

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 27 (1981)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ALTERNATION AND THE ACKERMANN CASE OF THE DECISION PROBLEM
Autor: Fürer, Martin
Kapitel: 2. Some notions from logic
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51744>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 15.04.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

space upper bound for the $\exists^* \forall \exists$ subcase is obtained at the same time. It is easy to see that the class $\exists^* \forall$ is *NP*-complete.

Section six contains the main result, namely the $c^{n/\log n}$ lower bound for the $\forall \exists \exists$ case, and also a tight lower bound for the $\forall \exists$ case, as well as some *NP*-complete problems. In the last section are some conclusions.

2. SOME NOTIONS FROM LOGIC

The formulas of first order logic (see e.g. Shoenfield [36]) are built from:

- variables $y, x_1, x_2, \dots, z_1, z_2, \dots$
- function symbols $f, g, f_L, f_R, f_1, f_2, \dots$
(we use c, c_1, c_2, \dots for 0-ary function symbols, i.e. constants)
- predicate symbols P, P_1, P_2, \dots (and other capitals)
- auxiliary symbols $(,)$
- equality symbol $=$
- propositional symbols $\wedge, \vee, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$
- quantifiers \forall, \exists

We use $F[x/t]$ to denote the result of the *substitution* of the term t for the variable x in the formula F .

A formula $Q_1 x_1 Q_2 x_2 \dots Q_n x_n F_0$ with Q_i quantifiers (for $i = 1, \dots, n$) and F_0 quantifier-free is in *prenex form*. F_0 is called the *matrix* of the formula.

We are investigating decision procedures for formulas of first order logic without equality and without function symbols. But we use the functional form of formulas.

The *functional form* of a formula in prenex form is constructed by repeatedly changing

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \dots \forall x_n \exists y F \quad (F \text{ may contain quantifiers}) \text{ to}$$

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \dots \forall x_n F[y/f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)]$$

using each time a new n -ary function symbol f_i until no more existential quantifiers appear.

A formula is satisfiable, iff its functional form is satisfiable. In addition, both are satisfiable by structures of the same cardinality.

We use α, α' to denote structures. A *structure* α for a first order language L consists of:

- a nonempty set $|\alpha|$ (the universe of α),
- a function $f^\alpha : |\alpha|^n \rightarrow |\alpha|$ for each n -ary function symbol f of L , (in particular an individual (= element) c^α of $|\alpha|$ for each constant c of L),
- a predicate $P^\alpha : |\alpha|^n \rightarrow \{\text{true, false}\}$ for each n -ary predicate symbol P in L .

f^α and P^α are called interpretations of f and P .

A structure for a language L defines a truth-value for each closed formula (i.e. formula without free variables) of L in the obvious way (see e.g. [36]). A structure α is a *model* of a set of closed formulas, if all the formulas of the set get the value true (i.e. are *valid* in α). A formula F is *satisfiable*, if its negation $\neg F$ is not valid.

Let α be the following structure for a language L without equality:

The universe $|\alpha|$ (the Herbrand universe) is the set of terms built with the function symbols of L (resp. of L together with the constant c , if L contains no constants (= 0-ary function symbols)). Each function symbol f is interpreted by f^α with the property: For each term t , $f^\alpha(t)$ is the term $f(t)$. We call such an α a Herbrand structure. If a formula F (in the language L) is valid in α , then we call α a *Herbrand model* of F .

The following version of the Löwenheim Skolem theorem is very useful for our investigations.

THEOREM. *The functional form of a closed formula without equality is satisfiable iff it has a Herbrand model.* □

This theorem can be proved with the methods developed by Löwenheim [29] and completed as well as simplified by Skolem [38]. The version of Skolem [37] which uses the axiom of choice, has less connections with this theorem. Also in Ackermann [2] and Büchi [8] versions of the above theorem are present. Probably for the first time, Ackermann [1] constructs a kind of Herbrand model, the other authors use natural numbers instead.

3. SOME NOTIONS FROM COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY

We use one-tape Turing machines and multi-tape Turing machines with a two-way read-only input tape and, if necessary, a one-way write-only output tape. The other tapes are called work tapes. The Turing machine