

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 26 (1980)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: LEVINE'S FORMULA IN KNOT THEORY AND QUADRATIC
RECIPROCITY LAW
Autor: Libgober, A.
Kapitel: §4. Proof of the lemmas
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51077>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 17.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Otherwise

$$(15) \quad \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\chi}(2q) = 1.$$

Remark. The condition on $\det \tilde{q}$ implies non-degeneracy of q at $\mathfrak{p}$.

§ 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Note that the rank of q is even because determinant of the associated bilinear form is odd. Therefore

$$(16) \quad \gamma_v^{\chi}(aq) = \left(\frac{a}{(\det \tilde{q})} \right) \gamma_v^{\chi}(q)$$

for any character χ .

Now let us apply the Weil reciprocity law for the character χ with support in dyadic components equal to the integers in the corresponding ring, and to the forms q and $2q$.

We have

$$\prod_v \gamma_v^{\chi}(2q) = 1$$

$$\prod_v \gamma_v^{\chi}(q) = 1.$$

For an archimedean components we have $\gamma_v^{\chi}(2q) = \gamma_v^{\chi}(q)$ because both depend only on the signatures. Therefore dividing those two identities, and using lemma 2 and (16) we obtain the identity (4).

Remark. Levine's lemma which in a specialization of the theorem for $R = \mathbf{Z}$ in fact follows from Milgram's formula (12). We should not worry about ramification. Therefore lemma 1 can be used for the character χ_0 and is actually a classical property of Gauss sums ([2]). Lemma 2 in this case essentially contains in [1].

§ 4. PROOF OF THE LEMMAS

Proof of lemma 1. The Witt group of quadratic forms over a field of zero characteristic is generated by one-dimensional forms ([4]). Because γ^{χ} is a character of the Witt group it is enough to check the lemma for forms of one variable. Let π be a local parameter. Suppose that $q(x) = \alpha \pi^b x^2$,

and $\chi(x) = \exp(2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(\beta \pi^c x))$ where α and β are units. Suppose that the different $\mathfrak{d}$ of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbf{Q}_p$ is $\mathfrak{d} = (\pi^d)$. Let n be an integer such that $2n + b + c + d \geq 0$. Then we can take as L the lattice (π^n) . The dual lattice $L^\#$ is $(\pi^{-b-c-n+d})$. Therefore

$$\gamma^x(q) = \frac{\sum_{x \in (\pi^{-b-c-n+d})/(\pi^n)} \exp 2\pi i (\operatorname{Tr} \alpha \beta \pi^{c+b} x^2)}{\left| \sum_{x \in (\pi^{-b-c-n+d})/(\pi^n)} \exp 2\pi i (\operatorname{Tr} \alpha \beta \pi^{b+c} x^2) \right|}$$

After a change of variables $\pi^{-b-c-n+d} y = x$, we obtain

$$(17) \quad \gamma^x(q) = \left(\sum_{y \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\pi^{2n+b+c+d})} \exp 2\pi i \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{\alpha \beta y^2}{\pi^{2n+b+c+d} \pi^d} \right) \right) / \left| \sum_{y \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\pi^{2n+b+c+d})} \exp 2\pi i \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{\alpha \beta y^2}{\pi^{2n+b+c+d} \pi^d} \right) \right|$$

The numerator of $\gamma^x(q)$ is a Gauss sum of the type considered by Hecke [2]. The same arguments show that

$$(18) \quad \gamma^x(aq) = \left(\frac{a}{\pi^{2n+b+c+d}} \right) \gamma^x(q)$$

Now the support of χ is (π^{c+d}) , $(\det \tilde{q}) R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\pi^b)$, and $\left(\frac{a}{\pi^{2n}} \right) = 1$, therefore the lemma follows.

Now let F_{2^f} denote a field of 2^f elements. Let $\tilde{\chi}$ denote a non-trivial character of the additive group of F_{2^f} . There exist a canonical choice of $\tilde{\chi}$, namely

$$(19) \quad \tilde{\chi}_0(x) = (-1)^{\operatorname{Tr}_{F_{2^f}/F_2}(x)}$$

Note that kernel of $\tilde{\chi}_0$ is an additive subgroup of elements of the form $x + x^2$.

LEMMA 3. Let $\bar{q}$ be a non singular quadratic form defined on a vector space V over F_{2^f} . Then

$$(20) \quad \gamma^{\tilde{\chi}}(\bar{q}) = \sum_{x \in V} \tilde{\chi}(\bar{q}(x)) / \left| \sum_{x \in V} \tilde{\chi}(q(x)) \right|$$

is equal to the Arf invariant of $\bar{q}$.

Proof. Both Gauss sum (20) and the Arf invariant are characters of the Witt group of quadratic forms. Therefore it is enough to check the statement for binary quadratic forms. But the number of elements in $F_{2^f} \oplus F_{2^f}$ on which $\tilde{\chi}(\bar{q}(x))$ takes the value 1, is $2^{2^f-1} + (\text{Arf } \bar{q}) 2^{f-1}$ and the number of elements where $\tilde{\chi}(\bar{q}(x))$ takes the value -1 is $2^{2^f-1} - (\text{Arf } \bar{q}) 2^{f-1}$. Indeed it is easy to check for form of Arf invariant 1 (which is $q(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha\beta$). On the other hand form of Arf invariant -1 can be written as $q(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha^2 + \alpha\beta + s\beta^2$, where $s \neq \gamma + \gamma^2$ for any γ . ([4]). But if m is chosen in such a way that $\tilde{\chi}(x) = \tilde{\chi}_0(mx)$ ($\tilde{\chi}_0$ is defined above), we have

$$\tilde{\chi}(\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta + s\beta^2) = -\tilde{\chi}_0((s + m^2\alpha^2)(1 + m^2\beta^2))$$

i.e. number of the elements for which $\tilde{\chi}(\bar{q}(x)) = -1$ in a quadratic space with Arf invariant -1 , equals the number of elements for which $\tilde{\chi}_0(q(x)) = 1$ in the space with Arf invariant 1. Therefore the lemma 3 follows.

Remark. A connection between the Arf invariant and Gauss sums was first observed in [1].

COROLLARY. Let F_p be a dyadic local field. Let χ denote a character of the additive group of F_p with support R_p . Let q be an integer quadratic form on the R_p -module V such that the determinant of the associated bilinear form is a unit. Then

$$(21) \quad \sum_{x \in V/\pi V} \chi\left(q\left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right)\right) / \left| \sum \chi\left(q\left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right)\right) \right|$$

is equal to the Arf invariant of $q \bmod p$.

Proof. The map $x \rightarrow \chi\left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right)$ defines a non-trivial character of $R_p/\pi R_p$. Therefore the expressions (20) and (21) coincide.

LEMMA 4. Let χ denotes a character of the dyadic field F_p with support R_p .

Let q denote an integer quadratic form over the R_p module V such that determinant of the associated bilinear form is a unit. Then

$$(22) \quad \sum_{x \in V/\pi^n V} \chi\left(\frac{q(x)}{\pi^n}\right) = N^{\dim V} \sum_{x \in V/\pi^{n-2} V} \left(\frac{q(x)}{\pi^{n-2}}\right)$$

where N is the norm of the prime ideal of R_p .

Proof. We follow the classical scheme in [2]. Let $x = x_1 + \pi^{n-1} x_2$, where $x_2 \in V/\pi V$ and $x_1 \in V/\pi^{n-1} V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in V/\pi^n V} \chi \left(\frac{q(x)}{\pi^n} \right) &= \sum_{\substack{x_1 \in V/\pi^{n-1} V \\ x_2 \in V/\pi V}} \chi \left(\frac{q(x_1) + \pi^{n-1} \tilde{q}(x_1, x_2) + \pi^{2n-2} q(x_2)}{\pi^n} \right) \\ &= N \sum_{\substack{x_1 \equiv 0(\pi) \\ x_1 \in V/\pi^{n-1} V}} \chi \left(\frac{q(x_1)}{\pi^n} \right) + \sum_{x_1} \chi \left(\frac{q(x_1)}{\pi} \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{x_1 \not\equiv 0(\pi) \\ x_1 \in V/\pi^{n-1} V \\ x_2 \in V/\pi V}} \chi \left(\frac{\tilde{q}(x_1, x_2)}{\pi} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

The sum in brackets is the sum of the values of the non-trivial (because $\det \tilde{q}$ is unit and $\text{supp } \chi = R_p$) character, hence is equal zero. Therefore we obtain the result of the lemma because

$$\sum_{\substack{x_1 \equiv 0(\pi) \\ x_1 \in V/\pi^{n-1} V}} \chi \left(\frac{q(x)}{\pi^n} \right) = \sum_{x \in V/\pi^{n-1} V} \chi \left(\frac{q(x)}{\pi^{n-2}} \right)$$

Now we are ready to conclude the

Proof of lemma 2. Let e denote the ramification index of F_p over $\mathbf{Q}_2$. Thus for a character with the support R_p the dual of integer lattice V with respect to form $2q$ in the lattice $\frac{1}{\pi^e} V$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (23) \quad \gamma^x(2q) &= \sum_{x \in \frac{1}{\pi^e} V/V} \chi(2q(x)) / \left| \sum_{x \in \frac{1}{\pi^e} V/V} \chi(2q(x)) \right| \\ &= \sum_{x \in V/\pi^e V} \chi \left(\frac{q(x)}{\pi^e} \right) / \left| \sum_{x \in V/\pi^e V} \chi \left(\frac{q(x)}{\pi^e} \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

If e is odd then by lemma 4, (23) is equal to

$$\sum_{x \in V/\pi V} \chi \left(\frac{q(x)}{\pi} \right) / \left| \sum_{x \in V/\pi V} \chi \left(\frac{q(x)}{\pi} \right) \right|$$

which is, by the corollary to lemma 3, the Arf invariant of $q \bmod p$. If e is even then it follows from (22) that (23) is equal 1. This concludes the proof of lemma 2.