

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 26 (1980)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** LEVINE'S FORMULA IN KNOT THEORY AND QUADRATIC RECIPROCITY LAW  
**Autor:** Libgober, A.  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51077>

#### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

#### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

#### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 20.02.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# LEVINE'S FORMULA IN KNOT THEORY AND QUADRATIC RECIPROCITY LAW

by A. LIBGOBER

## § 1. INTRODUCTION

A  $k$ -knot is a  $k$ -dimensional submanifold of  $S^{k+2}$  which is homeomorphic to a sphere. Any knot  $K$  is bounded by a submanifold  $F^{k+1} \subset S^{k+2}$  which is called the Seifert surface of  $K$ . One associates with  $K$  the Alexander polynomial  $\Delta(t)$ . Moreover if  $k = 4n + 1$  then one may associate with  $F^{4n+2}$  the non-degenerate quadratic  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -form  $\varphi$  on  $H_{2n+1}(F^{4n+2}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Levine's formula asserts that the Arf invariant of this quadratic form is trivial if  $\Delta(-1) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  and is non trivial if  $\Delta(-1) \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ .

Levine's proof consists of two parts. The first one is topological and states that both the quadratic function  $\varphi$  on  $H_{2n+1}(F^{4n+2}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  which is used for the computation of the Arf invariant, and the Alexander polynomial can be expressed in terms of the Seifert pairing  $L$  of  $F^{4n+2}$ , which is the bilinear form on  $H_{2n+1}(F^{4n+2}, \mathbb{Z})$ . Namely

$$(1) \quad \varphi(x \bmod 2) \equiv L(x, x) \bmod 2$$

and

$$(2) \quad \Delta(t) = \det(L - tL^t)$$

i.e.

$$(2a) \quad \Delta(-1) = \det(L + L^t).$$

( $L^t(x, y)$  is by definition  $L(y, x)$ ).

The second part of Levine's proof is the remarkable observation that the Arf invariant of a quadratic function defined by (1) can be found in terms of the associated bilinear form  $L + L^t$ . He proved the following (cf. [6])

*Levine's lemma.* Let  $L(x, y)$  denote a bilinear form on a free abelian group such that  $d = \det(L + L^t)$  is odd. Let  $\varphi$  denote the quadratic function on  $V \otimes Z_2$  defined by (1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arf } \varphi &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & \text{if } d \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

(We suppose that the range of Arf invariant is  $\pm 1$ ).

The purpose of this paper is to show that Levine's lemma is closely related to the Weil-Milgram reciprocity law ([4], [5]). In fact our main result is a generalization of Levine's lemma to arbitrary algebraic number fields.

Let  $F$  denote an algebraic number field and  $L$  be a bilinear form on a projective module  $P$  over the ring  $R$  of integers in  $F$ . Suppose that the determinant of the symmetrized form  $d = \det(L + L^t)$  is relatively prime to 2.

For any dyadic (i.e. dividing 2) prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ , let  $\text{Arf } L_{\mathfrak{p}}$  denote the Arf invariant of the quadratic form  $x \rightarrow L(x, x) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  over  $P \otimes R/\mathfrak{p}$ . For  $a \in R$  and a nondyadic prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  we denote the quadratic residue symbol by

$$\left( \frac{a}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \text{ is square in } R/\mathfrak{p} \\ 0 & \text{if } a \in \mathfrak{p} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the same way we denote the multiplicative extension of  $\left( \frac{a}{\mathfrak{p}} \right)$  on the group of all non-dyadic ideals of  $R$ .

**THEOREM.** *With the above notations,*

$$(4) \quad \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{Arf } L_{\mathfrak{p}} = \left( \frac{2}{dR} \right)$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  runs through all tamely ramified dyadic prime ideals of  $R$  and  $dR$  is the principal ideal generated by  $d$ .

In § 2 we give the necessary definitions and formulate two lemmas about Gauss sums for bilinear forms.

In § 3 we prove the theorem, using the results of § 2. The proofs of the lemmas in § 2 is given in § 4. Finally I would like to thank A. Adler, W. Pardon, and C. Weibel for useful discussions.

§ 2. WEIL-MILGRAM QUADRATIC RECIPROCITY LAW

Let  $A_F$  denote the adele group of the field  $F$  i.e. the group of infinite vectors  $(\dots x_v \dots)$  where  $v$  runs through all valuations of  $F$ ,  $x_v$  is an element of completion  $F_v$ , and all  $x_v$  except for finite number of  $v$ 's are integers.  $F$  is diagonally embedded in  $A_F$ . Let  $\chi$  denote a character of  $A_F$  trivial on  $F$ . Let  $\chi_0$  be the following special character of such type. On the archimedean component  $v$  of the adele  $\{x_v\} \in A_F$ ,  $\chi_0$  takes the value  $\exp(-2\pi i \text{Tr} x_v)$ , and on a non-archimedean component  $\exp(2\pi i \text{Tr} x_v)$ . Here  $\text{Tr}$  denotes the absolute trace from the  $v$ -component  $F_v$  of  $A_F$  to  $\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{v}}$  where  $\bar{v}$  is a valuation of  $\mathbf{Q}$  over which lies  $v$ . Recall that exponent of  $p$ -adic number  $a$  is defined as exponent of a component  $a_1$  in presentation  $a = a_1 + a_2$  where  $a_1 \in \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $a_1 = p^{-n} a'_1$ ,  $a'_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and  $a_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ . Any character  $\chi$  of the type above has the form  $x \rightarrow \chi_0(ax)$  for some rational  $a$ .

Let  $q$  be a quadratic form defined on the vector space  $V$  over  $F_v$  where  $v$  is one of the non-archimedean valuations of  $F$ . Suppose that  $L$  is a lattice in  $V$  such that  $\chi(q(x)) = 1$  for any  $x \in V$ . The dual lattice  $L^\#$  is defined as follows

$$(6) \quad L^\# = \{ h \in V \mid \chi(\tilde{q}(x, h)) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \forall x \in V \}$$

where

$$(7) \quad \tilde{q}(x, y) = q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)$$

is the bilinear form associated to  $q$ . Then the correspondence  $q \mapsto \gamma_v^\chi(q)$  where

$$(8) \quad \gamma_v^\chi(q) = \sum_{h \in L^\# / L} \chi(q(h)) / \left| \sum_{h \in L^\# / L} \chi(q(h)) \right|$$

defines a character of the Witt group  $W(F_v)$  ([5]). (Over a field of zero characteristic we can identify the Witt group of quadratic forms with the Witt group of bilinear forms by the correspondence (7)). For an archimedean valuation  $v$ , the character  $\gamma_v^\chi$  is defined as follows.

$$(9) \quad \gamma_v^\chi(q) = \exp \frac{-\pi i \sigma(q)}{4}$$

if  $F_v$  is  $\mathbf{R}$ , and

$$(10) \quad \gamma_v^\chi(q) = 1$$

if  $F_v$  is **C**. ( $\sigma(q)$  denotes the signature of the quadratic form  $q$ ). Now suppose that  $q$  is a quadratic form over the field  $F$ . Then  $q$  defines quadratic forms  $q_v$  over all  $F_v$  and the Weil quadratic reciprocity law asserts that

$$(11) \quad \prod_v \gamma_v^\chi(q_v) = 1$$

where  $v$  runs through all valuations of  $F$ .

If  $S$  is a symmetric bilinear form over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , on the lattice  $L$  such that  $q(x) = S(x, x)$  is an even quadratic form, then applying (11) to  $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2}q(x)$  and the character  $\chi_0$  of the ring  $A_{\mathbf{Q}}$  defined above, one concludes that

$$(12) \quad e^{\frac{\pi i \sigma(q)}{4}} = \sum_{\substack{x \in L \\ p \nmid x}} e^{2\pi i \varphi(x)} / \left| \sum_{\substack{x \in L \\ p \nmid x}} e^{2\pi i \varphi(x)} \right|$$

where  $L_p$  is the lattice of integer vectors in the  $p$ -adic completion of  $L$ . This is the essential part of Milgram's formula ([4]).

Now let us consider properties of the character  $\gamma^\chi$  in more detail.

Let  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be one of the completions of  $F$  where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a non-dyadic prime ideal.

LEMMA 1. Let  $q$  be a quadratic form over  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $a$  be a unit in  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Denote by  $(aq)$  the quadratic form defined by  $(aq)(x) = a \cdot q(x)$ . Then

$$(13) \quad \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^\chi(aq) = \left( \frac{a}{(\det \tilde{q}) \cdot \mathfrak{s}^{rkq}} \right) \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^\chi(q)$$

where  $(-)$  is the quadratic residue symbol,  $\mathfrak{s}$  is the support of the character  $\chi$ , and  $rkq$  is rank of the form  $q$ .

Remark.  $\det \tilde{q}$  is defined up to a square in  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and therefore the quadratic residue symbol in (13) is well defined.

Now we consider dyadic valuations.

LEMMA 2. Let  $q$  denote a quadratic form over a ring of integers  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of the dyadic field  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  such that the determinant of the associated form  $\tilde{q}$  (see (7)) is a unit in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  with support  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is tamely ramified over  $\mathbf{Q}$  then

$$(14) \quad \text{Arf}(q \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^\chi(2q).$$

Otherwise

$$(15) \quad \gamma_p^\chi(2q) = 1.$$

*Remark.* The condition on  $\det \tilde{q}$  implies non-degeneracy of  $q$  at  $p$ .

### § 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Note that the rank of  $q$  is even because determinant of the associated bilinear form is odd. Therefore

$$(16) \quad \gamma_v^\chi(aq) = \left( \frac{a}{(\det \tilde{q})} \right) \gamma_v^\chi(q)$$

for any character  $\chi$ .

Now let us apply the Weil reciprocity law for the character  $\chi$  with support in dyadic components equal to the integers in the corresponding ring, and to the forms  $q$  and  $2q$ .

We have

$$\prod_v \gamma_v^\chi(2q) = 1$$

$$\prod_v \gamma_v^\chi(q) = 1.$$

For an archimedean components we have  $\gamma_v^\chi(2q) = \gamma_v^\chi(q)$  because both depend only on the signatures. Therefore dividing those two identities, and using lemma 2 and (16) we obtain the identity (4).

*Remark.* Levine's lemma which in a specialization of the theorem for  $R = \mathbf{Z}$  in fact follows from Milgram's formula (12). We should not worry about ramification. Therefore lemma 1 can be used for the character  $\chi_0$  and is actually a classical property of Gauss sums ([2]). Lemma 2 in this case essentially contains in [1].

### § 4. PROOF OF THE LEMMAS

*Proof of lemma 1.* The Witt group of quadratic forms over a field of zero characteristic is generated by one-dimensional forms ([4]). Because  $\gamma^\chi$  is a character of the Witt group it is enough to check the lemma for forms of one variable. Let  $\pi$  be a local parameter. Suppose that  $q(x) = \alpha \pi^b x^2$ ,

and  $\chi(x) = \exp(2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(\beta\pi^c x))$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are units. Suppose that the different  $\mathfrak{d}$  of  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbf{Q}_p$  is  $\mathfrak{d} = (\pi^d)$ . Let  $n$  be an integer such that  $2n + b + c + d \geq 0$ . Then we can take as  $L$  the lattice  $(\pi^n)$ . The dual lattice  $L^\#$  is  $(\pi^{-b-c-n+d})$ . Therefore

$$\gamma^x(q) = \left| \sum_{x \in (\pi^{-b-c-n+d})/(\pi^n)} \exp 2\pi i (\operatorname{Tr} \alpha\beta\pi^{c+b}x^2) \right|$$

$$/ \left| \sum_{x \in (\pi^{-b-c-n+d})/(\pi^n)} \exp 2\pi i (\operatorname{Tr} \alpha\beta\pi^{b+c}x^2) \right|$$

After a change of variables  $\pi^{-b-c-n+d}y = x$ , we obtain

$$(17) \quad \gamma^x(q) = \left( \sum_{y \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\pi^{2n+b+c+d})} \exp 2\pi i \operatorname{Tr} \left( \frac{\alpha\beta y^2}{\pi^{2n+b+c+d}\pi^d} \right) \right)$$

$$/ \left| \sum_{y \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\pi^{2n+b+c+d})} \exp 2\pi i \operatorname{Tr} \left( \frac{\alpha\beta y^2}{\pi^{2n+b+c+d}\pi^d} \right) \right|$$

The numerator of  $\gamma^x(q)$  is a Gauss sum of the type considered by Hecke [2]. The same arguments show that

$$(18) \quad \gamma^x(aq) = \left( \frac{a}{\pi^{2n+b+c+d}} \right) \gamma^x(q)$$

Now the support of  $\chi$  is  $(\pi^{c+d})$ ,  $(\det \tilde{q}) R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\pi^b)$ , and  $\left( \frac{a}{\pi^{2n}} \right) = 1$ , therefore the lemma follows.

Now let  $F_{2^f}$  denote a field of  $2^f$  elements. Let  $\tilde{\chi}$  denote a non-trivial character of the additive group of  $F_{2^f}$ . There exist a canonical choice of  $\tilde{\chi}$ , namely

$$(19) \quad \tilde{\chi}_0(x) = (-1)^{\operatorname{Tr}_{F_{2^f} \mid F_2}(x)}$$

Note that kernel of  $\tilde{\chi}_0$  is an additive subgroup of elements of the form  $x + x^2$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $\bar{q}$  be a non singular quadratic form defined on a vector space  $V$  over  $F_{2^f}$ . Then

$$(20) \quad \tilde{\chi}(\bar{q}) = \sum_{x \in V} \tilde{\chi}(\bar{q}(x)) / \left| \sum_{x \in V} \tilde{\chi}(q(x)) \right|$$

is equal to the Arf invariant of  $\bar{q}$ .

*Proof.* Both Gauss sum (20) and the Arf invariant are characters of the Witt group of quadratic forms. Therefore it is enough to check the statement for binary quadratic forms. But the number of elements in  $F_{2f} \oplus F_{2f}$  on which  $\tilde{\chi}(\bar{q}(x))$  takes the value 1, is  $2^{2f-1} + (\text{Arf } \bar{q}) 2^{f-1}$  and the number of elements where  $\tilde{\chi}(\bar{q}(x))$  takes the value  $-1$  is  $2^{2f-1} - (\text{Arf } \bar{q}) 2^{f-1}$ . Indeed it is easy to check for form of Arf invariant 1 (which is  $q(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha\beta$ ). On the other hand form of Arf invariant  $-1$  can be written as  $q(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha^2 + \alpha\beta + s\beta^2$ , where  $s \neq \gamma + \gamma^2$  for any  $\gamma$ . ([4]). But if  $m$  is chosen in such a way that  $\tilde{\chi}(x) = \tilde{\chi}_0(mx)$  ( $\tilde{\chi}_0$  is defined above), we have

$$\tilde{\chi}(\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta + s\beta^2) = -\tilde{\chi}_0((s + m^2\alpha^2)(1 + m^2\beta^2))$$

i.e. number of the elements for which  $\tilde{\chi}(\bar{q}(x)) = -1$  in a quadratic space with Arf invariant  $-1$ , equals the number of elements for which  $\tilde{\chi}_0(q(x)) = 1$  in the space with Arf invariant 1. Therefore the lemma 3 follows.

*Remark.* A connection between the Arf invariant and Gauss sums was first observed in [1].

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be a dyadic local field. Let  $\chi$  denote a character of the additive group of  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  with support  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $q$  be an integer quadratic form on the  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $V$  such that the determinant of the associated bilinear form is a unit. Then*

$$(21) \quad \sum_{x \in V/\pi V} \chi\left(q\left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right)\right) \Big/ \left| \sum_{x \in V/\pi V} \chi\left(q\left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right)\right) \right|$$

*is equal to the Arf invariant of  $q \bmod \mathfrak{p}$ .*

*Proof.* The map  $x \rightarrow \chi\left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right)$  defines a non-trivial character of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\pi R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Therefore the expressions (20) and (21) coincide.

**LEMMA 4.** Let  $\chi$  denotes a character of the dyadic field  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  with support  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

Let  $q$  denote an integer quadratic form over the  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  module  $V$  such that determinant of the associated bilinear form is a unit. Then

$$(22) \quad \sum_{x \in V/\pi^n V} \chi\left(\frac{q(x)}{\pi^n}\right) = N^{\dim V} \sum_{x \in V/\pi^{n-2} V} \left(\frac{q(x)}{\pi^{n-2}}\right)$$

where  $N$  is the norm of the prime ideal of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

*Proof.* We follow the classical scheme in [2]. Let  $x = x_1 + \pi^{n-1} x_2$ , where  $x_2 \in V/\pi V$  and  $x_1 \in V/\pi^{n-1} V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in V/\pi^n V} \chi \left( \frac{q(x)}{\pi^n} \right) &= \sum_{\substack{x_1 \in V/\pi^{n-1} V \\ x_2 \in V/\pi V}} \chi \left( \frac{q(x_1) + \pi^{n-1} \tilde{q}(x_1, x_2) + \pi^{2n-2} q(x_2)}{\pi^n} \right) \\ &= N \sum_{\substack{x_1 \equiv 0(\pi) \\ x_1 \in V/\pi^{n-1} V}} \chi \left( \frac{q(x_1)}{\pi^n} \right) + \sum_{x_1} \chi \left( \frac{q(x_1)}{\pi} \right) \left( \sum_{\substack{x_1 \not\equiv 0(\pi) \\ x_1 \in V/\pi^{n-1} V \\ x_2 \in V/\pi V}} \chi \left( \frac{\tilde{q}(x_1, x_2)}{\pi} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

The sum in brackets is the sum of the values of the non-trivial (because  $\det \tilde{q}$  is unit and  $\text{supp } \chi = R_p$ ) character, hence is equal zero. Therefore we obtain the result of the lemma because

$$\sum_{\substack{x_1 \equiv 0(\pi) \\ x_1 \in V/\pi^{n-1} V}} \chi \left( \frac{q(x_1)}{\pi^n} \right) = \sum_{x \in V/\pi^{n-1} V} \chi \left( \frac{q(x)}{\pi^{n-2}} \right)$$

Now we are ready to conclude the

*Proof of lemma 2.* Let  $e$  denote the ramification index of  $F_p$  over  $\mathbf{Q}_2$ . Thus for a character with the support  $R_p$  the dual of integer lattice  $V$  with respect to form  $2q$  in the lattice  $\frac{1}{\pi^e} V$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (23) \quad \gamma^x(2q) &= \sum_{x \in \frac{1}{\pi^e} V/V} \chi(2q(x)) / \left| \sum_{x \in \frac{1}{\pi^e} V/V} \chi(2q(x)) \right| \\ &= \sum_{x \in V/\pi^e V} \chi \left( \frac{q(x)}{\pi^e} \right) / \left| \sum_{x \in V/\pi^e V} \chi \left( \frac{q(x)}{\pi^e} \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

If  $e$  is odd then by lemma 4, (23) is equal to

$$\sum_{x \in V/\pi V} \chi \left( \frac{q(x)}{\pi} \right) / \left| \sum_{x \in V/\pi V} \chi \left( \frac{q(x)}{\pi} \right) \right|$$

which is, by the corollary to lemma 3, the Arf invariant of  $q \bmod p$ . If  $e$  is even then it follows from (22) that (23) is equal 1. This concludes the proof of lemma 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] BROWN, E. M. The Kervaire invariant of a manifold. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Symposia in Pure Math. XXII, Algebraic Topology*, Madison WI (1970), 65-71.
- [2] HECKE, E. Reziprozitatsgesetz und Gauss'sch Summen in quadratischen Zahlkörpern. *Gött. Nachr. Math. and Phys.* (1919), 265-298.
- [3] LEVINE, J. Polynomial invariants of knots of codimension two. *Ann. of Math.* 84 (1966), 534-554.
- [4] MILNOR, J. and D. HUSEMOLLER. *Symmetric bilinear forms*. Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [5] WEIL, A. Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires. *Acta Math.* 111 (1964), 143-211.
- [6] HIRZEBRUCH, F. und K. H. MAYER. *O (n)-Mannigfaltigkeiten, exotische Sphären und Singularitäten*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics 157*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1968).

(*Reçu le 21 janvier 1980*)

A. Libgober

Department of Mathematics  
University of Illinois at Chicago Circle  
Chicago, Illinois 60680

vide-leer-empty