

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 28 (1982)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** THE REPRESENTATION THEORY OF  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ , A NON-INFINITESIMAL APPROACH  
**Autor:** Koornwinder, Tom H.  
**Kapitel:** 3.2. The case  $SU(1, 1)$   
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-52233>

#### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

#### Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

#### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 10.08.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

and

$$\tau(k)v = \tau_0(k)v.$$

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Let  $0 \neq v \in \mathcal{H}(\tau_0)$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_1$  be the  $\tau_0$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathcal{H}(\tau_0)$  generated by  $v$ . Then  $\phi_\gamma \in \mathcal{H}_1$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(\tau_0)$ . Now, for some  $g \in G$ ,

$$(\tau_0(g)\phi_\gamma, \phi_\delta) = \tau_{0,\delta,\gamma}(g) = \tau_{\delta,\gamma}(g) \neq 0,$$

so  $\tau_0(g)\phi_\gamma$  and  $\phi_\delta$  are in  $\mathcal{H}_1$ . For each  $\beta \in \mathcal{M}(\tau_0)$  we have  $(\tau_0(g)\phi_\delta, \phi_\beta) = \tau_{\beta\delta}(g) \neq 0$  for some  $g \in G$ . Thus  $\phi_\beta \in \mathcal{H}_1$  for all  $\beta \in \mathcal{M}(\tau_0)$ , so  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}(\tau_0)$ .

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): Suppose  $\tau_{\gamma\delta} = 0$  for some  $\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{M}(\tau_0)$ . Then, for all  $g \in G$ ,  $(\tau_0(g)\phi_\delta, \phi_\gamma) = 0$ . Hence, the  $\tau_0$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathcal{H}(\tau_0)$  generated by  $\phi_\delta$  is orthogonal to  $\phi_\gamma$ , so  $\tau_0$  is not irreducible.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Clear. □

Let  $\tau$  be  $K$ -multiplicity free,  $K$  being compact abelian. Define a relation  $\prec$  on  $\mathcal{M}(\tau)$  by:  $\gamma \prec \delta$  iff  $\tau_{\gamma,\delta} \neq 0$ . Then  $\gamma \prec \delta$  iff  $\phi_\gamma$  is in the  $\tau$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathcal{H}(\tau)$  generated by  $\phi_\delta$ . It follows that

$$\beta \prec \gamma \text{ and } \gamma \prec \delta \Rightarrow \beta \prec \delta$$

Define a relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{M}(\tau)$  by:  $\gamma \sim \delta$  iff  $\tau_{\gamma,\delta} \neq 0 \neq \tau_{\delta,\gamma}$ . It follows that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{M}(\tau)$  and that, if  $\tau_{\gamma,\delta} \neq 0, \alpha \sim \gamma, \beta \sim \delta$  then  $\tau_{\alpha,\beta} \neq 0$ . It follows that, for a given equivalence set, we can partition  $\mathcal{M}(\tau)$  into three parts, the first part being the equivalence set, such that the corresponding  $3 \times 3$  block matrix for  $(\tau_{\gamma\delta}(g))$  takes the form (3.3). In view of Theorem 3.2 this proves:

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a lcsc. group with compact abelian subgroup  $K$  and let  $\tau$  be a  $K$ -multiplicity free representation of  $G$ . Then there is a unique orthogonal decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}(\tau)$  into subspaces  $\mathcal{H}(\tau_i)$ , where the  $\tau_i$ 's are precisely the irreducible subquotient representations of  $\tau$ .*

### 3.2. THE CASE $SU(1, 1)$

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\xi = 0$  or  $\frac{1}{2}$ , the representation  $\pi_{\xi,\lambda}$  of  $G = SU(1, 1)$  on  $L^2_\xi(K)$  (cf. (2.8)) is  $K$ -multiplicity free with  $K$ -content given by (2.13). By inspecting (2.29) for small but nonzero  $t$  and by using (2.24) it follows that

$$(3.4) \quad \pi_{\xi, \lambda, m, n} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \pi_{\xi, \lambda, m, n}|_A \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow c_{\xi, \lambda, m, n} \neq 0,$$

where  $c_{\xi, \lambda, m, n}$  is given by (2.30). Combination of (3.4) with Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 yields:

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Depending on  $\xi$  and  $\lambda$ , the representation  $\pi_{\xi, \lambda}$  of  $SU(1, 1)$  has the following irreducible subquotient representations:*

(a)  $\lambda + \xi \notin \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ :

$\pi_{\xi, \lambda}$  is irreducible itself.

(b)  $\lambda = 0, \xi = \frac{1}{2}$ :

$\pi_{1/2, 0}^+$  on  $\text{Cl Span } \{\phi_{1/2}, \phi_{3/2}, \dots\}$ ,

$\pi_{1/2, 0}^-$  on  $\text{Cl Span } \{\dots, \phi_{-3/2}, \phi_{-1/2}\}$ .

These are also subrepresentations.

(c)  $\lambda + \xi \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda > 0$ :

$\pi_{\xi, \lambda}^+$  on  $\text{Cl Span } \{\phi_{\lambda+1/2}, \phi_{\lambda+3/2}, \dots\}$ ,

$\pi_{\xi, \lambda}^-$  on  $\text{Cl Span } \{\dots, \phi_{-\lambda-3/2}, \phi_{-\lambda-1/2}\}$ ,

$\pi_{\xi, \lambda}^0$  on  $\text{Span } \{\phi_{-\lambda+1/2}, \phi_{-\lambda+3/2}, \dots, \phi_{\lambda-1/2}\}$ .

Among these  $\pi_{\xi, \lambda}^+$  and  $\pi_{\xi, \lambda}^-$  are subrepresentations.

(d)  $\lambda + \xi \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda < 0$ :

$\pi_{\xi, \lambda}^+$  on  $\text{Cl Span } \{\phi_{-\lambda+1/2}, \phi_{-\lambda+3/2}, \dots\}$ ,

$\pi_{\xi, \lambda}^-$  on  $\text{Cl Span } \{\dots, \phi_{\lambda-3/2}, \phi_{\lambda-1/2}\}$ ,

$\pi_{\xi, \lambda}$  on  $\text{Span } \{\phi_{\lambda+1/2}, \phi_{\lambda+3/2}, \dots, \phi_{-\lambda-1/2}\}$ .

Among these  $\pi_{\xi, \lambda}^0$  is a subrepresentation.

*Proof.*

(a)  $c_{\xi, \lambda, m, n} \neq 0$ .

(b)  $c_{1/2, 0, m, n} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow m, n \leq -\frac{1}{2}$  or  $m, n \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

(c)  $c_{\xi, \lambda, m, n} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow -\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \leq n \leq \lambda - \frac{1}{2}$   
or  $m, n \leq -\lambda - \frac{1}{2}$  or  $m, n \geq \lambda + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Thus  $c_{\xi, \lambda, m, n}$  has block matrix

$$\begin{array}{ccc} n \leq -\lambda - \frac{1}{2} & -\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \leq n \leq \lambda - \frac{1}{2} & n \geq \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \\ \begin{matrix} m \leq -\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \\ -\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \leq m \leq \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \\ m \geq \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} & \left( \begin{array}{ccc} * & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & * \end{array} \right) & \end{array}$$

where each starred block has all entries nonzero.

- (d)  $c_{\xi, \lambda, m, n} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \leq m \leq -\lambda - \frac{1}{2}$  or  $m, n \leq \lambda - \frac{1}{2}$   
or  $m, n \geq \lambda + \frac{1}{2}$ . □

The finite-dimensional representation occurring in the above classification are the representations  $\pi_{\xi, \lambda}^0 (\lambda + \xi \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda \neq 0)$ .

### 3.3. NOTES

3.3.1. In the case of the unitary principal series ( $\lambda$  imaginary), Theorem 3.4 was first proved by BARGMANN [2, sections 6 and 7]. See van DIJK [9, Theorem 4.1] for the statement and (infinitesimal) proof of our Theorem 3.4 in the general case. A proof of Theorem 3.4 similar to our proof was earlier given by BARUT & PHILLIPS [3, §II (4)].

3.3.2. Theorem 3.4 in the case of imaginary and nonzero  $\lambda$  is contained in a general theorem by BRUHAT [5, Theorem 7; 2]: For  $\xi \in \hat{M}$ ,  $\lambda \in i\mathbf{a}$ , the principal series representation  $\pi_{\xi, \lambda}$  of  $G$  (cf. (2.2)) is irreducible if  $s \cdot \lambda \neq \lambda$  for all  $s \neq e$  in the Weyl group for  $(G, K)$ .

3.3.3. GELFAND & NAIMARK [18, §5.4, Theorem 1] proved the irreducibility of the unitary principal series for  $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$  by a global method different from ours, working in a noncompact realization and calculating the “matrix elements” of the representation with respect to a (continuous)  $\overline{N}$ -basis.

3.3.4. Analogues of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 can be formulated in the case of non-abelian  $K$ , cf. [27, Theorem 3.3]. In that case the canonical matrix elements  $\tau_{\gamma, \delta}$  are matrix-valued functions. By using this method, NAIMARK [34, Ch. 3, §9, No. 15] examined the irreducibility of the nonunitary principal series for  $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ , see also KOSTERS [28].