

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 28 (1982)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** THE REPRESENTATION THEORY OF  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ , A NON-INFINITESIMAL APPROACH  
**Autor:** Koornwinder, Tom H.  
**Kapitel:** 4.3. Notes  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-52233>

#### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

#### Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

#### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 10.08.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

$$(4.10) \quad A\phi_m = c_{\xi, \lambda, m} \phi_m,$$

where  $m \in \mathbf{Z} + \xi$  such that  $\delta_m \in \mathcal{M}(\sigma)$  and  $c_{\xi, \lambda, m}$  is given by (4.9).

### 4.3. NOTES

4.3.1. Definition 4.1 of Naimark relatedness goes back to NAIMARK [33]. He introduced this concept in the context of representations of the Lorentz group on a reflexive Banach space. Next he gave a much more involved definition in his book [34, Ch. 3, §9, No. 3]. Afterwards, many different versions of this definition appeared in literature, which all refer to [34]. We mention ZELOBENKO & NAIMARK [51, Def. 2] (“weak equivalence” for representations on locally convex spaces), FELL [13, §6] (Naimark relatedness for “linear system representations”) and WARNER [48, p. 232 and p. 242]. Warner starts with the definition of Naimark relatedness for Banach representations of an associative algebra over  $\mathbf{C}$  (this definition is similar to our Definition 4.1) and next he defines Naimark relatedness for Banach representations of an lcsc. group  $G$  in terms of Naimark relatedness for the corresponding representations of  $M_c(G)$  or (equivalently)  $C_c(G)$ . Warner’s definition seems to be standard now. POULSEN [35, Def. 33] gives Naimark’s original definition [33] and he calls it weak equivalence. FELL [13] (see also WARNER [48, Theorem 4.5.5.2]) proved that, for  $K$ -finite Banach representations of a connected unimodular Lie group, two representations are Naimark related iff they are infinitesimally equivalent.

4.3.2. Our implication  $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$  in Theorem 4.5 is related to WALLACH [44, Cor. 2.1]. Theorem 4.7 can be formulated for general semisimple Lie groups  $G$ . If  $\pi_{\xi, \lambda}$  is an irreducible principal series representation and if  $s \in W$  then  $\pi_{\xi, \lambda} \simeq \pi_{\xi^s, s \cdot \lambda}$  (cf. WALLACH [44, Theorem 3.1]). This yields part (a). Regarding part (b) see LEPOWSKY’s [29, Theorem 9.8] result that  $\pi_{\xi, \lambda}$  and  $\pi_{\xi^s, s \cdot \lambda}$  have equivalent composition series.

4.3.3. Theorem 4.7 was first proved in the unitarizable cases by BARGMANN [2]. He used infinitesimal methods. TAKAHASHI [39] proved Theorem 4.7 (again in the unitarizable cases) by calculating the diagonal matrix elements  $\pi_{\xi, \lambda, m, n}(a_t)$  and by observing that they are even in  $\lambda$ . GELFAND, GRAEV & VILENKEN [17, Ch. VII, §4] obtained Theorem 4.7 by working in the noncompact realization of the principal series and by explicitly constructing all possible intertwining operators.

4.3.4. Analogues of the results in §4.1 hold for nonabelian  $K$  and (in Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and Corollary 4.6) for  $K$ -finite representations, cf. [27, §4].