Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 27 (1981)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ON THE GENUS OF GENERALIZED FLAG MANIFOLDS
Autor: Glover, Henry H. / Mislin, Guido
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51749

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 17.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

ON THE GENUS OF GENERALIZED FLAG MANIFOLDS

by Henry H. GLOVER and Guido MISLIN

INTRODUCTION

Let X be a nilpotent space of finite type. We denote by $G(X)$ the genus of X, i.e. the set of all homotopy types Y (nilpotent, of finite type) with p-localizations $Y_p \simeq X_p$ for all primes p, (cf. [HMR]). The set $G(X)$ has been studied extensively in case of X an H-space. In particular it is known that for the special unitary group $SU(n)$ one has

$$|G(SU(n))| \geqslant \prod_{1<m<n} (\phi(m!)/2)$$

where ϕ is the Euler function [Z, p. 152]. We are interested in this note in finding non-trivial examples X with $G(X) = \{[X]\}$ and we call such spaces *generically rigid.* A large family of such generically rigid spaces is provided by certain generalized flag manifolds. Let

$$G = U(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k)$$

and

$$H = U(n_1) \times U(n_2) \times \dots \times U(n_k),$$

embedded in G in the obvious way. Then

$$M = M(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) = G/H$$

is a generalized flag manifold (generalizing the standard complex flag manifold $U(n)/T^n$ which corresponds to $M(1, 1, \dots, 1)$). We will show essentially that whenever the homotopy rigidity result for linear actions holds for M (cf. [L1], [L2], [EL]), then M is also generically rigid. These two seemingly unrelated rigidity results are tied up by certain results on $E(X)$ and $E(X_0)$, the groups of homotopy classes of self equivalences of X and X_0, X_0 the rationalization of X.

To make our result more precise, we need some further notation. For

$$M = M(n_1, \dots, n_k) = G/H$$

as above, we write $N(H)$ for the normalizer of H in G. The finite group $N(H)/H$ acts on M in an obvious way and it is well known that through that action, $N(H)/H$ is faithfully represented in $H^*(M; \mathbf{Q})$. We can therefore consider $N(H)/H$ as a subgroup of $E(M)$ or $E(M_0)$. By Theorem 1.1 of [GH2] the canonical map

$$E(M_0) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{alg} H^*(M; \mathbf{Q})$$

is a group isomorphism. In particular, the grading automorphisms

$$g(q): H^*(M; \mathbf{Q}) \to H^*(M; \mathbf{Q})$$

defined by $g(q)x = q^i x$ for $x \in H^{2i}(M; \mathbf{Q})$ and $q \in \mathbf{Q}^*$, lift to unique self equivalences of M_0 (which we denote also by $g(q)$), and thus

$$Gr(M_0) = \{g(q) \mid q \in \mathbf{Q}^*\} \subset E(M_0)$$

is a central subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbf{Q}^*$.

In all cases of generalized flag manifolds for which $E(M_0)$ has been computed, the subgroup generated by $Gr(M_0)$ and $N(H)/H$,

$$\langle Gr(M_0), N(H)/H \rangle \subset E(M_0)$$

is all of $E(M_0)$. The following conjecture is thus plausible.

Conjecture C. Let $M = M(n_1, n_2, ..., n_k)$ be a generalized flag manifold. Then

$$E(M_0) = \langle Gr(M_0), N(H)/H \rangle .$$

A similar conjecture appears in [L1, Conjecture C] but the relationship between the two conjectures is not entirely clear.

The Conjecture C has been verified in the following cases:

1) $n_1 = n_2 = ... = n_k = 1$ (compare the proof of Thm. 1 in [EL])
2) $n_1 = n_2 = ... = n_{k-1} = 1, n_k \geqslant k - 1$ (compare the proof of Theorem 9 in [L1])
3) $n_1 = 2$ and $k = 2$ (follows from [O])
4) $n_2 > n_1$ and $k = 2$ ([GH1], [Br])
5) $n_1 = 1, n_2 > 1, n_3 \geqslant 2n_2^2 - 1$ and $k = 3$ ([GH2])

The Conjecture C holds therefore for instance for all complex Grassmann manifolds $G_p(\mathbf{C}^{p+q}) = M(p, q)$ with $p \neq q$ (since $M(p, q) \simeq M(q, p)$), and for the classical flag manifolds $U(n)/T^n$.

Our main theorem may be stated as follows.

THEOREM. *Let* $M = M(n_1, ..., n_k)$ *be a generalized flag manifold for which the Conjecture C holds. Then*

$$G(M) = \{[M]\}.$$

In particular the Grassmann manifolds $G_p(\mathbf{C}^{p+q})$ *for* $p \neq q$ *and the flag manifolds* $U(n)/\mathrm{T}^n$ *are all generically rigid.*

§1. GENUS AND SELF MAPS

Let P denote a fixed set of primes. Two P-sequences

$$S_1, S_2 : P \to E(X_0)$$

are called *equivalent*, if there exist maps $h(0) \in E(X_0)$ and

$$h(p) \in \operatorname{im}\left(E(X_p) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} E(X_0)\right)$$

such that for all $p \in P$ one has

$$h(0)\, S_1(p) = S_2(p)\, h(p).$$

Definition 1.1. We denote by P-Seq $(E(X_0))$ the set of equivalence classes of P-sequences in $E(X_0)$.

If P is a finite set of primes and X a nilpotent space of finite type, then there is a canonical map

$$\theta : G(X) \to P\text{-Seq}\left(E(X_0)\right).$$

It is defined as follows. Let $Y \in G(X)$ and $P = \{p_1, ..., p_n\}$. Then the localization Y_P is a pull-back of maps $X_{p_i} \xrightarrow{\lambda_i} X_0$, i.e. $Y_P \simeq$ hoinvlim $\{X_{p_i} \xrightarrow{\lambda_i} X_0\}$. The maps λ_i induce equivalences $\bar{\lambda}_i \in E(X_0)$ and we put

$$\theta(Y) = \{[\bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2, ..., \bar{\lambda}_n]\}.$$

If Y_P may also be represented by hoinvlim $\{X_{p_i} \xrightarrow{\mu_i} X_0\}$, then there exist maps $h(0) \in E(X_0)$ and $\tilde{h}(p_i) \in E(X_{p_i})$, $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ rendering the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_{p_i} \arrow[r, "\tilde{h}(p_i)"] \arrow[d, "\lambda_i"'] & X_{p_i} \arrow[d, "\mu_i"] \\ X_0 \arrow[r, "h(0)"'] & X_0 \end{tikzcd}$$

homotopy commutative and thus inducing hoinvlim $\{\lambda_i\} \simeq$ hoinvlim $\{\mu_i\}$. Hence

$$\{[\bar{\lambda}_1, \ldots, \bar{\lambda}_n]\} = \{[\bar{\mu}_1, \ldots, \bar{\mu}_n]\} \in P\text{-Seq}\,(E\,(X_0))$$

and therefore θ is well defined.

LEMMA 1.2. Let X be a nilpotent space of finite type and let P denote a finite set of primes. Then

$$\theta\colon G\,(X) \to P\text{-Seq}\,(E\,(X_0))$$

is surjective with fibers of the form

$$\theta^{-1}\,(\theta\,(Y)) = \{Z \in G\,(X) \mid Z_P \simeq Y_P\}\,.$$

Proof. Let $P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ and

$$\{[\bar{f}_1, \ldots, \bar{f}_n]\} \in P\text{-Seq}\,(E\,(X_0))\,.$$

Let $e_i\colon X_{p_i} \to X_0$ denote the canonical maps and put

$$f_i = \bar{f}_i \circ e_i\colon X_{p_i} \to X_0\,.$$

Define W = hoinvlim $\{f_i\}$; W comes equipped with a canonical map $f\colon W \to X_0$. Let Z be the homotopy pull back of $W \xrightarrow{f} X_0 \xleftarrow{\text{can}} X_{\bar{P}}$, where $\bar{P}$ denotes the set of primes complementary to P. Then $Z \in G\,(X)$ and

$$\theta\,(Z) = \{[\bar{f}_1, \ldots, \bar{f}_n]\}\,;$$

thus θ is surjective. It is clear from the definition of θ that for $Y, Z \in G\,(X)$ one has $\theta\,(Y) = \theta\,(Z)$ if and only if $Y_P \simeq Z_P$.

The next lemma provides a sufficient condition for θ to be monic "at the basepoint".

LEMMA 1.3. Let X be a nilpotent space of finite type. Suppose that there exists a finite set of primes P with complement $\bar{P}$ such that

a) $Y \in G(X)$ implies $Y_{\bar{P}} \simeq X_{\bar{P}}$

b) every $f \in E(X_0)$ can be written as $f_1 \circ f_2$ with $f_1 \in \operatorname{im}\left(E(X_P) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} E(X_0)\right)$ and $f_2 \in \operatorname{im}\left(E(X_{\bar{P}}) \to E(X_0)\right)$.

Then for $\theta: G(X) \to P\text{-Seq}\left(E(X_0)\right)$ as above, one has $\theta^{-1}(\theta(X)) = \{X\}$.

Proof. Let $Y \in G(X)$ with $\theta(Y) = \theta(X)$. Then $Y_P \simeq X_P$ by the definition of θ, and $Y_{\bar{P}} \simeq X_{\bar{P}}$ by assumption. Hence Y may be obtained as a homotopy pull back of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd} & X_P \arrow[dr, "\alpha"] & \\ Y \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & X_0 \\ & X_{\bar{P}} \arrow[ur, "\beta"'] & \end{tikzcd}$$

If α induces $\bar{\alpha} \in E(X_0)$ and if $\gamma = \bar{\alpha}^{-1} \circ \beta$, then Y is also a pull back of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd} & X_P \arrow[dr, "\text{can}"] & \\ Y \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & X_0 \\ & X_{\bar{P}} \arrow[ur, "\gamma"'] & \end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\bar{\gamma} \in E(X_0)$ be the map induced by γ and write $\bar{\gamma} = f_1 f_2$ with

$$f_1 \in \operatorname{im}\left(E(X_P) \to E(X_0)\right), \quad f_2 \in \operatorname{im}\left(E(X_{\bar{P}}) \to E(X_0)\right).$$

Choose a lift $\tilde{f}_1^{-1} \in E(X_P)$ of f_1^{-1} and a lift $\tilde{f}_2 \in E(X_{\bar{P}})$ of f_2. Then $f_1^{-1}\gamma = \text{can} \circ \tilde{f}_2$ and one can form a commutative diagram,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_P \arrow[rr, "\tilde{f}_1^{-1}"] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{can}"'] & & X_P \arrow[dl, "\mathrm{can}"] \\
& X_0 \arrow[r, "f_1^{-1}"] & X_0 \\
X_{\bar{P}} \arrow[ur, "\gamma"] \arrow[rr, "\tilde{f}_2"'] & & X_{\bar{P}} \arrow[ul, "\mathrm{can}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

which shows that $Y \simeq X$.

§2. The case of generalized flag manifolds

The following result is an easy consequence of [F].

Lemma 2.1. Let M be a generalized flag manifold. Then the following holds.

a) If $g(\lambda) \in Gr(M_0)$ is a grading map with $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}_Q^*$ for some (not necessarily finite) set of primes Q, then $g(\lambda)$ lifts to a homotopy equivalence $\tilde{g}(\lambda): M_Q \to M_Q$.

b) Let P be an arbitrary set of primes with complement $\bar{P}$. Then every

$$f \in \langle Gr(M_0), N(H)/H \rangle$$

may be written in the form $f = f_1 \circ f_2$ with

$$f_1 \in \operatorname{im}\left(E(M_P) \to E(M_0)\right)$$

and

$$f_2 \in \operatorname{im}\left(E(M_{\bar{P}}) \to E(M_0)\right).$$

Proof. Let $\lambda = k/l$ with k and l relatively prime integers. Then $g(k)$ and $g(l)$ lift to equivalences

$$\tilde{g}(k), \tilde{g}(l): M_Q \to M_Q.$$

since necessarily $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}_Q^*$ (compare [F]). Thus $\tilde{g}(k)\,\tilde{g}(l)^{-1}$ is a lift of $g(\lambda)$. For b) we note that $f = g(\rho) \circ \sigma$ for some $\rho \in \mathbf{Q}^*$ and

$$\sigma \in N(H)/H .$$

If we write $\rho = \rho_1 \cdot \rho_2$ with $\rho_1 \in \mathbf{Z}_P^*$ and $\rho_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_{\bar{P}}^*$, then

$$f = g(\rho_1) \cdot (g(\rho_2)\,\sigma)$$

and we may choose

$$f_1 = g(\rho_1),\ f_2 = g(\rho_2)\,\sigma .$$

Since σ lifts even to $E(M)$, we infer by using a) that f_1 and f_2 lift as desired.

A final step towards proving the Theorem formulated in the introduction consists in the following.

LEMMA 2.2. Let M be a generalized flag manifold for which Conjecture C holds. Then for every finite set of primes P,

$$P\text{-Seq}\,(E(M_0)) = \{[1, 1, \ldots, 1]\} .$$

Proof. Let $\{[\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n]\} \in P\text{-Seq}\,(E(M_0))$, where $P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ and

$$\mu_i \in \operatorname{im}\,(E(M_{p_i}) \to E(M_0))$$

for all i. Then $\mu_i = g(\lambda_i) \circ \sigma_i$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{Q}^*$ and

$$\sigma_i \in N(H)/H \subset E(M_0) .$$

Define $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}^*$ by $\lambda = \Pi p_i^{m_i}$, where $m_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ is such that $p_i^{m_i}\,\lambda_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{p_i}^*$. Then $g(\lambda)\,\mu_i = g(\lambda\lambda_i)\,\sigma_i$ with $\lambda\lambda_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{p_i}^*$. By Lemma 2.1 a) we know that $g(\lambda\lambda_i)$ lifts to M_{p_i}, and since σ_i lifts even to M we conclude that

$$h(p_i) = g(\lambda\lambda_i)\,\sigma_i \in \operatorname{im}\,(E(M_{p_i}) \to E(M_0))$$

for all i. The equation

$$g(\lambda)\,\mu_i = h(p_i),\ i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$$

show that $\{[\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n]\} = \{[1, \ldots, 1]\} \in P\text{-Seq}\,(E(M_0))$.

The proof of the main Theorem:

Let M be a generalized flag manifold for which the Conjecture C holds. Since M is a formal space we can find for every $N \in G(M)$ a rational equivalence

$f(N): N \to M$. Let $P(M)$ denote the set of primes which appear in any of the orders of

$$\ker\left(f(N)_*: H_*(N; \mathbf{Z}) \to H_*(M; \mathbf{Z})\right)$$

or coker $f(N)_*$, N ranging over $G(M)$. The set $P(M)$ is finite, since each ker $f(N)_*$ and coker $f(N)_*$ is finite and since $G(M)$ is a finite set by [W]. Consider now the map

$$\theta: G(M) \to P\text{-Seq } E(M_0)$$

with respect to this finite set of primes $P(M) = P$. Since P is finite,

$$P\text{-Seq}\left(E(M_0)\right)$$

consists of only one element (Lemma 2.2). It remains to show that

$$\theta^{-1}\left(\theta(M)\right) = \{M\}.$$

For this we apply Lemma 1.3. Note that $N \in G(M)$ implies $N_{\bar{P}} \simeq M_{\bar{P}}$ since $f(N): N \to M$ is a $\bar{P}$-equivalence. Moreover, the condition b) of 1.3 is satisfied in view of Lemma 2.1 b). Therefore we conclude that $G(M) = \{[M]\}$ and the proof is completed.

Note added in proof. Since this paper went to press, we have been informed that Conjecture C has been proved for the case $k = 2, n_1 = n_2$, by M. Hoffman: "Cohomology endomorphisms of complex flag manifolds", Ph.D. dissertation, MIT 1981. As a consequence, it follows that all complex Grassmann manifolds are generically rigid.

REFERENCES

[B] BREWSTER, S. *Automorphisms of the cohomology ring of finite Grassmann manifolds.* Ph.D. dissertation, Ohio State University, 1978.

[EL] EWING, J. and A. LIULEVICIUS. Homotopy rigidity of linear actions on friendly homogeneous spaces. *J. of Pure and Appl. Algebra 18* (1980), 259-267.

[F] FRIEDLANDER, E. Maps between localized homogeneous spaces. *Top. 16* (1977), 205-216.

[GH1] GLOVER, H. and W. HOMER. Endomorphisms of the cohomology ring of finite Grassmann manifolds. *Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag, 657* (1978), 170-193.

[GH2] —— Self maps of flag manifolds I. *Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc. 267* (1981), 423-434.

[HMR] HILTON, P. G. MISLIN and J. ROITBERG. *Localization of nilpotent groups and spaces.* North Holland, 1975.

[L1] LIULEVICIUS, A. Homotopy rigidity of linear actions: characters tell all. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 84* (1978), 213-221.

[L2] —— Equivariant K-theory and homotopy rigidity. *Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Math., 788* (1980), 340-358.

[O] O'NEILL, L. *The fixed point property for Grassmann manifolds.* Ph.D. dissertation, Ohio State University, 1974.

[W] WILKERSON, C. Applications of minimal simplicial groups *Top. 15* (1976), 111-130.

[Z] ZABRODSKY, A. *Hopf Spaces.* North Holland, 1976.

(Reçu le 17 décembre 1980)

Henry H. Glover

Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio 43210

Guido Mislin

ETH
CH-8092 Zürich

vide-leer-empty