

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 26 (1980)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** LINEAR DISJOINTNESS AND ALGEBRAIC COMPLEXITY  
**Autor:** Baur, Walter / Rabin, Michael O.  
**Kapitel:** 1. Introduction  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51078>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 19.08.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# LINEAR DISJOINTNESS AND ALGEBRAIC COMPLEXITY

by Walter BAUR and Michael O. RABIN

*Dedicated to Ernst Specker on the occasion of his 60th birthday.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that any algorithm for the evaluation of a polynomial

$$(1) \quad f(y) = x_0 + x_1y + \dots + x_ny^n,$$

or of an inner product of two vectors

$$(2) \quad (x, y) = x_1y_1 + \dots + x_ny_n,$$

requires, under certain natural assumptions such as that  $y, x_1, \dots, x_n$  are algebraically independent over some ground-field  $F$ , at least  $n$  multiplications. This number of multiplications can of course be achieved by an appropriate algorithm.

Motzkin [3] has introduced the idea of preprocessing the coefficients of a polynomial. In certain situations, for example when we have to evaluate  $f$  for many values  $y = c_1, y = c_2, \dots$  of the argument, though these values are not given in advance, it makes sense to compute once and for all certain functions  $\alpha_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \alpha_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of the coefficients and use these  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  later on in an algorithm for the calculation of the  $f(c_i)$ , i.e.  $f(y)$ . The  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and the algorithm should be so chosen that the evaluation of  $f(y)$  now requires fewer than  $n$  multiplications. The cost of this "preprocessing" of the coefficients  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is then absorbed in the saving in the computations  $f(c_1), f(c_2), \dots$ .

Motzkin has shown that preprocessing of the coefficients can lead to evaluation of  $f(y)$  in  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$  multiplications and  $n + 2$  additions. From now on we shall concentrate our attention on the number of multiplications or divisions used in an algorithm. The notation  $n M/D$  means  $n$  multiplications or divisions. We must take into account divisions as well as multiplications because a product  $xy$  can be computed by doing two divisions.

Winograd [6] has noted that if in (2) we allow preprocessing on both the  $x$  and  $y$  then  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil M$  are sufficient. Namely, assume  $n$  even and define

$$w(x) = x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + \dots + x_{n-1}x_n.$$

If  $w(x)$  and  $w(y)$  have been precomputed then

$$(x, y) = (x_1 + y_2)(x_2 + y_1) + \dots + (x_{n-1} + y_n)(x_n + y_{n-1}) - w(x) - w(y)$$

computes (2) with  $\frac{n}{2}M$ . There are situations, involving many vectors  $x, y, z, \dots$ , and many scalar products, say,  $(x, y), (y, z), (x, z), \dots$ , where this idea makes computational sense.

Can the upper bound  $\frac{n}{2}$  in the algorithms for  $f(y)$  and  $(x, y)$  with preprocessing be improved. Can we get lower bound results for these and more general computational problems. We have, of course, to be careful about the preprocessing that we permit. For example, if we permit to form products  $x_i y_i$  then no multiplications will later be needed in computing  $(x, y)$ . Thus preprocessing for (2) should not involve multiplications "mixing" the  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with the  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ , or with  $y$  in the case of  $f(y)$ . It will be seen later that the crux of this paper is a precise determination of the sort of "mixing" that should be avoided so as to yield a good lower-bound result.

In [3] (see also [4]) it is shown that if  $F \subseteq K \subseteq K(y)$  and if  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in K$  are algebraically independent over  $F$ , then any computation of  $f(y)$  which allows the use of any  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in K$  must involve  $\frac{n}{2}M/D$ , even if a multiplication step  $a \cdot b$  is not counted if  $a \in F$  or  $b \in F$ , and a step  $a/b$  is not counted when  $b \in F$ . Similar results hold for polynomials in several variables  $y_1, y_2, \dots$ .

Winograd [6] has introduced another lower bound theorem for the case of computations with preprocessing. His theorem involves restrictions on the fields in question, and the conditions (involving topology) for the theorem to hold are difficult to interpret or check in specific cases. The proof in [6] employs topological methods.

In the present paper we observe that the concept of linear disjointness of two fields over a common subfield provides a proper framework for a very general result, Theorem 1, on lower bounds for the number of  $M/D$  operations in computations with preprocessing. The result and its simple

proof are expressed in purely algebraic terms. In section 4 we apply Theorem 1 to obtain the known results on lower bounds, as well as new results which do not fall within the scope of previous methods.

## 2. BASIC CONCEPTS AND THE MAIN THEOREM

Let  $\Omega$  be a field and  $S$  a subset of its elements. Following [5, 6], a (straight-line) algorithm or computation in  $(\Omega, S)$  is a sequence  $\pi: \pi(1), \dots, \pi(l)$  where for each  $1 \leq k \leq l$  we have  $\pi(k) \in S$ , or for some  $i, j < k$ ,  $\pi(k) = (+, i, j)$  or  $(-, i, j)$  or  $(\cdot, i, j)$  or  $(/, i, j)$ .

With  $\pi$  we associate the sequence  $r(1), \dots, r(l)$  of the results of the computation  $\pi$ . The  $r(k)$  are all elements of  $\Omega \cup \{u\}$ . Define  $r(1) = \pi(1) \in S$ . Inductively, if  $r(1), \dots, r(k-1)$  are already defined we set  $r(k) = \pi(k)$  if  $\pi(k) \in S$ ,  $r(k) = r(i) + r(j)$  if  $\pi(k) = (+, i, j)$ , etc. By convention,  $r/0 = u + r = u \cdot r = \dots = u$  for  $r \in \Omega \cup \{u\}$ .

We say that  $\pi$  computes the elements  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \Omega$  if there exist  $1 \leq i_j \leq l$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , so that for the results of  $\pi$  we have  $r(i_j) = a_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ .

In the sequel we shall be interested in fields  $F \subseteq \Omega$  and two intermediate fields  $E, K$ . Thus

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{cc} & \Omega \\ & \cup \quad \cap \\ E & K \\ & \cap \quad \cup \\ & F \end{array}$$

The following concept comes from the theory of fields and from algebraic geometry, see [1, 2].

*Definition.* The fields  $E$  and  $K$  are linearly disjoint over  $F$  if any  $e_1, \dots, e_m \in E$  which are linearly independent over  $F$  are also linearly independent over  $K$ , i.e.  $\sum a_i e_i = 0$ ,  $a_i \in K$ , only if  $a_i = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

As the definition stands, the fields  $E$  and  $K$  play different roles. It is however easy to see that the above definition implies the analogous statement with the roles of  $E$  and  $K$  interchanged. (See e.g. [1].)

Our theorem will be about computations  $\pi$  in  $(\Omega, E \cup K)$ . The fact that we permit using any  $\alpha \in E \cup K$  at no computational cost captures, in an algebraic form, the idea of preprocessing.