Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 28 (1982)

Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ON THE NUMBER OF RESTRICTED PRIME FACTORS OF AN INTEGER. III

Autor: Norton, Karl K.

Kapitel: §5. Proofs of Theorem 1.21 and related results

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-52232

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 08.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

THEOREM 4.13. *Suppose that there exists a real number* $\gamma(E) > 0$ *such that* (1.7) *holds. Let* $\varepsilon > 0$, *and suppose that* $x \geqslant c_{34}(E, \varepsilon)$ *and*

$$(\log_2 x)^2 (\log x)^{-1} \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1 + \{1 + \log \gamma(E) - \varepsilon\} (\log_2 x)^{-1}.$$

Then

$$x^{1-\alpha} \exp\left\{-c_{35}(E) \frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}\right\} \leqslant S\left(x, \alpha (\log x) (\log_2 x)^{-1}; E, \omega\right)$$
$$\leqslant x^{1-\alpha} \exp\left\{\frac{2\alpha (\log x) \log_3 x}{\log_2 x} + c_{36}(E) \frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}\right\}.$$

This can be obtained from Theorems 1.11 and 1.14 (take

$$y = \alpha (\log x) (\log_2 x)^{-1}$$

and use the inequalities

$$\log_2 y \leqslant \log_3 x, y \geqslant \log_2 x \geqslant \gamma(E) \log_2 x).$$

Theorem 4.13 should be compared with Theorem 1.6.

§5. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.21 AND RELATED RESULTS

In estimating $S(x, y; E, \Omega)$ (defined by (1.1)), we do not need any assumption such as (1.7). Hence we emphasize that throughout the remainder of this paper, E is merely assumed to be any nonempty set of primes. (We shall sometimes assume explicitly that E has at least two members.) The smallest member of E will always be denoted by p_1 (and the smallest member of $E - \{p_1\}$, if it exists, by p_2). When x and v are positive real numbers, the function $\Lambda = \Lambda(x, v; E)$ is always defined by (1.22).

The subsequent work depends heavily on the following elementary lemma [13, p. 690]:

LEMMA 5.1. *If* $x > 0$ *and* $1 \leqslant z < p_1$, *then*

$$\sum_{n \leqslant x} z^{\Omega(n; E)} < p_1 (p_1 - z)^{-1} x e^{(z-1) E(x) + 4z}.$$

For the special case $E = P$, there is a recent paper of DeKoninck and Hensley [1] giving various estimates for $\sum_{n \leqslant x}^{*} z^{\Omega(n)}$, where z is complex and * indicates that the prime factors of n are restricted to lie in a certain range. DeKoninck and Hensley get sharp results, but their work is rather complicated and does not seem applicable to the problems discussed here.

If y is real and $z \geqslant 1$, then

$$\sum_{n \leqslant x} z^{\Omega(n; E)} \geqslant \sum_{n \leqslant x,\, \Omega(n; E) \geqslant y} z^{\Omega(n; E)}$$
$$\geqslant z^{y} \operatorname{card} \{n \leqslant x : \Omega(n; E) \geqslant y\} .$$

Hence Lemma 5.1 immediately yields

LEMMA 5.2. *If* $x > 0$, y *is real, and* $1 \leqslant z < p_1$, *then*

$$\operatorname{card} \{n \leqslant x : \Omega(n; E) \geqslant y\}$$
$$< p_1 (p_1 - z)^{-1} x \exp \{(z-1) E(x) - y \log z + 4z\} .$$

LEMMA 5.3. *Let* $x > 0, 0 < v \leqslant y < p_1 v$. *Then*

$$\operatorname{card} \{n \leqslant x : \Omega(n; E) \geqslant y\}$$
$$< c_{37}(p_1) (p_1 - y/v)^{-1} x \exp \{y - v - y \log (y/v) + p_1 \Lambda\} .$$

Proof: In Lemma 5.2, use the inequality $E(x) \leqslant v + \Lambda$ and take $z = y/v$ to get an approximate minimum. Q.E.D.

We observe in passing that Lemma 5.2 can also be used when $y \geqslant p_1 v$. In order to get a reasonably good result in this case by the same method, one needs to minimize the function

$$g(z) = (z-1) v - y \log z - \log (p_1 - z)$$

on the interval $1 \leqslant z < p_1$. Assuming that y is rather large, one can see with some computation that $g(z)$ is approximately minimized when

$$z = p_1 (1 - (2y)^{-1}) ,$$

and this z satisfies $1 \leqslant z < p_1$ whenever $y \geqslant 1$. With this value of z, Lemma 5.2 yields

$$\operatorname{card} \{n \leqslant x : \Omega(n; E) \geqslant y\} \leqslant c_{38}(p_1) y p_1^{-y} x e^{(p_1 - 1)v + p_1 \Lambda} \tag{5.4}$$

for $x > 0$, $y \geqslant 1$. When E is the set of all primes and $x \geqslant 3$, we can take $v = \log_2 x$, $\Lambda = O(1)$. Thus (5.4) is already sharper and more general

than (1.20) (which is due to Erdös and Sárközy [3]). However, Theorem 1.18 shows that it may be of interest to take y as large as $(\log x)(\log p_1)^{-1}$, and we shall now prove that when y is relatively large, the factor y on the right-hand side of (5.4) can be replaced by a much smaller quantity.

LEMMA 5.5. *Write* $F = E - \{p_1\}$ *(if* F *is empty, we define* $\Omega(n; F) = 0$ *for all* n*). Let* $x > 0, y \geqslant 0,$ *and let* $k = [y] + 1$. *For integers* a *with* $0 \leqslant a \leqslant k$, *define*

$$C_a = \{m \leqslant xp_1^{-a} : p_1 \nmid m \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(m; F) \geqslant k - a\}.$$

Then

$$S(x, y; E, \Omega) = [xp_1^{-k}] + \sum_{a=0}^{k-1} \operatorname{card} C_a .$$

Proof: For $0 \leqslant a \leqslant k$, define

$$B_a = \{n \leqslant x : p_1^a \parallel n \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(np_1^{-a}; F) \geqslant k - a\}$$

(recall that $p_1^a \parallel n$ means $p_1^a \mid n$ and $p_1^{a+1} \nmid n$). It is easy to see that

$$\{n \leqslant x : \Omega(n; E) > y\} = \{n \leqslant x : p_1^k \mid n\} \cup \bigcup_{a=0}^{k-1} B_a .$$

Since the sets $\{n \leqslant x : p_1^k \mid n\}, B_0, B_1, \ldots, B_{k-1}$ are disjoint, we have

$$S(x, y; E, \Omega) = \operatorname{card}\{n \leqslant x : p_1^k \mid n\} + \sum_{a=0}^{k-1} \operatorname{card} B_a .$$

But the mapping $n \mapsto np_1^{-a}$ establishes a one-to-one correspondence between B_a and C_a, so the result follows. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 1.21: If $E = \{p_1\}$, then by Lemma 5.5,

$$S(x, y; E, \Omega) \leqslant xp_1^{-y},$$

and (1.23) follows. Thus we may assume that $F = E - \{p_1\}$ is not empty. Let p_2 be the smallest member of F, and let $k = [y] + 1$. By Lemma 5.5,

$$S(x, y; E, \Omega) = [xp_1^{-k}] + \sum_{a=1}^{k} \operatorname{card} C_{k-a} . \tag{5.6}$$

To estimate

$$\text{card } C_{k-a} = \text{card } \{m \leqslant x p_1^{a-k} : p_1 \nmid m \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(m; F) \geqslant a\}$$

from above, we apply Lemma 5.2 (with E replaced by F and p_1 by p_2). Since

$$F(x p_1^{a-k}) \leqslant F(x) \leqslant E(x) \leqslant v + \Lambda,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{card } C_{k-a} \\ &< p_2 (p_2 - z)^{-1} x p_1^{a-k} \exp\{(z-1)(v+\Lambda) - a \log z + 4z\} \\ &= H(a, z), \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

say, and this holds for each integer a $(1 \leqslant a \leqslant k)$ and each real z with $1 \leqslant z < p_2$. In applying (5.7), we are free to choose z to depend on a. Write $Q = \max\{k, p_1 v\}$, and for each a $(1 \leqslant a \leqslant Q)$, let z_a be any real number satisfying $1 \leqslant z_a < p_2$. Then by (5.6) and (5.7),

$$\begin{aligned} S(x, y; E, \Omega) &\leqslant x p_1^{-k} + \sum_{a=1}^{k} H(a, z_a) \\ &\leqslant x p_1^{-k} + \sum_{1 \leqslant a \leqslant v} H(a, z_a) + \sum_{v < a \leqslant p_1 v} H(a, z_a) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p_1 v < a \leqslant Q} H(a, z_a). \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

For $1 \leqslant a \leqslant v$, take $z_a = 1$. With this choice, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leqslant a \leqslant v} H(a, z_a) &\ll x p_1^{-k} \sum_{1 \leqslant a \leqslant v} p_1^a \ll x p_1^{-y+v} \\ &\ll x p_1^{-y} e^{(p_1 - 1) v}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

For $v < a \leqslant p_1 v$, the quantity $(z-1) v - a \log z$ in (5.7) is minimized by taking $z = a/v = z_a$. With this choice of z_a, we have $1 < z_a \leqslant p_1$ and

$$p_2 (p_2 - z_a)^{-1} \leqslant p_2 (p_2 - p_1)^{-1} \leqslant 1 + p_1,$$

so

$$H(a, z_a) \leqslant c_{39}(p_1) x p_1^{a-k} e^{(p_1 - 1)\Lambda} (v^a e^{-v} / a^a e^{-a}).$$

By Stirling's formula, $a^a e^{-a} \gg a!\, a^{-1/2}$, so we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v < a \leqslant p_1 v} H(a, z_a) &\leqslant c_{40}(p_1) x p_1^{-y} v^{1/2} e^{-v + p_1 \Lambda} \sum_{v < a \leqslant p_1 v} \frac{(p_1 v)^a}{a!} \\ &\leqslant c_{40}(p_1) x p_1^{-y} v^{1/2} e^{(p_1 - 1) v + p_1 \Lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

For $p_1 v < a \leqslant Q$, we let all the numbers z_a have the same value $p_1(1+\theta)$, where θ is a real number about which we assume only that $0 < \theta < p_2 p_1^{-1} - 1$ (the last inequality being needed in order to have $z_a < p_2$). With this choice of z_a, (5.7) yields

$$\sum_{p_1 v < a \leqslant Q} H(a, z_a)$$

$$\leqslant p_2 \{p_2 - p_1(1+\theta)\}^{-1} x p_1^{-k} \exp\{(p_1 - 1 + p_1\theta)(v+\Lambda) + 4p_1(1+\theta)\}$$

$$\times \sum_{p_1 v < a \leqslant Q} (1+\theta)^{-a}. \tag{5.11}$$

The last sum on the right does not exceed

$$\sum_{a > p_1 v} (1+\theta)^{-a} < (1+\theta)\,\theta^{-1}(1+\theta)^{-p_1 v}. \tag{5.12}$$

After combining this estimate with (5.11), we would like to minimize the contribution of the essential terms $e^{p_1\theta v}\,\theta^{-1}(1+\theta)^{-p_1 v}$. Since

$$\log(1+\theta) \geqslant \theta - \theta^2/2 \qquad \text{for} \quad \theta \geqslant 0, \tag{5.13}$$

we have

$$p_1\theta v - \log\theta - p_1 v \log(1+\theta) \leqslant -\log\theta + p_1 v\theta^2/2,$$

and here the right-hand side would be minimized by taking θ to be $(p_1 v)^{-1/2}$. However, we must also choose $\theta < p_2 p_1^{-1} - 1$ (so that $z_a < p_2$). If we take

$$\theta = (2p_1 v^{1/2})^{-1}, \tag{5.14}$$

then because of our assumption that $v \geqslant 1$, we have

$$\theta \leqslant (2p_1)^{-1} < p_2 p_1^{-1} - 1.$$

Combining (5.11), (5.12), (5.13), and (5.14), and observing that

$$\begin{aligned} p_2\{p_2 - p_1(1+\theta)\}^{-1} &\leqslant p_2(p_2 - p_1 - 1/2)^{-1} \\ &= 1 + (p_1 + 1/2)(p_2 - p_1 - 1/2)^{-1} \leqslant c_{41}(p_1), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain finally

$$\sum_{p_1 v < a \leqslant Q} H(a, z_a) \leqslant c_{42}(p_1)\, x p_1^{-y} v^{1/2} e^{(p_1 - 1)v + p_1\Lambda}. \tag{5.15}$$

The theorem now follows from (5.8), (5.9), (5.10), and (5.15). Q.E.D.

Since

$$E(x) \leqslant \sum_{p \leqslant x} p^{-1} = \log_2 x + O(1) \qquad \text{for} \quad x \geqslant 2,$$

one would always want to choose $v \leqslant \log_2 x$. Thus (1.23) is superior to (5.4) whenever $y \geqslant (\log_2 x)^{1/2}$. Furthermore, consideration of derivatives shows that

$$y - v - y \log (y/v) \leqslant (p_1 - 1) v - y \log p_1 \qquad \text{for} \qquad 0 < v \leqslant y \leqslant p_1 v,$$

and hence Lemma 5.3 is superior to Theorem 1.21 whenever

$$1 \leqslant v \leqslant y \leqslant p_1 v - v^{1/2}.$$

REFERENCES

[1] DeKoninck, J.-M. and D. Hensley. Sums taken over $n \leqslant x$ with prime factors $\leqslant y$ of $z^{\Omega(n)}$, and their derivatives with respect to z. *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) 42* (1978), 353-365.

[2] Erdös, P. et J.-L. Nicolas. Sur la fonction : nombre de facteurs premiers de N. *Ens. Math. 27* (1981), 3-30.

[3] Erdös, P. and A. Sárközy. On the number of prime factors of integers. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 42* (1980), 237-246.

[4] Halász, G. Remarks to my paper "On the distribution of additive and the mean values of multiplicative arithmetic functions". *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 23* (1972), 425-432.

[5] Hardy, G. H. and S. Ramanujan. The normal number of prime factors of a number n. *Quart. J. Math. 48* (1917), 76-92.

[6] Hardy, G. H. and E. M. Wright. *An introduction to the theory of numbers.* 3rd ed., Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1954.

[7] Kolesnik, G. and E. G. Straus. On the distribution of integers with a given number of prime factors. *Acta Arith. 37* (1980), 181-199.

[8] Kubilius, J. P. *Probabilistic methods in the theory of numbers.* Amer. Math. Soc. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 11, Providence, R.I., 1964.

[9] —— On large deviations of additive arithmetic functions. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 128* (1972), 163-171 (= *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 128* (1972), 191-201).

[10] Laurinčikas, A. On large deviations of arithmetic functions. *Litovsk. Mat. Sb. 16* (1976), No. 1, 159-171 (= *Lithuanian Math. Trans. 16* (1976), 97-104).

[11] Newman, M. Isometric circles of congruence groups. *Amer. J. Math. 91* (1969), 648-656.

[12] Norton, K. K. Numbers with small prime factors, and the least k-th power non-residue. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 106* (1971).

[13] —— On the number of restricted prime factors of an integer. I. *Illinois J. Math. 20* (1976), 681-705.