

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 24 (1978)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** COINCIDENCE-FIXED-POINT INDEX  
**Autor:** Dold, Albrecht  
**Kapitel:** § 2. The Lefschetz trace formula for the c.f.p. index  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-49689>

#### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

#### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

#### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 18.01.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

§ 2. THE LEFSCHETZ TRACE FORMULA FOR THE C.F.P. INDEX

This reduces to the classical Lefschetz-Hopf theorem if  $B$  = a point, or if  $p: E \rightarrow B$ . Our assumptions in 2.1 are a little more restrictive than necessary, in order to facilitate the proof; a slight generalization is indicated in 2.8.

(2.1) THEOREM. *Let  $p: E \rightarrow B$  be an ENR<sub>B</sub>, where  $B$  is a compact ENR. Let  $g: D_g \rightarrow E$ ,  $\varphi: D_\varphi \rightarrow B$  denote maps as in 1.1 such that  $\text{Fix}(g)$  is compact, and  $D_\varphi \supset \text{Fix}(g)$ . Then the c.f.p. index of  $(g, \varphi)$  agrees with the Lefschetz trace of the composite  $hB \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \check{h} \text{Fix}(g) \xrightarrow{t} hB$ , or  $hB \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} hD \xrightarrow{t^D} hB$ , where  $t = t_g$  is the fixed-point transfer (cf. [2], § 3),  $D$  is any neighborhood of  $\text{Fix}(g)$  in  $D_\varphi$ ,  $h$  is singular and  $\check{h}$  is Čech-cohomology with coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}$  or  $\mathbf{Q}$ . In formulas,*

$$(2.2) \quad J(g, \varphi) = \text{tr}(\check{h} \circ \varphi) = \text{tr}(t_g^D \circ \varphi^*).$$

*Proof.* Using a vertical neighborhood retraction we can assume that  $E = \mathbf{R}^n \times B$ ; this is, in fact, what the definition 1.6-1.9 shows (if  $Y = \mathbf{R}^n$ ). Then  $g(y, b) = (\gamma(y, b), b)$ , where  $\gamma: D_g \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ , and  $J(g, \varphi) = I(\gamma, \varphi)$  as explained in 1.12. Furthermore, since  $B$  is ENR, we have  $\iota: B \subset U \subset \mathbf{R}^m$  and a retraction  $\rho: U \rightarrow B$ , where  $U$  is open in  $\mathbf{R}^m$ . We can then extend  $\varphi, \gamma, g$  to maps  $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{g}$  of open subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^n \times U \subset \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m$  by composing with  $\text{id} \times \rho: \mathbf{R}^n \times U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \times B$ . The fixed points of  $(\gamma, \varphi)$ ,  $(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\varphi})$  (and their index) are the same, by commutativity [1], VIII, 5.16 — since  $(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\varphi}) = (\text{id} \times \iota)(\gamma, \varphi)(\text{id} \times \rho)$ . Altogether (omitting the  $\sim$ ), we can assume that  $\varphi, \gamma, g$  are defined in open subsets  $D_\varphi, D_\gamma = D_g$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n \times U$ ,  $\varphi: D_\varphi \rightarrow B \subset U$ ,  $\gamma: D_g \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $D_\varphi \supset \text{Fix}(g)$ ,  $\text{Fix}(g)$  is (no longer compact but) proper over  $U$ ; in particular,  $K = \text{Fix}(g) \cap (p^{-1}B)$  is compact.

We now argue in a similar (although simpler) fashion as on p. 241 of [2]. We consider the following diagram (explanations below).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{R}_o^n \times \mathbf{R}_o^m & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & (X, X - K) \\
 \downarrow & \downarrow id \times j & \downarrow id \times d \\
 \mathbf{R}_o^n \times (U, U - B) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & (X, (X - \text{Fix}(g)) \cup (X - X_B)) \\
 & & \downarrow t_g \\
 & & \mathbf{R}_o^n \times (X, X - X_B) \xrightarrow{(g\gamma, id)} \mathbf{R}_o^n \times (U, U - B) \times B
 \end{array}$$

(2.3)

$\xrightarrow{(q\gamma, p\varphi)}$

Here,  $\mathbf{R}_o^n = (\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n - 0)$ ,  $X$  is an open neighborhood of  $\text{Fix}(g)$  in which  $\gamma$  and  $\varphi$  are defined,  $K = \text{Fix}(g) \cap (p^{-1}B)$ ,  $X_B = X \cap (p^{-1}B)$ ,  $q: X \subset \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is the projection,  $d(u, b) = u - b$ . The dotted arrows stand for sequences of inclusion maps (as in [2], 3.3); some of these go the wrong way but then they are homotopy equivalences or excisions, inducing isomorphisms in cohomology. For instance,  $\alpha$  stands for

$$\mathbf{R}_o^n \times \mathbf{R}_o^m = \mathbf{R}_o^{n+m} \sim (\mathbf{R}^{n+m}, \mathbf{R}^{n+m} - C) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{R}^{n+m}, \mathbf{R}^{n+m} - K) \xrightarrow{\text{EXC}} (X, X - K)$$

where  $C$  is a ball around 0, containing  $K$ . Similarly for  $j$  on the left.  $\beta$  is a relative version (compare [2], 3.7), namely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_o^n \times (U, U - B) &\sim (\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n - C') \times (U, U - B) \hookrightarrow \\ &(\mathbf{R}^n \times U, (\mathbf{R}^n \times U - \text{Fix}(g)) \cup (\mathbf{R}^n \times (U - B))) \xrightarrow{\text{EXC}} \\ &(X, (X - \text{Fix}(g)) \cup (X - X_B)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C'$  is a ball around  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $K \subset (C' \times B)$ . The lower  $t_g$  will be explained later.

The reader might want to follow the track of an element across the diagram 2.3; it looks as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (y, b) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (y, b) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (y - \gamma(y, b), b - \varphi(y, b)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ (y, b) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (y, b) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (y - \gamma(y, b), y, b) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (y - \gamma(y, b), b, \varphi(y, b)). \end{array}$$

We now apply cohomology  $h = H^*(-; \mathbf{Q})$  to the diagram 2.3. Let  $s^n \in h^n \mathbf{R}_o^n$  the canonical generator. Then  $s^n \times s^m$  generates  $h^{n+m}(\mathbf{R}_o^n \times \mathbf{R}_o^m)$ , and its image along the top row of 2.3 is  $I(g, \gamma) s^n \times s^m = J(g, \varphi) s^n \times s^m$ , by definitions [1], VII, 5.2, and 1.9 above.

The left part of the lower row (which is marked  $t_g$ ) induces the relative transfer (or trace) homomorphism  $t_g: h(X, X - X_B) \rightarrow h(U, U - B)$ , as defined in [2], 3.6-8. In formulas,

$$(2.4) \quad s^n \times \xi \ s^n \mapsto \times t_g^{X, Z}(\xi), \ Z = X - X_B.$$

Actually, [2], 3.8 is a little more general: it maps  $h(X, X - X_B)$  into  $h(U, \tilde{U})$ , where  $\tilde{U} \supset (U - B)$ ; we've composed [2], 3.8 with  $h(U, \tilde{U}) \rightarrow h(U, U - B)$ .

Using the Künneth-formula we can write

$$(2.5) \quad d^*s^m = \sum_v \alpha_v \times \beta_v, \text{ with } \alpha_v \in h(U, U-B), \beta_v \in hB.$$

Following  $\alpha_v \times \beta_v$  along the lower row of (2.3) gives

$$(2.6) \quad \alpha_v \times \beta_v \mapsto t_g(p^*\alpha_v \cup \varphi^*\beta_v) = \alpha_v \cup (t_g\varphi^*\beta_v),$$

the latter because  $t_g$  is a homomorphism of modules over  $h(U, U-B)$ , by the relative version of [2], 3.20.

If we define  $\kappa: h(U, U-B) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  by  $j^*(u) = \kappa(u) s^m$  (this corresponds to  $\gamma$  on p. 233, line 3<sup>-</sup> of [2]), then  $s^n \times \alpha_v \times \beta_v$  has image  $\kappa(\alpha_v \cup t_g\varphi^*\beta_v) s^n \times s^m$  in the upper left corner of 2.3. On the other hand  $\kappa(\alpha_v \cup t_g\varphi^*\beta_v)$  is the trace of the endomorphism

$$\xi \mapsto (-1)^{|\beta_v|} \beta_v \kappa(\alpha_v \cup t_g\varphi^*\xi), \quad \xi \in hB,$$

by [2], 6.7. It follows, that the image of  $d^*s^m = \sum_v s^n \times \alpha_v \times \beta_v$  in the upper left corner is  $s^n \times s^m$ -times the trace of

$$(2.7) \quad \xi \mapsto \sum_v (-1)^{|\beta_v|} \beta_v \kappa(\alpha_v \cup t_g\varphi^*\xi), \quad \xi \in hB,$$

and so  $J(g, \varphi) = \text{trace of 2.7.}$

It remains to show that 2.7 agrees with  $t_g^B \varphi_B^*$ , where we now add indices ( $B$ , or  $U$ ) to indicate the range of  $t_g$  resp. the domaine of  $\varphi^*$ . This will follow from [2], 6.16 which asserts (in greater generality) that  $\sum_v (-1)^{|\beta_v|} \beta_v \kappa(\alpha_v \cup \eta) = \iota^*\eta$ , for  $\eta \in hU$  and  $\iota^*: hU \rightarrow hB$ . Taking  $\eta = t_g^U \varphi_U^* \xi$  we see that 2.7 agrees with  $\xi \mapsto \iota^* t_g^U \varphi_U^* \xi = t_g^B \varphi_B^* \xi$ , the latter by naturality ([2], 3.12) of  $t_g$  applied to  $\iota$ .  $\square$

(2.8) *Remark.* The assumption in 2.1 that  $B$  be compact can be weakened: It suffices that for some compact subset  $R \subset B$  we have that  $\text{Fix}(g)_R = \text{Fix}(g) \cap (p^{-1}R)$  is compact, and

$$\text{im}(\varphi) \subset R, \quad D_\varphi \supset \text{Fix}(g)_R.$$

Then the composite  $\overset{\vee}{hR} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \overset{\vee}{h}(\text{Fix}(g)_R) \xrightarrow{t_g} \overset{\vee}{hR}$  is defined, has finite rank, and has Lefschetz trace equal to  $J(g, \varphi)$ .

Our proof of 2.1 can be adapted to this more general situation. *Or*, by arguments as in [2], 8.6, one can slightly increase  $R$  in  $B$ , and decrease  $D_\varphi$ , such that the increased  $R$  is a compact ENR, and over (the increased)  $R$  the assumptions of 2.1 are satisfied; then 2.1 will imply the more general result above.

### § 3. APPLICATIONS, PROBLEMS.

(3.1) Whether and how the trace formula 2.1 can be used depends mainly on one's knowledge of the transfer  $t_g$ . For instance, one knows that

- (i)  $t_g p^* = I(g_b) =$  multiplication with the Hopf-index of  $g_b$ :  $D_g \cap p^{-1}b \rightarrow p^{-1}b$  (in ordinary cohomology,  $B$  connected).
- (ii)  $t_g: hD_g \rightarrow hB$  is induced by a stable map of  $B^+$  into  $D_g^+$ ; in particular, it commutes with stable cohomology operations.
- (iii)  $t_g$  is itself given by a trace-formula if  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a bundle with compact fibres which are totally non-cohomologous to zero.

We shall now illustrate (cf. 3.2, 3.3, 3.5) how these properties can be used.

(3.2) Suppose  $\varphi$  is homotopic to  $\beta(p \mid D_\varphi)$ , for some  $\beta: B \rightarrow B$ . Then  $t_g \varphi^* = t_g p^* \beta^* = I(g_b) \beta^*$ , provided  $B$  is connected (cf. [2], 4.8). Therefore

$$J(g, \varphi) = \text{tr}(t_g \varphi^*) = I(g_b) \text{tr}(\beta^*) = I(g_b) I(\beta).$$

Geometrically, this result is very plausible: If  $\varphi = \beta_p$  then  $\text{Cinc}(\varphi, p)$  consists of all fibres  $D_\varphi \cap p^{-1}b$  with  $b \in \text{Fix}(\beta)$ . The “number” of these fibres is  $I(\beta)$ , and in every fibre the “number” of fixed points of  $g$  equals  $I(g_b)$ . — As the geometry suggests, the result holds under more general assumptions and can be proved directly from § 1 (it doesn't seriously use 2.1).

As an illustration, the reader might look at the case where  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is the tangent sphere-bundle of a compact Riemannian manifold  $B$ , and  $\varphi = \varphi_t: E \rightarrow B$ ,  $\varphi(x) = \exp(tx)$ , for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Clearly  $\varphi \simeq \varphi_0 = p$ , and  $\text{Cinc}(\varphi, p) = \emptyset$  if  $|t|$  is small enough,  $t \neq 0$ . Hence,  $0 = J(g, \varphi) = I(g_b) I(id_B) = I(g_b) \chi(B)$ , for all  $g$ . (For a direct proof of this result the reader should think of  $\text{Fix}(g) \subset E$  as a manifold such that  $p \mid \text{Fix}(g)$  has degree  $I(g_b)$ ).

(3.3) The definition [2], 3.3-4 shows that  $t_g$  is a composite of geometric homomorphisms (induced by continuous maps) and suspension isomor-