§ 1. THE COINCIDENCE-FIXED-POINT (C.F.P.) INDEX

(1.1) Let $p: E \to B$ denote a euclidean neighborhood retract over B (abbrev. ENR_B), where B, and hence E, is an ENR. Altogether this means that $p: E \to B$ embeds as a neighborhood retract into the projection $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^m$, for some m, n. We refer the reader to [2], §1, for the precise definitions but remark that every smooth submersion and every fibration (with base and total space ENR) qualifies for $p: E \to B$.

We consider continuous maps $g: D_g \to E$, $\varphi: D_\varphi \to B$, where D_g, D_φ are open subsets of E, and $pg = p \mid D_g$ (i.e., g is fibre-preserving). We let $\text{Fix}(g) = \{ x \in D_g \mid gx = x \}$ and $\text{Coinc}(\varphi, p) = \{ x \in D_\varphi \mid \varphi x = px \}$, and we *assume that* $\text{Fix}(g) \cap \text{Coinc}(\varphi, p)$ *is compact*. Under these circumstances we shall define an integer $J(g, \varphi) \in \mathbf{Z}$ which is akin to the Hopf fixed-point index. It "counts" the points in $\text{Fix}(g) \cap \text{Coinc}(\varphi, p)$ in a weighted and homotopy-invariant fashion. It *is* the Hopf index of g resp. φ if B is a single point resp. p is the identity map of B.

(1.2) By definition [2], 1.1 of an ENR_B, we have that E is a fibre-preserving neighborhood retract of some $\mathbf{R}^n \times B$. In fact, for the present purpose we can use any product $Y \times B$, i.e. we'll use mappings $E \xrightarrow{i} V \xrightarrow{r} E$ such that $V \subset Y \times B$ is open, $ri = id$, and i, r are maps over B. In formulas,

(1.3) $$ix = (i'x, px), \quad \text{where } i': E \to Y,$$

(1.4) $$pr(y, b) = b, \quad \text{for } (y, b) \in V,$$

(1.5) $$r(i'x, px) = x, \quad \text{for } x \in E.$$

Consider the following sequence of maps

(1.6) $$D_g \cap D_\varphi \xrightarrow{(g, \varphi)} E \times B \xrightarrow{i' \times id} Y \times B \supset V \xrightarrow{r} E.$$

Its composite $[g, \varphi]$ is defined in $D_V = (i'g, \varphi)^{-1} V$ which is an open subset of $(D_g \cap D_\varphi)$, and hence of E. Thus

(1.7) $$[g, \varphi]: D_V \to E, \quad [g, \varphi](x) = r(i'gx, \varphi x).$$

If $x \in D_g \cap \text{Coinc}(\varphi, p)$ then

$$(i'g, \varphi) x = (i'gx, px) = (i'gx, pgx) = igx \in V,$$

hence $[g, \varphi] x$ is defined and equals $rigx = gx$. It follows that $\text{Fix}(g) \cap \text{Coinc}(\varphi, p) \subset \text{Fix}[g, \varphi] = \{ x \in D_V \mid [g, \varphi] x = x \}$. Converseley, x

$= [g, \varphi] x$ implies $px = p [g, \varphi] x = pr (i'gx, \varphi x) = \varphi x$,   hence x $\in \text{Coinc} (\varphi, p)$, and $gx = [g, \varphi] x = x$. Altogether

(1.8) $$\text{Fix} (g) \cap \text{Coinc} (\varphi, p) = \text{Fix} [g, \varphi] .$$

In particular, $[g, \varphi] : D_V \to E$ has a compact fixed-point set, and we can assign to it its Hopf-index $I [g, \varphi] \in \mathbf{Z}$ — for instance as in [1], VII,5.10. Furthermore,

(1.9) PROPOSITION AND DEFINITION. *The Hopf-index $I [g, \varphi] \in \mathbf{Z}$ depends only on (g, φ), not on the choice of the neighborhood retraction i, r. We denote this integer by $J (g, \varphi)$, and call it the c.f.p.-index of (g, φ); thus $J (g, \varphi) = I [g, \varphi]$.*

Proof. Because the range B of the maps φ, p is ENR, these two maps are homotopic in a neighborhood of $\text{Coinc} (\varphi, p)$. In fact (cf. [1], IV,8.6), there is an open neighborhood U of $\text{Coinc} (\varphi, p)$ in D_φ, and a deformation $\vartheta_t : U \to B$, $0 \leqq t \leqq 1$, such that

(1.10) $\vartheta_0 = p \,|\, U, \vartheta_1 = \varphi \,|\, U, \vartheta_t x = px$ for $x \in \text{Coinc} (\varphi, p)$ and all t.

Consider then two neighborhood retractions

$$E \xrightarrow{\ i\ } V \xrightarrow{\ r\ } E , \quad V \subset Y \times B; \quad ix = (i'x, px) ,$$
$$E \xrightarrow{\ j\ } W \xrightarrow{\ s\ } E , \quad W \subset Z \times B; \quad jx = (j'x, px) ,$$

as above, and the corresponding maps $[g, \varphi]_1, [g, \varphi]_2$ as defined by 1.6. We have to show $I ([g, \varphi]_1) = I ([g, \varphi]_2)$. In order to do so we can (cf. [1], VII,5.11) restrict attention to an arbitrary open neighborhood N of $\text{Fix} ([g, \varphi]_i) = \text{Fix} (g) \cap \text{Coinc} (\varphi, p)$. And we shall show that $[g, \varphi]_i \,|\, N$ are homotopic $(i = 1, 2)$ without moving the fixed point set, provided N is sufficiently small. The homotopy is given by the formula

(1.11) $$\theta_t x = s \big(j'r (i'gx, \vartheta_t x) , \varphi x \big) .$$

This is defined for (x, t) such that $x \in D_g \cap U$, $v = (i'gx, \vartheta_t x) \in V$, and $w = (j'rv, \varphi x) \in W$; the set of all such (x, t) is an open subset D_θ of $E \times [0, 1]$. If $x \in \text{Fix} (g) \cap \text{Coinc} (\varphi, p)$ then

$$v = (i'gx, \vartheta_t x) = (i'x, px) = ix \in V , \text{ and } rv = x ,$$

hence

$$w = (j'rv, \varphi x) = (j'x, px) = jx \in W , \text{ and } \theta_t x = sw = x .$$

Therefore, $(\text{Fix}\,(g) \cap \text{Coinc}\,(\varphi, p)) \times [0, 1] \subset D_\theta$, and $(\text{Fix}\,(g) \cap \text{Coinc}\,(\varphi, p)) \subset \text{Fix}\,(\theta_t)$ for all t. It follows that

$$N = \{ x \in E \mid (x, t) \in D_\theta \text{ for all } t \}$$

is an open neighborhood of $\text{Fix}\,(g) \cap \text{Coinc}\,(\varphi, p)$ in which the deformation θ is defined (by 1.11).

Suppose now $x \in N$ is a fixed point of θ_t, thus $x = s\left(j'r\,(i'gx, \vartheta_t x), \varphi x\right)$. Apply p, using 1.4 for s, and get $px = \varphi x =$, hence $\vartheta_t x = px$ by 1.10, hence $r\,(i'gx, \vartheta_t x) = r\,(i'gx, px) = r\,(i'gx, pgx) = rigx = gx$, hence $x = \theta_t x = s\,(j'gx, px) = s\,(j'gx, pgx) = sjgx = gx$; altogether, $x \in \text{Coinc}\,(\varphi, p) \cap \text{Fix}\,(g)$. It follows that the fixed point set $\text{Fix}\,(\theta_t) = \text{Fix}\,(g) \cap \text{Coinc}\,(\varphi, p)$ for all t. In particular, $\cup_{t \in [0,1]} \text{Fix}\,(\theta_t)$ is compact, hence (cf. [1].VII,5.15) all θ_t have the same Hopf-index $I\,(\theta_t)$. But $r\,(i'gx, \vartheta_0 x) = r\,(i'gx, px) = r\,(i'gx, pgx) = gx$, hence $\theta_0 x = s\,(j'gx, \varphi x) = [g, \varphi]_2 x$. To calculate θ_1 we first remark that $p\,[g, \varphi]_1 x = \varphi x$, by 1.7 and 1.4; also $r\,(i'gx, \vartheta_1 x) = r\,(i'gx, \varphi x) = [g, \varphi]_1 x$, hence $\theta_1 x = s\,(j'\,[g, \varphi]_1 x, p\,[g, \varphi]_1 x = sj\,[g,\varphi]_1 x = [g, \varphi]_1 x$. $\square$

(1.12) *The product case* $E = F \times B$, $p = projection$. In this case $g : D_g \to F \times B$ has the form $g\,(y, b) = \left(\gamma\,(y, b), b\right)$ with $\gamma : D_g \to F$. The two maps (γ, φ) combine to a map $(\gamma, \varphi) : D \to F \times B$, where $D\,(= D_g \cap D_\varphi)$ is an open subset of $F \times B$, and $\text{Fix}\,(\gamma, \varphi) = \text{Fix}\,(g) \cap \text{Coinc}\,(\varphi, p)$. In order to obtain the c.f.p.-index $J\,(g, \varphi)$ one can use $Y = F$ and the neighborhood retraction $i = r = $ identity-map of $Y \times B$. The definition 1.9 then shows that

$$J\,(g, \varphi) = I\,(\gamma, \varphi)\,;$$

i.e. *in the product case the c.f.p.-index of* (g, φ) *is simply the Hopf-index of* $(y, b) \mapsto \left(\gamma\,(y, b), \varphi\,(y, b)\right)$.

The procedure 1.6-1.9 in the general case, on the other hand, can be considered as a reduction to the product case.

(1.13) *General properties of* $J\,(g, \varphi)$ follow from corresponding properties of the Hopf-index. For instance, $J\,(g, \varphi)$ is additive with respect to topological-sum decompositions of $\text{Fix}\,(g) \cap \text{Coinc}\,(g, \varphi)$, it is invariant under deformations such that $\underset{0 \leq t \leq 1}{\cup} \text{Fix}\,(g_t) \cap \text{Coinc}\,(\varphi_t, p)$ is compact, it depends only on the germ of (g, φ) around $\text{Fix}\,(g) \cap \text{Coinc}\,(\varphi, p)$ — in particular, $J\,(g, \varphi) = 0$ if $\text{Fix}\,(g) \cap \text{Coinc}\,(\varphi, p) = \varnothing$, etc. These details are left to the reader. Lefschetz-trace formulas for $J\,(g, \varphi)$ can be found in 2.1 and 3.5.