

|                     |   |
|---------------------|---|
| <b>Zeitschrift:</b> | L'Enseignement Mathématique   |
| <b>Herausgeber:</b> | Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  |
| <b>Band:</b>        | 29 (1983)   |
| <b>Heft:</b>        | 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE  |
| <br><b>Artikel:</b> | REPRESENTATIONS OF THE SYMMETRIC GROUP, THE SPECIALIZATION ORDER, SYSTEMS AND GRASSMANN MANIFOLDS |
| <b>Autor:</b>       | Hazewinkel, Michiel / Martin, Clyde F.  |
| <b>Kapitel:</b>     | 11. A FAMILY OF REPRESENTATIONS OF $S_{n+m}$ PARAMETRIZED BY $G_n(C^{n+m})$                       |
| <b>DOI:</b>         | <a href="https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-52973">https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-52973</a>             |

#### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

#### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

#### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 11.02.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

10.3. *The Inverse Result.* Inversely if  $\rho_0$  is a subrepresentation of  $\rho$  then there is a family of representations (10.3) such that  $\text{Im } \pi_t \simeq \rho$  for  $t \neq 0$  and  $\text{Im } \pi_0 \simeq \rho_0$ , and if  $\rho$  is generated (as a  $\mathbf{C}[G]$ -module) by one element one can take for  $M$  in (10.2) the regular representation. Indeed if  $\rho_0$  is a subrepresentation of  $\rho$  then  $\rho = \rho_0 \oplus \rho_1$ . Let  $\pi: M \rightarrow \rho = \rho_0 \oplus \rho_1$  be a surjective map of representations. Let  $\pi_0, \pi_1$  be the two components of  $\pi$ . Let  $s = (s_0, s_1)$  be a section of  $\pi$ . Then  $\pi_0 s_0 = \text{id}$ ,  $\pi_1 s_1 = \text{id}$ ,  $\pi_0 s_1 = 0$ ,  $\pi_1 s_0 = 0$  and it follows that  $\pi(t)$  consisting of the components  $\pi_0$  and  $t\pi_1$  is still surjective. Hence  $\text{Im } \pi(t) = \rho$  and  $\text{Im } \pi(0) = \rho_0$ .

## 11. A FAMILY OF REPRESENTATIONS OF $S_{n+m}$ PARAMETRIZED BY $\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{C}^{n+m})$

11.1. *Construction of the Family.* Let  $M$  be the regular representation of  $S_{n+m}$ . That is  $M$  has a basis  $e_\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \in S_{n+m}$  and  $S_{n+m}$  acts on  $M$  by the formula  $\tau(e_\sigma) = e_{\tau\sigma}$ , for all  $\tau \in S_{n+m}$ . Now consider the universal bundle  $\xi_m$  over  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{C}^{n+m})$  and the  $n+m$  holomorphic section  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n+m}$  defined by

$$\varepsilon_i(x) = e_i \bmod x \in \mathbf{C}^{n+m}/x,$$

where  $e_i$  is the  $i$ -th standard basis vector. Take the  $(m+n)$ -fold tensor product of  $\xi_m$  and define a family of homomorphisms parametrized by  $\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{C}^{n+m})$  by

$$(11.2) \quad \pi_x: M \rightarrow \xi_m(x)^{\otimes(n+m)}, e_{\sigma^{-1}} \mapsto \varepsilon_{\sigma(1)}(x) \otimes \dots \otimes \varepsilon_{\sigma(n)}(x)$$

More precisely (11.2) defines a homomorphism of vectorbundles

$$(11.3) \quad \mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{C}^{n+m}) \times M \rightarrow \xi_m^{\otimes(n+m)}$$

The group  $S_{n+m}$  acts on  $\xi_m(x)^{\otimes(n+m)}$  by permuting the factors and it is a routine exercise to see that  $\pi_x$  is equivariant with respect to this action, i.e. that  $\pi_x(\tau v) = \tau \pi_x(v)$  for all  $v \in M$ ,  $\tau \in S_{n+m}$ . (Here the product  $\tau\sigma \in S_{n+m}$  is interpreted as first the automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $1, \dots, n+m$  and then the automorphism  $\tau$ .)

Thus  $\text{Im } \pi_x = \pi(x)$  is a representation of  $S_{n+m}$  for all  $x$  giving us a family of representations parametrized by  $\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{C}^{n+m})$ . Fixing a point  $x_0 \in \mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{C}^{n+m})$  and choosing  $m$  independent sections of  $\xi_m$  in a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_0$ , this gives us families of homomorphisms of representations

$$(11.4) \quad M \xrightarrow{\pi_x} (\mathbf{C}^m)^{\otimes(n+m)}, x \in U \subset \mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{C}^{n+m})$$

such that  $\text{Im } \pi'_x \simeq \pi(x)$  for  $x \in U$ .

11.5. *Permutation Representations and Schubert-cells. (On connection D.)* Let  $x \in \mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{C}^{n+m})$  be a subspace of  $\mathbf{C}^{n+m}$  spanned by the rows of a matrix of the form ( $n = 3, n = 5$ )

$$\begin{matrix} * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 \end{matrix}$$

where all the \*'s are nonzero. Then obviously the representation  $\pi(x)$  of  $S^*$  is isomorphic to  $\rho(\tilde{\kappa})$  with  $\tilde{\kappa} = (4, 3, 1)$ . Note that  $x$  is in the standard Schubert-cell  $SC(\tau(\kappa))$ , with  $\kappa = (3, 2, 0)$ . This holds in general and it is not difficult to extend this to

11.6. *Proposition.* Let  $\kappa$  be an  $m$ -part partition of  $n$ ,  $\tilde{\kappa} = (\kappa_1 + 1, \dots, \kappa_m + 1)$ . Then for almost all  $x \in SC(\tau(\kappa))$ , the representation of  $\pi(x)$  of  $S_{n+m}$  contains the representation  $\rho(\tilde{\kappa})$  and for some  $x \in SC(\tau(\kappa))$ ,  $\pi(x) \simeq \rho(\tilde{\kappa})$ .

Conjecturally the reverse holds also. That is if for almost all  $x$  in a standard Schubert-cell  $SC(\lambda)$  we have that  $\pi(x)$  contains  $\rho(\tilde{\kappa})$  then  $\lambda_i \geq \tau_i(\kappa)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . And I am even inclined to believe that if  $x \in SC(\lambda)$  and  $\pi(x)$  contains (or is equal to)  $\rho(\tilde{\kappa})$  then  $\lambda_i \geq \tau_i(\kappa)$ .

Note also that the matrices (11.5) are precisely the type of matrices  $(sI \dashv A; B)$  for a system  $\Sigma = (A, B)$  in feedback canonical form ( $s \neq 0, \infty$ ) suggesting that there is a natural representation of  $S_{n+m}$  attached to  $\Sigma$  awaiting interpretation.

11.7. *On a proof of the Snapper, Liebler-Vitale, Lam, Young Theorem via the Universal Family (11.2).* The structure of the family of representations (11.2) rather quickly suggests a way of proving the Snapper etc. theorem by deformation arguments as in 10.1. The argument is, however, more complicated than one would like perhaps. It is perhaps best illustrated by means of an example.

Consider an  $x \in \mathbf{G}_3(\mathbf{C}^5)$  spanned by the rows of a matrix of the form

$$\begin{matrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ z & 0 & 0 & -1 & t \end{matrix}$$

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_5$  be the images of the standard basis vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_5$  in  $\mathbf{C}^5/x$ . Then  $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 \neq f_4 = zf_1 + tf_5$  so that  $f_1$  and  $f_5$  are a basis for  $\mathbf{C}^5/x$  for all values of  $z$  and  $t$ . Let  $(1) \in S_5$  be the identity permutation. The image of  $e_{(1)} \in M$  in  $(\mathbf{C}^5/x)^{\otimes 5}$  is by the definition (11.2) equal to

$$(11.8) \quad f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3 \otimes f_4 \otimes f_5 = zf_{11115} + tf_{11155}$$

Where  $f_{11115}$  is short for  $f_1 \otimes f_1 \otimes f_1 \otimes f_1 \otimes f_5$  and similarly for other 5-tuples of indices. Symmetrizing the element (11.8) with respect to the permutation  $(45)$  gives us

$$(11.9) \quad z(f_{11115} + f_{11151}) + 2tf_{11155}$$

Let  $V_1$  be the subrepresentation of  $Im \pi_x$  generated by the element (11.9). (The representation  $Im \pi_x$  is the subrepresentation of  $(\mathbf{C}^5/x)^{\otimes 5}$  generated by (11.8).) Now (11.9) is invariant under the Young subgroup  $S_3 \times S_2$ . Hence  $\dim V_1 \leq 5!/3!2!$ . On the other hand, if  $t \neq 0$  then setting  $z = 0$  in (11.9) (which corresponds to the surjective map mentioned just above 10.2 associated to a family of representations) obviously maps  $V_1$  onto the vector space with as basis all symbols  $f_{\dots}$  with three of the indices equal to 1 and 2 equal to 5. This is  $\rho(3, 2)$  of dimension  $5!/3!2!$  so that  $V_1 \simeq \rho(3, 2)$  if  $t \neq 0$ . Now for  $z \neq 0$  set  $t = 0$  in (11.8) to obtain a homomorphism of representations

$$Im \pi_x \rightarrow \rho(4, 1)$$

It is now not hard to prove that (cf. [6] for a detailed proof).

11.10. *Proposition.* The composed homomorphism of representations

$$\rho(3, 2) \simeq V_1 \subset Im \pi_x \rightarrow \rho(4, 1)$$

is surjective.

This then proves that  $\rho(4, 1)$  is a direct summand of  $\rho(3, 2)$ . The argument generalizes without difficulty for partitions  $\kappa > \lambda$  such that  $\lambda$  is obtained from  $\kappa$  by a transformation of the type described in 6.7 above.

This is by no means the easiest way to prove this theorem. It is perfectly easy to describe the morphism  $\rho(\kappa) \rightarrow \rho(\lambda)$  directly and then the general analogue of proposition 11.10 yields the Snapper, Liebler-Vitale, Lam, Young result. This proof uses no representation theory at all (except the definition of the permutation representations  $\rho(\kappa)$ ; cf. [6] for details).

11.11. *Remarks.* It is conceivable that the family (11.2) contains all the families of representations one needs to prove the Snapper etc. result by means of deformation arguments. Quite generally we would like to pose the question which representations occur in this family and investigate universal families (for continuous families) of homomorphisms of representations from some fixed representation space into another.

## REFERENCES

- [1] BERNSTEIN, I. N., I. M. GELFAND and S. I. GELFAND. Schubert-cells and cohomology of the spaces  $G/P$ . *Russian Math. Surv.* 28, 3 (1978), 1-26.
- [2] BRYLAWSKI, T. The Lattice of Integer Partitions, *Discrete Math.* 6 (1973), 201-219.
- [3] GERSTENHABER, M. On Dominance and Varieties of Commuting Matrices, *Ann. Math.* 73 (1961), 324-348.
- [4] GROTHENDIECK, A. Sur la classification des fibrés holomorphes sur la sphère de Riemann. *Amer. J. Math.* 79 (1957), 121-138.
- [5] HARPER, L. and G.-C. ROTA. Matching Theory: An Introduction. In: P. Ney (ed.), *Advances in Probability*, Vol. 1, Marcel Dekker, 1971, 171-215.
- [6] HAZEWINKEL, M. and T. VORST. On the Snapper, Liebler-Vitale, Lam Theorem on Permutation Representations of the Symmetric Groups. To appear: *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra*.
- [7] HAZEWINKEL, M. and C. MARTIN. Representations of  $S_n$  and the Geometry of Linear Systems. *Conference on Decision and Control*, Albuquerque 1980.
- [8] HESSELINK, W. Singularities in the Nilpotent Scheme of a classical Group. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 222 (1976), 1-32.
- [9] KALMAN, R. E. Kronecker Invariants and Feedback (+ errata). In: L. Weiss (ed.), *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Acad. Press, 1972, 459-471.
- [10] KERBER, A. The Diagram Lattice as Structural Principle in Mathematics. In: P. Kramers, A. Rieckers (eds.), *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics* (Tübingen, 1977), *Lect. Notes in Physics* 79, Springer, 1978, 53-71.
- [11] KRAFT, H. P. Letter to M. Hazewinkel, June 2, 1980 (this material will be presented at the Torun conference in September 1980).