

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 26 (1980)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** SOME REMARKS ON INVARIANT WHITNEY FIELDS  
**Autor:** Jacobsen, Leif  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51062>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 19.08.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# SOME REMARKS ON INVARIANT WHITNEY FIELDS

by Leif JACOBSEN

In this note we generalize a result of Bierstone and Milman [1] on liftings of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  Whitney fields to the case involving the orthogonal action of a compact Lie group  $G$ .

The method involves only completely standard notions and consists of modifications of the proof in [1]. We shall only indicate the necessary amendments and will refer to the ideas and notations of the paper [1], which should therefore be consulted all the way by the reader.

Our theorem is divined by noting that, given the action of  $G$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and an invariant closed subset  $X$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , one obtains a natural action on the space  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  Whitney fields on  $X$  which leads to a very easy  $G$ -invariant version of the classical Whitney extension theorem. This action then, is the one needed in the statement of the results below (The action was implicitly used in [4] for the case  $G = S(n)$ , the permutation group). A result of Schwarz-Mather type for Whitney fields (proposition 3) is presented. We close with comments on the "remarks" of [1], as well as one or two remarks of our own.

*Notation.* The notation employed here is that of [1], which is almost identical to the one found in [6] or [9]. Thus  $X \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is a closed set,  $J(X)$  is the space of jets  $F = (F^k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}^n}$  on  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  is the subspace of Whitney fields on  $X$ . For reasons which will become apparent below, we identify  $J(X)$  with the space  $\mathcal{C}^0(X)[[z]]$  of formal power series with coefficients in the ring  $\mathcal{C}^0(X)$  of continuous functions on  $X$ , and "formal" variable  $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . An  $F \in J(X)$  may also be regarded as a map  $X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}[[z]]$ . The identification is given by associating  $(F^k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}^n}$  to  $\sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}^n} \frac{F^k(x)}{k!} (z-x)^k$ ,  $x \in X$ . Note that one still has an "identity" theorem and that  $\mathcal{C}^0(X)[[z]]$  is graded in  $z$ .

In addition to the concepts introduced in [1], we consider a compact Lie group  $G$ , acting orthogonally on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  (see e.g. [2] for information on group actions).  $e$  denotes the neutral element of  $G$ .

More generally, we can let  $G$  act linearly on a locally convex topological vector space  $E$ , that is via a representation  $G \rightarrow GL(E)$ , and the action is assumed to be continuous (which implies smooth for  $E = \mathbf{R}^n$ ). Often  $g \in G$  is identified with its image in  $GL(E)$ , so that  $g$  is a linear operator  $E \rightarrow E$ .

We use the same dots for all actions, so that for instance  $G \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is written  $(g, x) \rightarrow g \cdot x$ .

If  $V$  is another locally convex vector space with a linear  $G$ -action, one gets an action on the space  $\mathcal{C}^0(E, V)$  of continuous maps  $E \rightarrow V$  by

$$(1) \quad g \cdot F = g \circ F \circ g^{-1} \quad (g \in G, F \in \mathcal{C}^0(E, V)).$$

The fixed-point set of this action is the set of  $G$ -equivariant maps, that is maps with  $F(g \cdot x) = g \cdot F(x)$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $x \in E$ . Of course (1) also yields an action on  $\mathcal{C}^0(X, V)$  for any  $G$ -invariant subset  $X$  of  $E$  ( $G$ -invariant means  $G \cdot X = X$ ). In particular, if the action on  $V$  is trivial (which is always the case for  $V = \mathbf{R}$ ), this means that  $F(g \cdot x) = F(x)$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $x \in E$ . One then uses the word  $G$ -invariant.

Given any  $F$  in  $\mathcal{C}^0(E, V)$ , where  $V$  is a Fréchet space, one has a corresponding equivariant map  $Av_G F$ , given by

$$(2) \quad (Av_G F)(x) = \int_G g^{-1} \cdot F(g \cdot x) d\mu(g), \quad x \in E.$$

Here  $\mu$  denotes Haar measure on  $G$ , and the vectorvalued integral is defined as in e.g. [8].

Examples: Assume that  $X$  is a closed,  $G$ -invariant subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Then there is an action on  $\mathcal{C}^0(X)$  given by

$$(3) \quad g \cdot f = f \circ g^{-1} \quad (f \in \mathcal{C}^0(X), g \in G).$$

Note that for  $X = \mathbf{R}^n$ , (3) induces an action on  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Also  $Av_G f$  is smooth (continuous) whenever  $f$  is (it is here given by  $(Av_G f)(x) = \int_G f(g \cdot x) d\mu(g)$ .)

Furthermore, there is an action of  $G$  on  $J(X) = \mathcal{C}^0(X)[[z]]$  by

$$(4) \quad (g \cdot F)(z) = (g \cdot F)(g^{-1} \cdot z) \quad (g \in G, F \in J(X))$$

where  $F = (F^k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}^n}$  and  $g \cdot F = (g \cdot F^k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}^n}$ . The usual composition of power series (see [4]) is used ( $g(0) = 0$ ). Let  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)^G$  and  $\mathcal{C}^0(X)[[z]]^G$  denote the fixed-point subspaces of  $G$ -invariant functions and jets and put  $\mathcal{E}(X)^G = \mathcal{C}^0(X)[[z]]^G \cap \mathcal{E}(X)$ . When  $z$  is left out, the fact that  $F$  is an invariant jet means that certain linear relations between the  $F^k \circ g$

hold, for each fixed  $|k|$ . The coefficients are powers of the entries  $g_{ij}$  of  $g \in GL(n, \mathbf{R})$ . In particular,  $F^0$  is  $G$ -invariant.

We have the map  $T_X = J : \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow J(X) = \mathcal{C}^0(X)[[z]]$ . For convenience, we put  $J_x(f) = J(f)(x)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $x \in X$ . Viewing  $F$  in  $J(X)$  as a map  $X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}[[z]]$  and using (2), (3), (4) and the fact that  $J_x(f \circ g) = J_{g(x)}(f) \circ g_x$  (here  $g_x(z) = g \cdot x + g \cdot (z - x)$ ), one computes that  $J \circ AV_G = AV_G \circ J$ . Note that in the space  $V = \mathcal{C}^0(X)[[z]]$ , the definition of  $\mu$  means that  $\int \sum_k F^k(g, x) z^k d\mu(g) = \sum_k [\int F^k(g, x) d\mu(g)] z^k$  ( $F^k \in \mathcal{C}^0(G \times X)$ ). Also note that  $J_x(f)$  is graded by the  $J_x^m(f) = \sum_{|k| \leq m} \frac{D^k f(x)}{k!} (z - x)^k$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $T_X^m(f) = (D^k f|X)_{|k| \leq m}$ . The reason for introducing the actions (3) and (4) becomes clear in the following two propositions.  $G$  is acting orthogonally on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $X \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be  $G$ -invariant. Then

$$T_X : \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X)$$

is  $G$ -equivariant. Consequently  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)^G$  is mapped into  $\mathcal{E}(X)^G$ .

*Proof.* The action (4) grades  $\mathcal{C}^0(X)[[z]]$  as well as the subring  $\mathcal{E}(X)$ , so we may prove the proposition by induction. Assume that  $J_x^m(g \cdot f) = g \cdot J_x^m(f)$  is true for  $g \in G$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Any  $k \in \mathbf{N}^n$  with  $|k| = m + 1$  is of the form  $k = k' + (i)$ ,  $|k'| = m$  (see [1] p. 135 for (i)). For all  $x \in X$ ,  $g \in G$ , one has

$$(a) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(f \circ g)(x) (z - x)_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (D_i f) \circ g(x) [g \cdot (z - x)]_i,$$

noticing that  $[g \cdot (z - x)]_i = g_{|i}^{-1} \cdot (z - x)$  ( $g$  is orthogonal).

Here  $D_i = D^{(i)}$  and  $g_{|i}$  is the  $i$ 'th column of  $g \in G$ . Now  $J_x^{m+1}(f) - J_x^m(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{|k'|=m} \frac{(D_i D^{k'} f)(x)}{(k' + (i))!} (z - x)^{k'} (z - x)_i$ , hence induction combined with (a) completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $X \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be  $G$ -invariant.  $T_X : \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)^G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X)^G$  is surjective.  $T_X^m : \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)^G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^m(X)^G$  is split-surjective for all  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Here  $\mathcal{E}^m(X)$  denotes the subspace of Whitney fields  $(F^k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}^n}$  with  $F^k = 0$  for  $|k| > m$ . See [5] p. 146 for the definition of split-surjective.



*Proof.* By the Whitney extension theorem there is a function  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  with  $J(\tilde{f}) = F$  for a given  $F \in \mathcal{E}(X)^G$ .

Put  $f = Av_G \tilde{f} = \int_G \tilde{f}(g \cdot x) d\mu(g)$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R})^G$  and  $J(f) = Av_G J(\tilde{f}) = Av_G F = F$  by the last remarks in the section on notation.

For  $m < \infty$  we may choose  $\tilde{f} = \Phi_m(F)$ ,  $\Phi_m$  being continuous and linear, and so  $Av_G \circ \Phi_m$  splits  $T_X^m$ .

This is a natural invariant version of the Whitney extension theorem. Now we are prepared to generalize the theorem of [1].

**THEOREM.** Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -invariant closed subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and  $E$  a Hausdorff topological vectorspace, topologized by a family of seminorms  $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . Assume that  $G$  acts linearly and continuously on  $E$ . Let  $H : E \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X)$  be an equivariant continuous linear map. Suppose that for each  $a \in X$ , there is a continuous linear map  $H_a : E \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that

$$(a') \quad T_X H_a(\xi) = H(\xi)(a) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in E$$

(b') For each  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $L \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  compact, there exists  $\lambda = \lambda(m, L) \in \Lambda$  and a constant  $c = c(m, L)$  such that for all  $\xi \in E$

$$(2') \quad \|H_a(\xi)\|_m^L \leq c(m, L) \|\xi\|_{\lambda(m, L)}.$$

Then there exists an equivariant continuous linear map  $\tilde{H} : E \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that  $\tilde{H}(\xi)|_X = H(\xi)$ ,  $\xi \in E$  (that is,  $T_X \tilde{H} = H$ ).

Here the assumption is that  $G$  acts on  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  by (3) and (4). a') expresses an identity in  $\mathbf{R}[[z]]$  (this is also the meaning of a) in [1]).

Now let  $F : \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^k) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X)$  be a continuous linear map and denote by  $\text{supp } F$  its support as defined in the natural way ([1] p. 132). Let  $G$  act linearly and continuously on  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^k)$ . Assume that  $F$  is  $G$ -equivariant, and note that then  $\text{supp } F$  is invariant. By the proof of the corollary 1 in [1], we have

**COROLLARY 1.** If  $F$  has compact support, then there is an equivariant continuous linear map  $\tilde{F} : \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^k) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{F} & \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow T_X \\ \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^k) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{E}(X) \end{array}$$

In particular, if  $G$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^k)$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\sim F} & \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)^G \\ & & \downarrow T_X \\ \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^k) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{E}(X) \end{array}$$

by proposition 1.

Now consider the situation described in [5]: Let  $\sigma : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$  be the (proper) Hilbert polynomial map. This is the map given by a set  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$  of (minimal) generators for the algebra of  $G$ -invariant polynomials  $\mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  (see also [7], p. 6). The Schwarz-Mather theorem states that the map  $\sigma^* : \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^k) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)^G$ ,  $H \mapsto H \circ \sigma$  is split-surjective. Correspondingly, we have for  $X \subset \mathbf{R}^n$   $G$ -invariant the

PROPOSITION 3. The mapping  $\sigma^* : \mathcal{E}(\sigma(X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X)^G$  is split-surjective.

*Proof.* The composition  $H \circ \sigma$ ,  $H \in \mathcal{E}(\sigma(X))$  is as in [4]. As in [5] lemma 3, we let  $\mathcal{H}(X)_d^G$  denote the space of homogeneous invariant fields of degree  $d$  (this makes sense, namely for each  $x \in X$ ) and  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma(X))_d$  the space of weighted homogeneous Whitney fields of degree  $d$  on  $\sigma(X)$ . Now put  $\bar{\eta}_m = T_{\sigma(X)}^m \circ \eta \circ \Phi_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , where  $\eta$  is chosen to split

$$\sigma^* : \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^k) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)^G \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_m$$

splits  $T_X^m$  according to proposition 2.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)^G & \xrightleftharpoons[\Phi_m]{T_X^m} & \mathcal{E}^m(X)^G \\ \sigma^* \uparrow \eta \downarrow & & \uparrow \sigma_m^* \\ \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^k) & \xrightarrow{T_{\sigma(X)}} & \mathcal{E}(\sigma(X)) \end{array}$$

Evidently  $\bar{\eta}_m$  splits  $\sigma_m^*$  by the commutative diagram. Then one derives that  $\sigma_d^* : \mathcal{W}(\sigma(X))_d \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(X)_d^G$  is split-surjective, whence

$$\sigma^* = \prod_d \sigma_d^* : \prod_d \mathcal{W}(\sigma(X))_d \rightarrow \prod_d \mathcal{H}(X)_d^G$$

is split-surjective (the rings are graded via  $\sigma$ ). Note that the resulting map  $\bar{\eta}$  is continuous using the topology on  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  given in [1].

It was tacitly used that  $H \circ \sigma$  is Whitney if  $H$  is (noted in [4]).

*Proof of the Theorem.* We trace the proof in [1], with the necessary modifications.

It suffices to prove the theorem for  $X = K$  compact, because we have  $G$ -invariant continuous partitions of unity on  $X$ , using  $Av_G$ .

Take the Whitney partition of unity  $\{\Phi_i \mid i \in I\}$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus K$  from [1] and put  $\tilde{\Phi}_i = Av_G \Phi_i$ ,  $i \in I$ . Then the family  $\{\tilde{\Phi}_i \mid i \in I\}$  of functions in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus K)^G$  has the properties

- i')  $\{\text{supp } \tilde{\Phi}_i \mid i \in I\}$  is a locally finite family and  $N(x) \leq N(n, G)$ , a number depending on  $G$  and  $n$ , but not on  $x$ .
- ii')  $\tilde{\Phi}_i \geq 0$  for all  $i \in I$ .  $\sum_{i \in I} \tilde{\Phi}_i(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus K$ .
- iv') There exists a constant  $\tilde{c}_k$ , depending only on  $k, n$  and  $G$ , such that for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus K$

$$|D^k \tilde{\Phi}_i(x)| < \tilde{c}_k (1 + d(x, K))^{-|k|}$$

Here ii') is clear by the properties of Haar measure.

For all  $g \in G$ , induction and the chain rule shows that

$$D^k(\Phi_i \circ g)(x) = L_g^k(\Phi_i) \circ g(x),$$

where  $L_g^k$  is a linear partial differential operator of order  $|k|$  with coefficients depending polynomially on  $g$ . If  $C_G^k$  is the supremum of all these coefficients over  $G$ , and  $N_k$  their number, then the definition  $\tilde{\Phi}_i(x) = \int_G \Phi_i \circ g(x) d\mu(g)$  and the inequality valid for  $\Phi_i$  shows that

$$|D^k \tilde{\Phi}_i(x)| \leq N_k C_G^k C_k (1 + d(x, K))^{-|k|},$$

because we have  $d(g \cdot x, K) \geq d(x, K)$  for all  $g \in G$  ( $g$  is orthogonal). This proves iv').

i') is proved by induction on  $\dim G$ . It is evident for  $\dim G = 0$  ( $G$  being then discrete, hence finite), because  $\text{supp } \tilde{\Phi}_i \subset G$ .  $\text{supp } \Phi_i$ .

Suppose the claim is true for all  $p < \dim G$ . Taking any  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , the slice theorem (see [2], p. 308) enables us to look at a  $G$ -invariant neighborhood of  $x$  as being of the form  $Gx_H V$ ,  $H = G_x$  the isotropy group at  $x$ ,  $V = V_x$  the normal space to the orbit  $G(x)$  with orthogonal  $H$ -action.

There is a trivial isomorphism  $\mathcal{C}(Gx_H V)^G \simeq \mathcal{C}(V)^H$  (see e.g. [7], p. 51), so that each restriction  $\tilde{\Phi}_i|_{Gx_H V}$  may be looked upon as an  $H$ -invariant function on  $V$ . Assuming that  $G(x)$  is not discrete, hence  $\dim H < \dim G$ , the induction can be carried out.

[The inequality iii) has no counterpart; one may show  $6 d(\text{supp } \tilde{\Phi}_i, K) + \delta \geq \text{diam}(\text{supp } \tilde{\Phi}_i)$ ,  $\delta = \text{diam } G(a_i)$  for an  $a_i \in K$  realizing the distance to  $\text{supp } \Phi_i$ ].

Now we define  $f = \tilde{H}(\xi) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  in a manner similar to the one in [1], by

$$f(x) = F^0(x), \quad x \in K$$

$$f(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \tilde{\Phi}_i(x) (Av_G H_{a_i})(\xi)(x), \quad x \notin K$$

The  $a_i$  are chosen as in [1] (note that  $d(K, \text{supp } \Phi_i) = d(K, \text{supp } \tilde{\Phi}_i)$ ).  $Av_G H_{a_i}$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}_i$  being respectively equivariant and invariant,  $\tilde{H}$  becomes equivariant according to (3).

We now show that the continuous linear maps  $Av_G H_a$  are pointwise lifts, that is, still fulfil a') and b') ( $c$  now depending on  $G$  too)

For  $a \in K$ ,  $\xi \in E$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_K(Av_G H_a)(\xi)(a) &= T_K \int_G g^{-1} \cdot H_a(g \cdot \xi) dg(a) \\ &= \int_G g^{-1} \cdot T_K(H_a(g \cdot \xi))(a) dg = \int_G g^{-1} \cdot H(g \cdot \xi)(a) dg \\ &= \int_G H(\xi)(a) dg = H(\xi)(a), \end{aligned}$$

using a') and the fact that  $H$  and  $T_K$  are equivariant (prop. 1).  $dg = d\mu(g)$  here.

Now, to tackle b'), one first observes that, as is well known, one may (without loss) assume the family  $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  defining the locally convex topology on  $E$ , to be upward filtering. (2') can still be assumed to hold, and the continuity of an operator  $p: E \rightarrow E$  means that to each  $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda}$  there is a constant  $c$  and a  $\mu \in \Lambda$  such that

$$\|p(\xi)\|_{\lambda} \leq c \|\xi\|_{\mu} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in E.$$

Take  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then for all  $\xi \in E$  one has an inequality

$$(x) \quad \|g \cdot \xi\|_{\lambda} \leq c' \|\xi\|_{\lambda'}$$

for some  $\lambda' \in \Lambda$  and a constant  $c' = c'(G, \lambda)$ , but *independent* of  $g \in G$ .

In fact, given  $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda}$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$ , there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $e$  in  $G$  and  $\lambda'' \in \Lambda$ ,  $\delta > 0$  such that  $g \in U$ ,  $\|\xi\|_{\lambda''} \leq \delta$  implies  $\|g \cdot \xi\|_{\lambda} \leq 1$ , by the continuity of the action. Let  $G$  be covered by finitely many left-translates  $g_j U$ ,  $j \in J$ . To each  $g_j$ , viewed as an element of  $GL(E)$ , there is a constant  $c_j$  and  $\lambda_j \in \Lambda$  such that  $\|g_j \cdot \xi\|_{\lambda''} \leq c_j \|\xi\|_{\lambda_j}$  for all  $\xi \in E$ . Put  $c = \sup_{j \in J} c_j$  and choose  $\lambda' \in \Lambda$  such that  $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda'} \geq \|\cdot\|_{\lambda_j}$ ,  $j \in J$ .

Now, given  $g \in G$ ,  $\xi \in E$ , we put  $k = \|\xi\|_{\lambda'}$ . It may be assumed that  $k > 0$ . Let  $h = ck/\delta$ , then  $\|h^{-1}g_j\xi\|_{\lambda''} \leq \delta$  for all  $j \in J$ . Furthermore,  $gg_j^{-1} \in U$  for some  $j \in J$ . Hence  $\|g \cdot \xi\|_{\lambda} = h \|(gg_j^{-1})(h^{-1}g_j\xi)\|_{\lambda} \leq h = (c\delta^{-1}) \|\xi\|_{\lambda'}$ .

Next, using (x), (2'), the estimates of (iv') and the Haar integral, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} |Av_G H_a(\xi)|_m^L &= \sup_{\substack{x \in L \\ |k| \leq m}} |D^k \int_G g^{-1} \cdot H_a(g \cdot \xi)(x) dg| \\ &= \sup_{\substack{x \in L \\ |k| \leq m}} \left| \int_G D^k (H_a(g \cdot \xi) \circ g)(x) dg \right| \\ &\leq \int_G \sup_{\substack{x \in L \\ |k| \leq m}} |[L_g^k(H_a(g \cdot \xi))] \circ g(x)| dg \\ &\leq \int_G N_m C_G^m |H_a(g \cdot \xi)|_{m, L}^{G \cdot L} dg \\ &\leq \int_G N_m C_G^m C(m, G \cdot L) \|g \cdot \xi\|_{\lambda(m, G \cdot L)} dg \\ &\leq C'(m, L, G) \|\xi\|_{\lambda'(m, L, G)} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C' = C'(m, L, G)$  ( $N_m = \sup_{|k| \leq m} N_k$  etc.).

This proves b') for  $Av_G H_a$ .

Now the rest of the proof can be carried out as in [1], replacing  $G_a$  with  $Av_G H_a$ : the evaluations (4)-(7) are valid without change and so only the *claim* of [1] with  $Av_G H_a$  substituted for  $G_a$  must be proved. This too goes through as in [1], using a'), b'), (4)-(7) from [1] and i'), ii'), iv').

At two points (estimation of  $|S_0(x)|$  and  $|S_1(x)|$ ) the inequality iii) is needed, and as this is a purely local matter, necessary only to obtain the inequalities  $|x - a_i| \leq 3|x - a|$ ,  $|a - a_i| \leq 4|x - a|$  ( $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus K$ ,  $a \in K$ ) the estimate iii), valid for the original  $\Phi_i$ , can be used again, because we could choose  $a_i$  in  $\text{supp } \Phi_i$ .

*Remark.* If  $E$  is a Fréchet space it is only necessary to assume that the action  $G \times E \rightarrow E$  is separately continuous. Indeed, the boundedness of orbits  $G \cdot \xi$  implies via the Banach-Steinhaus theorem that  $\{\xi \mapsto g \cdot \xi\}_{g \in G}$  is an equicontinuous set of operators, hence for a  $\delta > 0$  chosen as above (no  $U$  needed) one gets  $\|g \cdot \xi\|_{\lambda} \leq \delta^{-1} \|\xi\|_{\lambda}$ , ( $g \in G$ ,  $\xi \in E$ ) instead of (x).

In the remark 5 of [1] the possibility of obtaining the pointwise lifts  $H_a$  via finite map-germs  $\varphi: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$  is discussed. We point out that there are  $G$ -equivariant extensions of these theories as developed in [7]; in particular the equivariant version of the preparation theorem is true [7], p. 64-72. Thus if the  $X$ ,  $X'$  and  $\varphi$  mentioned in that remark are invariant under the

orthogonal action of  $G$ , the  $W'$  and  $W$  may already be chosen equivariant.

Similarly, it appears that there is a  $G$ -invariant version of the Stein extension theorem mentioned in remark 4 of [1]. This results from an invariant version of corollary 2 of [1], combined with the invariant Seeley extension theorem [7], p. 108. The  $X$  in the remark 4 is invariant if  $\varphi$  is ( $G$  acts on  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  by  $g \cdot (x, y) = (g \cdot x, y)$  for  $g \in G$ ).

An alternative approach would be via proposition 2 and the techniques of [4], which are somewhat similar.

We also wish to point out that there is a  $G$ -invariant version of the Whitney spectral theorem (see [6], ch. V or [9], ch. V):

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be open and invariant under an orthogonal action of  $G$ ,  $G$  compact Lie. Let  $I \subset \mathcal{E}(\Omega)^G$  (using the action (3)) be an ideal. Then  $f \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)^G$  belongs to  $\bar{I}$  if and only if for each  $a \in \Omega$  there is a  $g_a = g \in I$  such that  $J_a(g) = J_a(f)$ .

This goes via a fundamental lemma [6], p. 91, for the case  $\Omega = a$  cube  $L$ . With the notations of that lemma, if  $L$  is replaced by  $G \cdot L$ ,  $K$  by  $G \cdot K$  and  $T_b^m$  by  $Av_G T_b^m$ , then  $F \in \hat{I}$  may be assumed invariant on  $G \cdot L$ , whence  $\|\tilde{\Phi} F - f\|_{G \cdot L}^m < \varepsilon$ , ( $\tilde{\Phi} = Av_G \Phi$ ) can be achieved. Then one proceeds. In the more general situation considered in [9], one needs [7], lemma 1.4.1 (p. 106).

The action (4) is adapted to the operators  $D^k$ . One might consider the simpler action on  $J(X)$  ( $X \subset \mathbf{R}^n$   $G$ -invariant), given by  $g \cdot F = (F^k \circ g^{-1})_{k \in \mathbf{N}^n}$ , for  $F = (F^k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}^n}$ ,  $g \in G$ . The corresponding problem of finding  $f$  with  $J(f) = F$ , given  $F \in \mathcal{E}(X)^G$ , is now wholly different as simple examples show (e.g.  $G = \mathbf{Z}_2$  acting by reflexion in  $0 \in \mathbf{R}$ ). If  $f$  exists at all, it must have strong singularities on  $K$ . As may be gleaned from [3], there are topological restrictions on  $K$ , depending on  $G$ . It would perhaps be feasible to obtain some answers if new operators are used instead of the  $D^k$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] BIERSTONE, E. and P. MILMAN. Extension and lifting of  $C^\infty$  Whitney fields. *L'Enseignement Math.* 23 (1977), 129-137.
- [2] BREDON, G. E. *Introduction to compact transformation groups*. Academic Press, 1972.
- [3] GORIN, E. A. and V. Ja. LIN. Algebraic equations with continuous coefficients and some problems of the algebraic theory of braids. *Math. USSR-Sbornik* 7 (1969), 569-596.

- [4] LOJASIEWICZ, S. Whitney fields and the Malgrange-Mather preparation theorem, *Proceedings of Liverpool singularities I (1971)*. Springer lecture notes 192.
- [5] MATHER, J. Differentiable invariants. *Topology* 16 (1977), 145-155.
- [6] POENARU, V. *Analyse différentielle*. Springer lecture notes 371.
- [7] ——— *Singularités  $C^\infty$  en présence de symétrie*. Springer lecture notes 510.
- [8] RUDIN, W. *Functional Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, 1973.
- [9] TOUGERON, J.-Cl. *Idéaux de Fonctions Différentiables*. Springer, 1972.

( Reçu le 9 août 1979 )

Leif Jacobsen

Matematisk Institut  
Universitetsparken 5  
2100 København  
Danmark