Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 24 (1978)

Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ALGEBRAIC ASPECTS OF THE THEORY OF PARTIAL

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Autor: Malgrange, B.

Kapitel: 2. General constructions on D and E-Modules

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-49699

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 10.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

- M.1) p_j is homogeneous of degree j in ξ .
- M.2) $\sup |p_j(x,\xi)| \leq (-j)! R_K^{-j}$ for any $K \subset \subset U$, and j < 0.
- M.3) $p_j = 0 \text{ for } j >> 0$,

At a point (x, 0), the p_j are homogeneous polynomials of degree j in ξ ; therefore, $p_j = 0$ for j < 0, and, for $j \ge 0$, p_j can be identified with the differential operator p_j (x_i, ∂_i) ; the formulae for multiplication and change of variables in $\mathscr E$ are chosen in order to extend what happens on $\mathscr D$. In that way, one get a sheaf $\mathscr E$ on T^*X with a filtration $\mathscr E_j$, $j \in \mathbb Z$ and a structure of (flat) $\pi^*(\mathscr D)$ -Module. All the properties of $\mathscr D$ mentioned before can be extended to $\mathscr E$, which is called the sheaf of (convergent) microdifferential operators. Note also the following property: if $p \in \mathscr E$ (U) has a symbol $\sigma(p)$ which does not vanish, then p is invertible in $\mathscr E$ (U) [3]; from that results easily the following useful property: if M is a coherent $\mathscr D$ -Module,

one has char $M = \text{support of } M \text{ with } M = \mathscr{E} \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathscr{D}} \pi^{-1} M.$

A variant of the preceding sheaf with essentially similar properties, is given by the sheaf \mathscr{E} of "formal" microdifferential operators (it is defined like \mathscr{E} , by just removing M.2). Perhaps this sheaf, or an algebraic counterpart, could have some interest for an *algebraic* theory of \mathscr{D} -Modules.

2. General constructions on \mathscr{D} and \mathscr{E} -Modules

(2.1) Canonical transformations.

This operation is restricted to \mathscr{E} -Modules on open sets $U \subset T^*X - X$; this is the analytic counterpart of Maslov's ideas [13] and of the theory of "Fourier integral operators" by Hörmander [7]. Given a homogeneous symplectic diffeomorphism $U \to V$, with $U, V \subset T^*X - X$, there exists a (non-unique) isomorphism $\mathscr{E} \mid U \to \mathscr{E} \mid V$, which respects the filtrations, and verifies $\sigma \Phi(P) = \sigma(P) \circ \varphi^{-1}$. This is often useful to reduce the support of an \mathscr{E} -Module, at least at smooth points, to canonical form. Although this is a very fundamental ingredient of the theory, we will not insist on it here. We just mention that Φ is defined by a suitable holonomic system, whose support (= characteristic variety) is precisely the graph of φ in $U \times V$. For the details, we refer to [S.K.K.]; see also [B.L.M.].

(2.2) Direct images.

We introduce first some definitions; let Y be another manifold of dimension p, and $f: X \to Y$ a holomorphic mapping; we define $\mathcal{D}_{X \to Y} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} f^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y)$; this sheaf on X is nothing but the sheaf of differential operators from $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ into \mathcal{O}_X ; therefore it has a structure of left \mathcal{D}_X -Module and right $f^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ -Module; we leave to the reader the explicit definition of these structures. Similarly note that Ω_X^n , the sheaf of holomorphic n forms on X is a right \mathcal{D} -Module (by the following action, if ξ is a vector field, and $\alpha \in \Omega^n$, we write $\alpha \xi = -\theta_{\xi} \alpha$, θ the Lie derivative); we define therefore the sheaf on X of $(f^{-1}(\Omega_Y^p), \Omega_X^n)$ -differential operators by $\mathcal{D}_{Y \leftarrow X} = f^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} (\Omega_Y^p)^{-1}) \otimes_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} \Omega_X^n$ [here we use the right structure of \mathcal{D}_Y -Module over $\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{D}_{0Y}$]; it has a structure of right \mathcal{D}_X -Module and left $f^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ -Module.

Now, let M be a left coherent \mathcal{D}_X -Module; the direct images (or "integration" in the fiber) are defined by $\int^i M = R^i f_* (\mathcal{D}_{Y \to X} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X}^L M)$, where R (resp. L) denotes the right (resp. left) derived functors. To understand the meaning of these operations, we will examine special cases.

i) Case where Y is a point (the "absolute" case).

Here, one has $\mathscr{D}_{Y \leftarrow X} = \Omega_X^n$; on the other hand, denote by DR (M) the "de Rham complex of M"

 $0 \to M \to M \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \Omega_X^1 \overset{d}{\to} \dots \overset{d}{\to} M \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \Omega_X^n \to 0$, where d is the usual exterior derivative; it is easy to verify that one has an isomorphism $\Omega_X^n \otimes_{\mathscr{D}_X}^L M \overset{\sim}{\to} DR \cdot (M)$ [n] (where [n] means "shifted n times to the left"); and, one has also an isomorphism $DR \cdot (M) \simeq \underline{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}_X} (\mathscr{O}_X, M)$; therefore, one has

$$\int^{i} M = \underline{\underline{H}}^{i+n}(X, DR^{\cdot}(M)) = \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{D}_{X}}^{i+n}(X; \mathscr{O}_{X}, M).$$

Therefore, here, the direct image is the global de Rham hyper-cohomology of M, with a shifting of the degree by n.

ii) The case where $X \to Y$ is smooth (i.e. is locally a submersion).

This case is similar: one gets the relative de Rham cohomology (with a shifting by n-p). Note that we have automatically a structure of left \mathcal{D}_Y -Module on $\int^i M$; in the case where $M=\mathcal{O}_X$, this structure is just the so-called "Gauss-Manin connection".

iii) The case where X is a submanifold of Y.

In local coordinates, we can suppose p = n + k, $x_i = y_i$, $1 \le i \le n$, and that X is defined by $y_i = 0$, $i \ge n + 1$. Then one has

 $\mathcal{D}_{Y\leftarrow X}\simeq \mathcal{D}_Y/\sum_{i\geq n}\mathcal{D}_Y y_i;$ elements of $\mathcal{D}_{Y\leftarrow X}$ can be written as $\Sigma \mathcal{D}_{y'}^{\alpha}$. P_{α} , with $y'=(y_{n+1},...,y_p),\ P_{\alpha}\in \mathcal{D}_X;$ this is a free Module over \mathcal{D}_X , and f_* here is exact; therefore $\int^i M=0,\ i\neq 0,\ \mathrm{and}\ \int^0 M$ is just the \mathcal{D}_Y -Module whose elements can be written uniquely as $\Sigma \mathcal{D}_{y'}^{\alpha}\otimes m_{\alpha'}$ $m_{\alpha}\in \mathcal{D}_X.$ This is just the same correspondence as in the theory of distributions: "distributions on X" \to "distributions on Y with support in X".

The following theorem is due to Kashiwara [10].

Theorem 2.2.1. Suppose f projective (i.e. proper and factorizing through some closed embedding $X \to Y \times \mathbf{P}_k(\mathbf{C})$, and suppose that M has a global good filtration. Then

- i) The $\int_{-1}^{1} M$ are coherent \mathcal{D}_{Y} -Modules.
- ii) The characteristic variety of $\int_{0}^{1} M$ is contained in the set of $\eta \in T * Y$, with $y = \pi(\eta)$, such that there exists $\xi \in \text{char } M$, with $x = \pi(\xi) \in X$ verifying y = f(x), $\xi = Tf_{x}^{*}(\eta)$; here Tf^{*} denotes the cotangent map of f.

If M is holonomic, and the other hypotheses of the theorem are satisfied, this implies easily that the $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M$ are holonomic.

The proof of ii) requires some microlocalization of the notion of direct images, which I will not develop here. Also, it is likely that the hypothesis "f proper" is sufficient for the conclusions of the theorem. Perhaps, it is also true that one has coherence of local direct images of holonomic Modules, when one replaces X by a small ball, as in Milnor's work on singularity of hypersurfaces, and in the study of local Gauss-Manin connection by several authors (Brieskorn [4], Hamm [6], etc.); this is at least true in the absolute case (see § 3).

(2.3) Inverse images, and localization.

Let $f: X \to Y$, as before, and M a coherent left \mathcal{D}_Y -Module; as in analytic geometry, one defines $f * M = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} f^{-1}(M)$; the obvious isomorphism:

 $f^*M \simeq \mathcal{D}_{X \to Y} \otimes_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y)} f^{-1}(M)$ provides f^*M with a structure of \mathcal{D}_X -Module; the left derived functors $L_i f^*M$ are defined in the same way, with "Tor".

Again, the study is reduced to two cases: i) submersions, ii) closed embeddings; the first case is trivial, therefore we consider only the second and suppose, from now on, that X is a closed submanifold of Y. In that case, the $L_i f^* M$ are not coherent in general (take for instance $M = \mathcal{D}_Y$, and X defined by $y_p = 0$). There are three cases of interest:

a) The non-characteristic case.

One says that X is non-characteristic with respect to M if char $M \cap N^*X$ is contained in the zero-section (N^* denotes the conormal bundle). This is a well-known notion, f.i. in connection with the Cauchy-Kovalevs-kaya theorem. Then, if X is non-characteristic, f^*M is coherent, and $L_i f^*M = 0$, $i \ge 1$. Moreover, one has char $f^*M = (Tf)^*$ (char M). See [S.K.K.].

b) The case where M has support in X.

In that case, one has $L_i f^* M = 0$, $i \neq d = p - n$ and $L_d f^* M$ is a coherent \mathcal{D}_X -Module; we will denote it by $\overline{f}^* M$; in local coordinates, $x_i = y_i$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, X defined by $y_{n+1} = ... = y_p = 0$, $\overline{f}^* M$ is the set \overline{M} of $m \in M$ annihilated by $y_{n+1}, ..., y_p$ (take the resolution of \mathcal{O}_X over \mathcal{O}_Y by the Koszul complex), but this is not intrinsic; \overline{M} has no canonical structure of \mathcal{D}_X -Module, and has to be tensorised by a suitable invertible sheaf on \mathcal{O}_X to become $\overline{f}^* M$.

One remarkable phenomenon occurs: M is canonically isomorphic with $\int_{0}^{0} \bar{f} * M$; in other words, the functors $M \mapsto \bar{f} * M$ and $N \mapsto \int_{0}^{0} N$ give an equivalence between the category of coherent \mathcal{D}_{Y} -Modules with support in X and the category of \mathcal{D}_{X} -Modules, a situation much simpler than in usual analytic geometry. For instance, in local coordinates, the coherent \mathcal{D}_{X} -Modules with support o are finite sums of copies of $\mathcal{D}_{X}\delta \simeq \mathcal{D}_{X}/\Sigma \mathcal{D}_{X}x_{i}$ (this module is also well-known to algebraists as the injective envelope of C over $C \{x_{1}, ..., x_{n}\}$).

One has dim $N - n = \dim \int_0^\infty N - p$; in particular, holonomy is preserved in this correspondence. For these results, see [8] or [B.L.M.].

c) The case where M is holonomic.

In this case, one has the following result, much more difficult than the preceding ones:

THEOREM (2.3.1). If M is holonomic on Y, then the $L_i f^* M$ are holonomic on X (Kashiwara [11]).

However, one problem here is to find the characteristic varieties (this restriction seems to have no microlocal counterpart). Note also that, in the case of modules over the Weyl algebra, i.e. the algebra of differential operators on \mathbb{C}^n with *polynomial* coefficients, the holonomy of f^*M was proved previously by I.N. Bernstein [1].

The preceding theorem can be stated in a more general context, using local cohomology. If now Z is a closed analytic subset of Y, defined by a coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -Ideal J, we define $H^i_{[Z]}M = \lim_{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathscr{O}_X}(\mathscr{O}_X/J^k, M)$; this is not the "transcendental" local cohomology H^i_ZM , but the analytical translation of the local cohomology of schemes; it is easily provided with a structure of \mathscr{D}_Y -Module. Now, if $X \subset Y$ is a submanifold, it is easy to prove that one has $L_i f^* M = \bar{f}^* (\underline{H}^{d-i}_{[X]}M)$, with $d = \operatorname{codim}_Y X$. Therefore, theorem (2.3.1) is a special case of the following theorem (same reference):

Theorem (2.3.3). If M is holonomic, then the $H_{[Z]}^{i}M$ are holonomic.

As an easy consequence, the sheaf of meromorphic sections of a connection with singularities in the sense of Deligne [5] is a holonomic \mathcal{D} -Module. In some sense, they are the "general case" of holonomic \mathcal{D} -Modules (a problem is to give a meaning to this assertion). In particular, modulo non-singular compactifications, one deduces immediately from that fact the following theorem, proved previously by Björk (unpublished?): the algebraic de Rham cohomology of an algebraic connection on an affine non-singular C-variety is finite.

3. Further results on holonomic systems

First, note that, if M is a coherent left \mathscr{D} -Module on X and N any \mathscr{D} -Module, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}}(M,N)$ can be interpreted as the set of solutions of the system of p.d.e. defined by M, with values in N (for instance, if J is a left coherent sheaf of ideals of \mathscr{D} , and $M = \mathscr{D}/J$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}}(M,N)$ is the set of $n \in N$ annihilated by J). For instance, taking $N = \mathscr{O}$, we get the holomorphic solutions of M; on the other hand, we have seen the relation between \mathscr{R} $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathscr{O},N)$ and the de Rham cohomology of N.