

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 24 (1978)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** COINCIDENCE-FIXED-POINT INDEX  
**Autor:** Dold, Albrecht  
**Kapitel:** § 3. Applications, Problems.  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-49689>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 19.08.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

Our proof of 2.1 can be adapted to this more general situation. *Or*, by arguments as in [2], 8.6, one can slightly increase  $R$  in  $B$ , and decrease  $D_\varphi$ , such that the increased  $R$  is a compact ENR, and over (the increased)  $R$  the assumptions of 2.1 are satisfied; then 2.1 will imply the more general result above.

### § 3. APPLICATIONS, PROBLEMS.

(3.1) Whether and how the trace formula 2.1 can be used depends mainly on one's knowledge of the transfer  $t_g$ . For instance, one knows that

- (i)  $t_g p^* = I(g_b) =$  multiplication with the Hopf-index of  $g_b: D_g \cap p^{-1}b \rightarrow p^{-1}b$  (in ordinary cohomology,  $B$  connected).
- (ii)  $t_g: hD_g \rightarrow hB$  is induced by a stable map of  $B^+$  into  $D_g^+$ ; in particular, it commutes with stable cohomology operations.
- (iii)  $t_g$  is itself given by a trace-formula if  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a bundle with compact fibres which are totally non-cohomologous to zero.

We shall now illustrate (cf. 3.2, 3.3, 3.5) how these properties can be used.

(3.2) Suppose  $\varphi$  is homotopic to  $\beta(p|D_\varphi)$ , for some  $\beta: B \rightarrow B$ . Then  $t_g \varphi^* = t_g p^* \beta^* = I(g_b) \beta^*$ , provided  $B$  is connected (cf. [2], 4.8). Therefore

$$J(g, \varphi) = \text{tr}(t_g \varphi^*) = I(g_b) \text{tr}(\beta^*) = I(g_b) I(\beta).$$

Geometrically, this result is very plausible: If  $\varphi = \beta_p$  then  $\text{Coinc}(\varphi, p)$  consists of all fibres  $D_\varphi \cap p^{-1}b$  with  $b \in \text{Fix}(\beta)$ . The "number" of these fibres is  $I(\beta)$ , and in every fibre the "number" of fixed points of  $g$  equals  $I(g_b)$ . — As the geometry suggests, the result holds under more general assumptions and can be proved directly from § 1 (it doesn't seriously use 2.1).

As an illustration, the reader might look at the case where  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is the tangent sphere-bundle of a compact Riemannian manifold  $B$ , and  $\varphi = \varphi_t: E \rightarrow B$ ,  $\varphi(x) = \exp(tx)$ , for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Clearly  $\varphi \simeq \varphi_0 = p$ , and  $\text{Coinc}(\varphi, p) = \emptyset$  if  $|t|$  is small enough,  $t \neq 0$ . Hence,  $0 = J(g, \varphi) = I(g_b) I(id_B) = I(g_b) \chi(B)$ , for all  $g$ . (For a direct proof of this result the reader should think of  $\text{Fix}(g) \subset E$  as a manifold such that  $p|_{\text{Fix}(g)}$  has degree  $I(g_b)$ ).

(3.3) The definition [2], 3.3-4 shows that  $t_g$  is a composite of geometric homomorphisms (induced by continuous maps) and suspension isomor-

phisms  $h^j Y \cong h^{j+n} ((\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n - 0) \times Y)$ . Thus,  $t_g$  is induced by a stable map  $B^+ \rightarrow D_g^+$  (in fact, by a stable shape map  $B^+ \rightarrow \text{Fix}(g)^+$ ); it commutes with stable cohomology operations, such as Steenrod's  $Sq^i$  or  $P^i$ . As a (rather weak) consequence of theorem 2.1 we obtain that *under the assumptions of 2.1 the c.f.p.-index  $J(g, \varphi)$  is the Lefschetz trace of a homomorphism  $hB \rightarrow hB$  which is induced by a stable map  $B^+ \rightarrow B^+$ ; this homomorphism (namely  $t_g \varphi^*$ ) satisfies  $1 \mapsto I(g_b) \cdot 1$ .*

For example, let  $B = P_{2m}\mathbf{C} =$  complex projective  $2m$ -space, hence  $H^*(B; R) = R[u]/(u^{2m+1})$ , with  $u \in H^2$ ,  $R$  any ring. If  $R = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  then  $Sq^2 u^{2i-1} = u^{2i}$ ; a stable map  $\alpha$  must therefore satisfy  $\alpha^* u^j = \lambda_j u^j$  with  $\lambda_{2i-1} = \lambda_{2i}$ . For integral coefficients  $R = \mathbf{Z}$  this means  $\lambda_{2i-1} \equiv \lambda_{2i} \pmod{2}$ . Therefore  $\text{tr}(\alpha^*) \equiv \lambda_0 \pmod{2}$ . In our case  $\alpha = t_g \varphi^*$  this says:

*Under the assumptions of 2.1 and with  $B = P_{2m}\mathbf{C}$  the c.f.p.-index satisfies  $J(g, \varphi) \equiv I(g_b) \pmod{2}$ . In particular, if  $I(g_b)$  is odd then  $J(g, \varphi) \neq 0$ , hence every  $\varphi$  has coincidence points with  $p$ .*

It is interesting to compare this result with [3], where the product case  $E = Y \times P_{2m}\mathbf{C}$  is treated by different methods. It is shown there (compare also 1.12) that  $J(g, \varphi)$ , for globally defined  $(g, \varphi)$ , is equal to  $I(g_b)$  times an odd integer; in particular,  $I(g_b) \neq 0 \Rightarrow J(g, \varphi) \neq 0$ . One might wonder whether this extends to general bundles over  $P_{2m}\mathbf{C}$ , but the following example shows that it doesn't. Let  $B = P_{2m}\mathbf{C}$ ,  $E = B \times B - \tilde{\Delta}$  where  $\tilde{\Delta}$  is an open tubular neighborhood of the diagonal,  $\varphi$  and  $p$  the two projections onto  $B$ ,  $g = id_E$ . Then  $p$  is a bundle projection with compact fibre  $\simeq P_{2m-1}\mathbf{C}$ ,  $I(g_b) = \chi(\text{fibre}) = 2m \neq 0$ , but  $\text{Coinc}(\varphi, p) = \emptyset$ .

(3.4) If  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a fibration (where  $E$  and  $B$  are compact ENR,  $B$  connected) and if the fibre  $Y = p^{-1}(b)$  is totally non-cohomologous to zero, i.e.  $hE \rightarrow hY$  is epimorphic for  $h = H^*(-; \mathbf{Q})$ , then  $E$  is  $h$ -flat over  $B$  in the sense of [2], 6.9; in fact,  $hE$  has a Leray-Hirsch basis ([2], 6.8) over  $hB$ . In particular,  $hE \cong hY \otimes hB$ , as  $hB$ -modules (but not as rings, in general). In this case, [2], 6.18 expresses  $t_g$  in terms of Lefschetz traces over the ring  $hB$ . One can combine the two trace-formulas 2.1 and [2], 6.18, as follows.

(3.5) PROPOSITION. *Let  $p: E \rightarrow B$  a fibration between compact ENR-spaces  $E, B$  ( $B$  connected), and let  $\iota: Y \hookrightarrow E$  the inclusion of the fibre. Assume  $hE = hY \otimes hB$  as  $hB$ -modules, and such that  $\iota^*(y \otimes 1) = y$  for  $y \in hY$ , where  $h = H^*(-; \mathbf{Q})$ . Then for every map  $\varphi: E \rightarrow B$  and fibre-*

preserving map  $g: E \rightarrow E$  ( $pg = p$ ) the c.f.p.-index  $J(g, \varphi)$  equals the Lefschetz trace of

$$hY \otimes hB \rightarrow hY \otimes hB, \quad y \otimes z \mapsto g^*(y \otimes 1) \smile (\varphi^*z).$$

Heuristically, this is found by pretending that the isomorphism  $hE = hY \otimes hB$  comes from a product representation, and by comparing 2.1 with the discussion 1.12 of the product case. In order to actually prove it, we consider the following purely algebraic construction. For every  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{hB}(hE, hE) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(hY, hE)$  we define  $\tau_\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{hB}(hE, hB)$  by  $\tau_\alpha(\xi) = \text{tr}(\tilde{\xi} \circ \alpha)$ , where  $\xi \in hE$  and  $\tilde{\xi} \in \text{Hom}_{hB}(hE, hE)$  is left translation with  $\xi$ ,  $\tilde{\xi}(x) = \xi \smile x$ . For  $\beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(hB, hE)$  and  $\alpha$  as above, we define  $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(hE, hE)$  by  $\{\alpha, \beta\}(y \otimes z) = \alpha(y \otimes 1)(\beta z)$ . We assert,

$$(3.6) \quad \text{tr} \{\alpha, \beta\} = \text{tr}(\tau_\alpha \circ \beta).$$

If we take  $\alpha = g^*$  then  $\tau_\alpha = t_g$ , by [2], 6.18. If, moreover,  $\beta = \varphi^*$  then 3.6 becomes 3.5, by 2.1. Thus, it remains to give a

*Proof of 3.6.* Let  $\{y_i\}$  resp.  $\{z_j\}$  denote bases of  $hY = H^*(Y; \mathbf{Q})$  resp.  $hB = H^*(B; \mathbf{Q})$ . Since both sides of 3.6 are bilinear in  $(\alpha, \beta)$  it suffices to consider the case where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  vanish on all but one basic element  $y_i$  resp.  $z_j$ ; thus,  $\alpha(y_\mu) = 0$  for  $\mu \neq i$ ,  $\beta(z_\nu) = 0$  for  $\nu \neq j$ . Then

$$\{\alpha, \beta\}(y_i \otimes z_j) = (\alpha y_i) \smile (\beta z_j) = \lambda(y_i \otimes z_j) + \rho,$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}$ , and the remainder term  $\rho$  is irrelevant for the trace; hence,  $\text{tr} \{\alpha, \beta\} = (-1)^{|y_i| + |z_j|} \lambda$ , where  $||$  denotes dimension. Similarly,  $((\beta z_j) \circ \alpha)(y_i) = (\beta z_j) \smile (\alpha y_i) = (-1)^{|y_i| |z_j|} y_i \otimes (\lambda z_j) + \rho$ , hence  $(\tau_\alpha \circ \beta)(z_j) = \text{tr}((\beta z_j) \circ \alpha) = (-1)^{|y_i|} \lambda z_j + \rho'$  by [2], 6.6, hence  $\text{tr}(\tau_\alpha \circ \beta) = (-1)^{|z_j|} (-1)^{|y_i|} \lambda$ .  $\square$

(3.7) *Multivalued maps*  $\beta: B \rightarrow B$  are usually given by, resp. related to pairs of ordinary maps  $B \xleftarrow{p} E \xrightarrow{\varphi} B$  such that  $\beta(x) = \varphi p^{-1}(x)$  resp.  $\beta(x) \supset \varphi p^{-1}(x)$ . Fixed points of  $\beta$  can then be obtained from coincidence points of  $(\varphi, p)$  since  $\text{Fix}(\beta) \supset p(\text{Coinc}(\varphi, p))$ . The existence theorems in the literature (cf. [4], and its informative bibliography) often assume that  $p$  is a Vietoris-map (i.e. proper, with acyclic fibres). Then  $p^*: hB \rightarrow hE$  is isomorphic in Čech-cohomology  $h$ , and the Lefschetz trace of  $(p^*)^{-1} \varphi^*: hB$

$\rightarrow hB$  can be used to detect fixed points of  $\beta$ . This is clearly related to our theorem 2.1. It appears less general than 2.1 because 2.1 makes no acyclicity-assumption (but if  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is Vietoris and  $D_\varphi = D_g = E$  then  $t_g = (p^*)^{-1}$ ). On the other hand, it has a more general aspect than 2.1 because it doesn't assume an actual fibration (or  $\text{ENR}_B$ ), only a "cohomology fibration" (with "pointlike" fibres). This comparison suggests a common generalization, namely to general *cohomology fibrations*  $p: E \rightarrow B$  with suitable compactness and ANR-properties. The main step for such a program would be to construct transfer homomorphisms  $t_g: hE \rightarrow hB$  for proper (co-)homology fibrations. This is an interesting problem in itself but may involve a fair amount of technicalities; for some applications in coincidence theory it could perhaps be bypassed by directly generalizing 3.5 to cohomology fibrations.

(3.8) *Remarks.* If one is primarily interested in coincidence points of  $B \xleftarrow{p} E \xrightarrow{\varphi} B$  the methods of this paper can be of help but they are not entirely adequate, not even when generalized as suggested in 3.7. The point is that they are not going after  $\text{Coinc}(\varphi, p)$  itself, but rather after the intersection of  $\text{Coinc}(\varphi, p)$  with  $\text{Fix}(g)$ . It should be possible to measure  $\text{Coinc}(\varphi, p)$  itself, in terms of (co-)homology invariants. If  $B$  is manifold then one can use  $(\varphi, p)^*(\tau)$ , where  $\tau$  is the Thom-class of the diagonal of  $B \times B$ . For products  $E = Y \times B$ , or fibrations as in 3.5, one can define an invariant  $\kappa$  in  $\bigoplus_j (H^j Y \otimes H_j B)$ . It seems plausible that this can be adapted to rather general  $B \xleftarrow{p} E \xrightarrow{\varphi} B$ , at least if  $B$  is ENR. But one would expect the invariant to be hard to compute — harder than  $J(\varphi, p)$  anyway.

Instead of intersecting  $\text{Coinc}(\varphi, p)$  with sets of the form  $\text{Fix}(g)$  one could probably mimic this process on a (co-)homology level and intersect with other classes than those of the form  $\{\text{Fix}(g)\}$ . For instance, in the product case it would presumably amount to taking scalar-products of  $\kappa$  with elements in  $H_j Y \otimes H^j B$ . Again, one would expect that these numbers are harder to deal with than  $J(\varphi, g)$ . On the other hand, it seems quite possible that the traces  $\Lambda(h)$  in [5], or those of [6] could be obtained in this way.