

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 29 (1983)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ON FREE SUBGROUPS OF SEMI-SIMPLE GROUPS
Autor: Borel, A.
Kapitel: §1. Proof of Theorem B
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-52977>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 19.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

The condition on H and the second alternative hold either if K is algebraically closed or if $K = \mathbf{R}$ and $G(K)$ is compact. In that last case, $\chi(G(K), H(K)) = \chi(G(K)/H(K))$ by [10], and Theorem A follows.

I wish to thank D. Sullivan for having sent me a preprint of [8], which was the starting point of the present paper, and D. Kazhdan and G. Prasad for having pointed out two errors in a previous proof of Theorem B for \mathbf{SL}_n .

Notation and conventions. In the sequel, G is a connected semi-simple algebraic group over some groundfield, and p the characteristic of the groundfield. For unexplained notation and notions on linear algebraic groups, we refer to [1]. In particular, in such a group, the word "torus" is meant as in [1], i.e., refers to a connected linear algebraic group which is isomorphic to a product of \mathbf{GL}_1 's. In a compact group however it means a topological torus (product of circle groups).

If H is a group, and A, B are subsets of H , then

$${}^B A = \{bab^{-1} \mid a \in A, b \in B\}, N_H(A) = \{h \in H \mid hAh^{-1} = A\},$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}_H(A, B) = \{h \in H \mid h.A.h^{-1} = B\}.$$

If Γ acts on a space X , the *isotropy group* of Γ at x is

$$\Gamma_x = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \cdot x = x\}.$$

We recall that a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of irreducible algebraic varieties is *dominant* if its image is not contained in any proper algebraic subvariety. If so, then $\mathrm{Im} f$ contains a Zariski-dense open subset of Y [1: AG 10.2]. If the groundfield has characteristic zero, then, since f is separable, the differential of f has maximal rank on some non-empty Zariski open subset of X [1: AG, 17.3].

§1. PROOF OF THEOREM B

Let m be an integer ≥ 2 . Let $w = w(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ be a non-trivial element in the free group $F(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ on m letters X_i , i.e., a non-trivial reduced word in the X_i 's, with non-zero integral exponents [3: I.81, Prop. 7]. Then given a group H , the word w defines a map $f_w: H^m \rightarrow H$ by the rule

$$(1) \quad f_w(\{h_1, \dots, h_m\}) = w(h_1, \dots, h_m), \quad (h_i \in H; 1 \leq i \leq m).$$

If H is an algebraic group, then f_w is a morphism of algebraic varieties which is defined over any field of definition for H . In the case where $H = G$ we want to prove

THEOREM 1. *The map $f_w: G^m \rightarrow G$ is dominant.*

This is a geometric statement. To prove it, we shall identify G with $G(\Omega)$, where Ω is some universal field. We have then to prove that $f_w(G(\Omega)^m)$ is Zariski-dense in $G(\Omega)$.

The Zariski closure Z of $\text{Im } f_w$ is irreducible (since G^m is) and is invariant under conjugation, since $\text{Im } f_w$ is obviously so. Since the semi-simple elements of G are Zariski-dense, and all conjugate to elements in some fixed maximal torus T , it suffices to show that $Z \supset T$.

a) We first consider the case where $G = \text{SL}_n (n \geq 2)$. Let us prove that $G(\Omega)$ contains a Zariski-dense subgroup H , no element of which, except for the identity, has an eigenvalue equal to one. This statement and its proof were directly suggested by [8].

One can find an infinite field L of the same characteristic as Ω over which there exists a central division algebra D of degree n^2 . We may for example take for L a local field (see e.g. XIII, §3, Remarque p. 202 in [14]). We may assume $L \subset \Omega$. Let \mathcal{D}^1 be the algebraic group over L whose points in a commutative L -algebra M are the elements of reduced norm one in $D \otimes_L M$. Then \mathcal{D}^1 is an anisotropic L -form of SL_n . Of course, D splits over Ω and the isomorphism $D \otimes_L \Omega = \text{M}_n(\Omega)$ yields an isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}^1(\Omega)$ onto $G(\Omega)$. We let H be the image of $D^1 = \mathcal{D}^1(L)$ under such an isomorphism. The group H is Zariski-dense since L is infinite. The fact that any $h \in H - \{1\}$ has no eigenvalue equal to one is then proved as in [8]: the element $h - 1$ is a non-zero element of D , hence is invertible, hence has no eigenvalue zero and therefore h has no eigenvalue one. This proves our assertion. Let p_0 be the characteristic exponent of Ω ($p_0 = 1$ if $\text{char } \Omega = 0$ and $p_0 = \text{char } \Omega$ otherwise). If $p_0 = 1$, then H consists of semi-simple elements; if not, then $h^q (q = p_0^{n-1})$ is semi-simple for any $h \in G$. Let $f_w^q: G^m \rightarrow G$ be defined by $f_w^q(g) = f_w(g)^q$. Then $f_w^q(H)$ consists of semi-simple elements. Let Z_q be the Zariski closure of $\text{Im } f_w^q$. Since $x \mapsto x^q$ is dominant, we have shown:

(*) Let V be the set of semi-simple elements in $G(\Omega)$ which have no eigenvalue equal to one. Then $\{1\} \cup (V \cap \text{Im } f_w^q)$ is Zariski-dense in Z_q .

We now prove the theorem for $\text{SL}_n (n \geq 2)$ by induction on n . It suffices to show that f_w^q is dominant and, for this, that $Z_q \supset T$. Let $n = 2$. The group SL_2 has dimension three and the conjugacy classes of non-central elements have dimension two. If $Z_q \neq G$, then $\dim Z_q \leq 2$ and Z_q is contained in the union of the set U of unipotent elements of G and of finitely many conjugacy classes of semi-simple elements $\neq 1$. Those are closed, disjoint from U . Since Z_q is irreducible and contains 1, it should then be contained in U . On the other hand,

$Z_q \neq \{1\}$ since G contains non-commutative free subgroups, as follows from [17] (see also Remark 1 below). We then get

$$\{1\} \subsetneq Z_q \subsetneq U,$$

but this contradicts (*), whence the Theorem for \mathbf{SL}_2 .

Assume now $n > 2$ and our assertion proved up to $n - 1$. This implies in particular that Z_q contains all subgroups of G isomorphic to \mathbf{SL}_{n-1} , hence that $Z_q \cap T$ contains the subtori of T of codimension one consisting of the elements of T which have at least one eigenvalue equal to one. Call Y their union. Assume that $Z_q \cap T \neq T$. Then we may write $Z_q \cap T = Y \cup Y'$, where Y' is a proper algebraic subset of T not containing any irreducible component of Y . Let Q be the Zariski-closure of the set ${}^G Y'$ of conjugates of elements of Y' . We claim that $Y \not\subset Q$. In fact, the subsets Y and Y' are stable under the Weyl group $W = N(T)/T$ (which may be identified with the group of permutations of the basic vectors of Ω^n). Let $J \subset \Omega[T/W]$ be the ideal of Y' . The algebra $\Omega[T/W]$ is isomorphic, under the restriction mapping, to the algebra S of regular class functions on G [16]. Let J' be the ideal of S corresponding to J under this isomorphism and R the variety of zeroes of J' . We have then $Q \subset R$, but $Y \not\subset R$, whence $Y \not\subset Q$.

The difference $Y' - (Y \cap Y')$ contains a conjugate of every semi-simple element of Z_q not having any eigenvalue equal to one. Therefore (*) implies that $Z_q = \{1\} \cup Q$. But this contradicts the fact that $Y \not\subset Q$. Therefore $T \subset Z_q$ and the theorem is proved for \mathbf{SL}_n .

b) In the general case we use induction on $\dim G$. If $\mu : G' \rightarrow G$ is an isogeny, then the theorem for G' implies it for G , hence we may assume G to be simply connected. It is then a direct product of almost simple groups, whence also a reduction to the case where G is almost simple. By a), it suffices to consider the case where G is not isomorphic to \mathbf{SL}_n for any n . But then it contains a proper connected semi-simple subgroup H of maximal rank (see lemma below). By induction Z contains a maximal torus of H , hence one of G , and therefore T .

We have just used the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. *Assume G to be almost simple, and not isogeneous to \mathbf{SL}_n for any n . Then G contains a proper connected semi-simple subgroup of maximal rank.*

For convenience, we may assume G to be isomorphic to its adjoint group. Let $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$ be the root system of G with respect to T and $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ a

basis of Φ . Since G is adjoint, Δ is also a basis of the group $X^*(T)$ of rational characters of T . Let d be the dominant root and write

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^{i=l} d_i \alpha_i.$$

The d_i 's are strictly positive integers. By assumption, Φ is not of type A_m for any m . Therefore, by the classification of root systems, one of the d_i 's is prime (see e.g. [4]). Say $d_1 = q$, with q prime. Let Ψ be the set of elements in Φ which, when expressed as linear combination of simple roots, have either 0 or $\pm q$ as coefficient of α_1 . This is a closed set of roots. In fact, it is a root system with basis $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l$ and $-d$ [2]. We claim that there exists a closed connected subgroup H of G containing T with root system Ψ .

Let first $q \neq \text{char. } K$. Then there is an element $t \in T$, $t \neq 1$, such that

$$d(t) = \alpha_i(t) = 1, \quad (i = 2, \dots, l).$$

It has order q , and Ψ is the set of roots which are equal to one on t . Then the identity component of the centralizer of t satisfies our condition.

Let now $q = \text{char. } \Omega$. Let \mathfrak{t} be the Lie algebra of T and \mathfrak{u} be the subspace of \mathfrak{t} which annihilates the differentials $d\alpha_i$ of the roots α_i ($i = 2, \dots, l$). It is one dimensional and does not annihilate $d\alpha_1$ (since, as recalled above, Δ is a basis of $X^*(T)$, hence the $d\alpha_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$) form a basis of the dual space to \mathfrak{t}). Of course, the differential of any $\lambda \in X^*(T)$ which is divisible by q in $X^*(T)$ is identically zero on \mathfrak{t} . It follows then that

$$\Psi = \{\alpha \in \Phi \mid d\alpha(\mathfrak{u}) = 0\}.$$

Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G and

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{Ad } t(x) = \alpha(t) \cdot x (t \in T)\}, \quad (\alpha \in \Phi),$$

be the (1-dimensional) eigenspace of T corresponding to α [1, §14]. The previous relation implies that

$$\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{u}) = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

By [1: §14] the Lie algebra of the centralizer

$$Z_G(\mathfrak{u}) = \{g \in G \mid \text{Ad } g(x) = x, (x \in \mathfrak{u})\},$$

of \mathfrak{u} in G is equal to $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{u})$; therefore $Z_G(\mathfrak{u})$ is a semi-simple subgroup satisfying our conditions.

Remarks. 1) We have used [17] only for $\text{SL}_2(\Omega)$, but it is possible to bypass [17] in this case and make our proof, and the whole paper, independent of [17].

We need only to prove that $\mathbf{SL}_2(\Omega)$ contains a non-commutative free subgroup F . If Ω has characteristic zero, we may take any torsion-free subgroup of $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$. Let now $p = \text{char } \Omega$ be > 0 . Then, by the arithmetic method, using division quaternion algebras over global fields, we can construct a discrete cocompact subgroup of $\mathbf{SL}_2(L)$, where L is a local field of characteristic p (cf. A. Borel-G. Harder, *Crelle J.* 298 (1978), 53-74). The latter has a torsion-free subgroup F of finite index (H. Garland, *Annals of Math.* 97 (1973), 375-423) which is then free, since it acts freely on a tree, namely the Bruhat-Tits building of $\mathbf{SL}_2(L)$.

2) For any non-zero $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, the power map $g \mapsto g^n$ is dominant (because it is surjective on any maximal torus [1 : 8.9]), hence Theorem 1 is obvious if the sum of the exponents of one letter in the word w is not zero. (See [11] for a similar remark in the context of compact groups.)

3) If U and V are non-empty open subsets in a connected algebraic group H , then $H = U \cdot V$ [1 : 1.3]. It follows then from Theorem 1 that if w, w' are two words in two letters, say, then the map $G^4 \rightarrow G$ defined by

$$f(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4) = w(g_1, g_2) \cdot w'(g_3, g_4),$$

is surjective. For instance, every element of $G(\Omega)$ is the product of two commutators. However, the map f_w itself is not always surjective; for instance $x \mapsto x^2$ is not surjective in $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbf{C})$, as pointed out in [11].

4) If $K = \mathbf{C}$, then Theorem 1 implies that $\text{Im } f_w$ contains a dense open set in the ordinary topology. If G is defined over \mathbf{R} , then Theorem 1 also shows that $f_w(G(\mathbf{R}))$ contains a non-empty subset of $G(\mathbf{R})$ which is open in the ordinary topology. However it may not be dense. For instance, it is pointed out in [11] that for \mathbf{SU}_2 , the image of the map defined by $[x^2, yxy^{-1}]$ omits a neighborhood of -1 ; however this map is surjective in \mathbf{SO}_3 .

It seems that little is known about the image of f_w , even over \mathbf{R} or \mathbf{C} . A general fact however is that the commutator map is surjective in any compact connected semi-simple Lie group [9].

§2. FREE SUBGROUPS WITH STRONGLY REGULAR ELEMENTS

1. In the sequel, K is a field of infinite transcendence degree over its prime field. We shall need the following lemma:

LEMMA 2. Let X be an irreducible unirational K -variety. Let L be a finitely generated subfield of K containing a field of definition of X , and $V_i (i \in \mathbf{N})$ a sequence of proper irreducible algebraic subsets of X defined over an