S_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_n F_{n+1} \dots F_{n+k}}$$

$$\text{so } S_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_n} = I_1.$$

Clearly, all the above series are convergent. Carlitz showed that $S_3, S_7, S_{11}, \dots \in \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, while $S_{4k} = r_k + r'_k S_0$ for $k \geq 1$ and $r_k, r'_k \in \mathbf{Q}$.

One may ask: what kind of number is S_0 ? Are the numbers S_0, S_1, S_2 algebraically independent?

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] BROWN, J. L. On generalized bases for real numbers. *Fibonacci Q.* 9 (1971), 477-496.
- [2] CARLITZ, L. Reduction formulas for Fibonacci summations. *Fibonacci Q.* 9 (1971), 449-466 and 510.
- [3] FRIDY, J. A. Generalized bases for the real numbers. *Fibonacci Q.* 4 (1966), 193-201.
- [4] KAKEYA, S. On the partial sums of an infinite series. *Science Reports Tōhoku Imp. Univ. (1)*, 3 (1914), 159-163.
- [5] LANDAU, E. Sur la série des inverses de nombres de Fibonacci. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 27 (1899), 298-300.
- [6] SANSONE, G. and J. GERRETSEN. *Lectures on the Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, Vol. I. P. Noordhoff, Groningen, 1960.

(Reçu le 22 octobre 1984)

Paulo Ribenboim

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Queen's University
Kingston, Ontario
Canada

Vide-leer-empty