

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 24 (1978)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: HOW QUICKLY CAN AN ENTIRE FUNCTION TEND TO ZERO ALONG A CURVE ?
Autor: Hayman, W. K.
Kapitel: 3. An extended reflexion principle
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-49702>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 27.04.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

for some arbitrarily large $z = re^{i\theta}$ on E . Here A_0 is an absolute but presumably very large constant. I had conjectured that the result holds for any $A_0 > 1$. Soon afterwards Beurling showed Kjellberg in a conversation that (8) holds for any $A_0 > 3$. Beurling's argument is as follows.

We write

$$B(r) = \log^+ M(r) = \max \{0, \log M(r)\}, \quad B(z) = B(|z|),$$

and suppose that for some $K \geq 1$, we have

$$(9) \quad \log |f(z)| < -KB(z),$$

on a Jordan curve Γ joining $z = 0, z_0 = Re^{i\theta}$. Then we deduce that

$$(10) \quad \log |f(re^{i\theta})| \leq -\frac{K-1}{2}B(r), \quad 0 < r < R.$$

To see this we suppose that $S: [r_1, r_2]$ is a maximal interval such that $re^{i\theta}$ does not lie on Γ , for $r_1 < r < r_2$. Let γ be the arc of Γ with end points $r_1e^{i\theta}, r_2e^{i\theta}$, let D be the domain bounded by γ and S , D^* the reflexion of D in S and $\Delta = D \cup S \cup D^*$. In Δ we consider the function

$$u(z) = \log |f(z)| + \log |f(z^*)| + (K-1)B(z)$$

where z^* is the reflexion of z in S . Clearly $u(z)$ is subharmonic in Δ and, for z on the boundary of Δ , either z or z^* lies on Γ . Thus

$$u(z) \leq 0$$

in Δ and in particular on S . We deduce that

$$2 \log |f(re^{i\theta})| \leq -(K-1)B(r), \quad r_1 < r < r_2$$

and this yields (10). Hence if $K > 3$, we deduce that f is constant from Beurling's theorem.

Recalling his earlier conversation with Beurling, Kjellberg went on to prove 18 months ago that (8) holds for any $A_0 > 1$ at least when f has finite order and I managed to extend the result to the case of infinite order. Our joint paper will be published in the Turan memorial volume. I should like to describe briefly the idea behind this proof.

3. AN EXTENDED REFLEXION PRINCIPLE

Let us return to the above reflexion argument. We assume now that (9) holds on some curve Γ going from 0 to ∞ , where $K \geq 1$. Then the reflexion principle shows that

$$(11) \quad \log |f(z)| \leq -\frac{K-1}{2} B(z)$$

on any ray joining the origin to some point on Γ . Kjellberg extended this to prove the following

LEMMA. *If f has lower order $\mu < \infty$. Then (11) holds in some sector of opening at least π/μ .*

From this he was able to obtain a contradiction if $K > 1$. To prove the Lemma we let θ_1, θ_2 be the lower and upper limits of $\arg z$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ on Γ . Then the above argument shows that (11) holds for $\theta_1 < \arg z < \theta_2$. Thus is $\theta_2 - \theta_1 \geq \pi/\mu$, the Lemma is proved.

Suppose now that $\theta_2 - \theta_1 < \pi/\mu$. We may assume that $\mu \geq 1$, since otherwise our conclusion follows from (5) in which λ can be replaced by μ according to a Theorem of Kjellberg [7]. We choose a sequence R_n which tends to ∞ with n and is such that

$$(12) \quad \log B(R_n) < (\mu + o(1)) \log R_n.$$

We now define quantities α_1, α_2 as follows. For any fixed $\phi_1 < \theta_1$ and sufficiently large R , we define $h_1(R, \phi_1)$ to be the largest number such that the arc

$$\phi_1 < \arg z < \phi_1 + h_1(R, \phi_1), \quad |z| = R$$

does not meet Γ . Clearly $h_1 \leq \theta_2 + o(1) - \phi_1$ for large R . Similarly, for $\phi_2 > \theta_2$, we define $h_2(R, \phi_2)$ to be the largest number such that the arc

$$\phi_2 - h_2(R, \phi_2) < \arg z < \phi_2, \quad |z| = R$$

does not meet Γ . Then α is defined to be the greatest lower bound of all $\phi_1 < \theta_1$ such that, for a fixed large R_0 , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log R_n} \int_{R_0}^{R_n} h_1(t, \phi_1) \frac{dt}{t} < \frac{\pi}{2\mu}.$$

If there are no such numbers ϕ_1 , we define $\alpha_1 = \theta_1$. Also α_2 is defined similarly as the least upper bound of all $\phi_2 > \theta_2$ such that

$$(13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log R_n} \int_{R_0}^{R_n} h_2(t, \phi_2) \frac{dt}{t} < \frac{\pi}{2\mu}.$$

If there are no such ϕ_2 we define $\alpha_2 = \theta_2$.

Suppose now that $\phi_1 < \alpha_1$, $\phi_2 > \alpha_2$. Then we deduce that for a fixed large R_0 and all sufficiently large n

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_2 - \phi_1) \log \frac{R_n}{R_0} &= \int_{R_0}^{R_n} (\phi_2 - \phi_1) \frac{dt}{t} \geq \int_{R_0}^{R_n} \{h_1(t, \phi_1) + h_2(t, \phi_2)\} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\pi}{\mu} + o(1) \right) \log R_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\phi_2 - \phi_1 \geq \pi/\mu$, and hence $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \geq \pi/\mu$.

On the other hand we can show that (11) holds for $0 < |z| < \infty$, $\alpha_1 \leq \arg z \leq \alpha_2$.

To see this we choose ϕ , such that $\alpha_1 < \phi < \alpha_2$ and assume that Γ does not meet the ray $\arg z = \phi$ for arbitrarily large z , since otherwise the conclusion follows from (10). In particular (11) holds for $\theta_1 < \phi < \theta_2$ and hence by continuity also for $\phi = \theta_1$ or θ_2 . Thus we may assume that either $\alpha_1 < \phi < \theta_1$ or $\theta_2 < \phi < \alpha_2$. Suppose e.g. that the latter inequality holds, so that in particular $\alpha_2 > \theta_2$. Let $z_0 = Re^{i\phi}$ be the last intersection of $\arg z = \phi$ with Γ . Let D be the domain bounded by the arc Γ_0 of Γ from z_0 to ∞ and by the segment $S: z = te^{i\phi}$, $R_0 < t < \infty$.

Let D^* be the reflexion of D in S and set $\Delta = D \cup S \cup D^*$.

We consider

$$u(z) = \log |f(z)| + \log |f(z^*)| + (K-1)B(z)$$

in Δ , where z^* denotes the reflexion of z in S , and proceed to show that

$$(14) \quad u(z) \leq 0 \text{ in } \Delta.$$

By our construction (14) holds on the finite boundary $\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_0^*$ of Δ . To deal with points at ∞ we combine (12) and (13).

We choose a large n and define $\omega_n(z)$ to be the harmonic measure of the circle $|z| = R_n$, with respect to the subdomain Δ_n of Δ bounded by $|z| = R_n$, Γ_0 , Γ_0^* and containing the part $R_0 < t < R_n$ of the segment S . If z is a fixed point of and we let n tend to ∞ , then standard estimates yield ¹⁾

$$(15) \quad \omega_n(z) \leq \exp \left\{ -\pi \int_{R_0+1}^{R_n} \frac{dt}{2h_2(t, \phi)} + O(1) \right\}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

¹⁾ We may map Δ_n onto a half strip and then apply Ahlfors' distortion theorem in the form given in [3].

Also Schwarz's inequality yields

$$\int_{R_0+1}^{R_n} h_2(t, \phi) \frac{dt}{t} \int_{R_0+1}^{R_n} \frac{dt}{t h_2(t, \phi)} \geq \left\{ \log \left(\frac{R_n}{R_0+1} \right) \right\}^2,$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R_0+1}^{R_n} \frac{dt}{t h_2(t, \phi)} &\geq \left\{ \log \frac{R_n}{R_0+1} \right\}^2 / \int_{R_0+1}^{R_n} h_2(t, \phi) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &> \frac{2\mu + 2\delta}{\pi} \log R_n \end{aligned}$$

for all large n , where δ is a positive constant, in view of (13). Thus (15) yields

$$(16) \quad \omega_n(z) = O(R_n^{-\mu-\delta}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also since $u(z) \leq (K+1)B(R_n)$ on $|z| = R_n$, we deduce finally that

$$u(z) \leq (K+1)B(R_n)\omega_n(z)$$

in Δ_n and now (12) and (16) yield (14) for any point in Δ . In particular for z on S , we deduce (11) as required. This proves the Lemma.

4. CONCLUSIONS

It is not difficult to obtain a contradiction from the above Lemma. We may assume without loss of generality that the angle is given by $S: |\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{2\mu}$. Since $f(z)$ is bounded in S , we deduce that $\log |f(z)|$ is bounded above in S by the Poisson integral of the boundary values on the arms $\arg z = \mp \pi/(2\mu)$. This leads, for $K > 1$, to

$$(17) \quad \log |f(re^{i\theta})| < -A(\mu)(K-1)r^\mu \int_r^\infty \frac{B(t)dt}{t^{\mu+1}}, \quad |\theta| < \frac{\pi}{2\mu},$$

$$0 < r < \infty,$$

where the constant $A(\mu)$ depends only on μ .

Given any constant $C > 1$, we can, since f has lower order μ find a sequence r_n tending to infinity with n and such that

$$B(t) > \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{r_n} \right)^\mu B(r_n), \quad r_n \leq t \leq Cr_n$$