Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 24 (1978)

Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ON CAYLEY'S EXPLICIT SOLUTION TO PONCELET'S PORISM

Autor: Griffiths, Phillip / Harris, Joseph

Kapitel: 1. Points of finite order on elliptic curves

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-49688

1. Points of finite order on elliptic curves

Let E be an elliptic curve over the complex numbers with origin $\mathfrak{o}$. In practice E will have various realizations as an algebraic curve defined by polynomial equations in projective space; e.g., as a plane cubic, the intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbf{P}^3$, etc. All of these projective models are birationally isomorphic to the given curve E. It is well known that E admits a commutative group law with $\mathfrak{o}$ being the identity, and we are interested in the points p of finite order n defined by

$$np = \mathfrak{o}$$

where $np = p + \ldots + p$ (n times). Specifically, we pose the question of finding a projective model of E relative to which these points have a simple explicit description.

From a complex-analytic point of view we may realize E as the Riemann surface

$$E = \mathbf{C}/\Lambda$$

obtained by factoring the complex u-plane by a lattice Λ with $u = 0$ projecting onto the origin $\mathfrak{o}$; this is a consequence of Abel's theorem [1]). The group law on E is obtained from the additive structure on $\mathbf{C}$, and so if $u_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ projects onto $p \in E$ the finite order condition is

$$nu_0 \equiv 0 \text{ modulo } \Lambda \,. \tag{1}$$

In particular there are n^2 points of finite order n on E corresponding to the points of

$$\frac{1}{n}\Lambda.$$

Our problem may be generalized to that of giving projective meaning to the equation

$$u_1 + \ldots + u_n \equiv 0 \text{ modulo } \Lambda \,, \tag{2}$$

which specializes to (1) when the u_i tend together. Here again the basic step is the following variant of *Abel's theorem* [2]) *: Given* $u_i, v_i \in \mathbf{C}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$

[1]) This is the classical version of Abel's theorem used in [1]).

[2]) C.f. L. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill (New York), Exercise 2 on page 267. This may be thought of as providing a converse to the classical Abel's theorem.

there is an entire meromorphic function $f(u)$ *with period lattice* Λ *and having zeroes at* $u_i + \Lambda$ *and poles at* $v_i + \Lambda$ *if, and only if,*

$$u_1 + \dots + u_n \equiv v_1 + \dots + v_n \text{ modulo } \Lambda .$$

It follows that the vector space $H^0(\mathcal{O}_E([n\mathfrak{o}]))$ of rational functions on E having a pole of order at most n at $\mathfrak{o}$, or equivalently the entire meromorphic functions $f(u)$ which have period lattice Λ and a pole of order at most n at $u = 0$, has dimension n. If we choose a basis $f_1, \dots, f_n$ for this vector space, then for $n \geqq 3$ the mapping

$$F(u) = [f_1(u), \dots, f_n(u)]$$

induces a projective embedding

$$E \to \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$$

whose image is easily proved to be a smooth algebraic curve of degree n. Thus, for $n = 3$ we have a plane cubic, for $n = 4$ the intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbf{P}^3$, etc. In general we shall call the image the *normal elliptic curve of degree* n. According to Abel's theorem the hyperplane sections of this curve, which are just the zeroes of functions $f \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_E([n\mathfrak{o}]))$, are characterized by $u_1 + \dots + u_n \equiv 0$ modulo Λ. Put differently, the condition (2) is equivalent to

$$\det \| f_i(u_j) \| = 0 \tag{3}$$

expressing the failure of the points $F(u_1), \dots, F(u_n)$ to be in general position. If we denote by

$$WF(u) = \begin{vmatrix} f_1(u) & \dots & f_n(u) \\ f'_1(u) & & f'_n(u) \\ \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot \\ f_1^{(n-1)}(u) & \dots & f_n^{(n-1)}(u) \end{vmatrix}$$

the Wronskian of the functions $f_i(u)$, then by letting the u_i tend together the condition (3) specializes to the equation

$$WF(u) = 0 \tag{4}$$

characterizing the solutions to (1). Points satisfying (4) will be called *hyperflexes*, and what we have shown is that:

The points of order n *on an elliptic curve are precisely the hyperflexes of the normal elliptic curve of degree* n.

Now we observe that the equation (4) is independent of the selection of basis $\{f_i\}$ and local coordinate u on E. To see therefore whether or not a given point p is of finite order n we will make convenient choices. Namely, we may choose a basis $\{1, f(u)\}$ for $H^0(\mathcal{O}_E([2\mathfrak{o}]))$ such that $f(p) = 0$. In other words, the function f induces a 2-to-1 map

$$f : E \to \mathbf{P}^1 \tag{5}$$

with $p \in f^{-1}(0)$. It is well-known that the representation (5) has four branch points, one of which is the point at infinity with $f^{-1}(\infty) = \mathfrak{o}$. If we let x be the coordinate on $\mathbf{P}^1$ and a, b, c the finite branch points, then E is conformally represented as the Riemann surface of the algebraic function $\sqrt{(x-a)(x-b)(x-c)}$.

Put another way, the plane cubic curve with affine equation

$$y^2 = (x-a)(x-b)(x-c) \tag{6}$$

gives a projective model of E. Setting $x = f(u)$, since the holomorphic differential du is a constant multiple of dx/y it follows that, with a suitable normalization, $2y = f'(u) = \dfrac{df(u)}{du}$. Consequently the projective model (6) of E is given by the mapping $E \to \mathbf{P}^2$ associated to the basis $\{1, f(u), f'(u)\}$ of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_E([3\mathfrak{o}]))$. Of course, $f(u)$ and $f'(u)$ are essentially the Weierstrass functions. We recall that that their Laurent series around $u = 0$ are

$$\begin{cases} f(u) = \dfrac{1}{u^2} + \cdots \\ f'(u) = \dfrac{-2}{u^3} + \cdots \\ \quad\vdots \\ f^{(k)}(u) = \dfrac{(-1)^k (k+1)!}{u^{k+2}} + \cdots \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Returning to our question of whether $p \in f^{-1}(0)$ is of finite order n, we will use $x = f(u)$ as local coordinate around p and choose the functions

$$(8)\qquad \begin{cases} 1, x, \ldots, x^m; y, xy, \ldots, x^{m-1}y & n = 2m+1 \\ 1, x, \ldots, x^m; y, xy, \ldots, x^{m-2}y & n = 2m \end{cases}$$

as basis for $H^0(\mathcal{O}_E([n\mathfrak{o}]))$. That this choice gives a basis follows from the Laurent series (7). It is now an easy matter to express the Wronskian equation (4) at $x = 0$.

We consider the case $n = 2m + 1$ and let $\dfrac{dg(x)}{dx}$ be the derivative of $g(x)$ *evaluated at* $x = 0$. The choice of basis (8) facilitates the evaluation of the Wronskian. For example, from $\dfrac{d^k(x^l)}{dx^k} = 0$ for $k > l$ the Wronskian has the form

$$\left|\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & \ldots & 0 & \text{———} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \text{———} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ 0 & \ldots & m! & \text{———} \\ \hline 0 & \ldots & 0 & \text{———} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \text{———} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & \text{———} \end{array}\right|,$$

so that (4) is equivalent to

$$(9)\qquad \begin{vmatrix} \dfrac{d^{m+1}y}{dx^{m+1}} & \dfrac{d^{m+1}(xy)}{dx^{m+1}} & \cdots & \dfrac{d^{m+1}(x^{m-1}y)}{dx^{m+1}} \\ \dfrac{d^{m+2}y}{dx^{m+2}} & \dfrac{d^{m+2}(xy)}{dx^{m+2}} & \cdots & \dfrac{d^{m+2}(x^{m-1}y)}{dx^{m+2}} \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \dfrac{d^{2m}y}{dx^{2m}} & \dfrac{d^{2m}(xy)}{dx^{2m}} & \cdots & \dfrac{d^{2m}(x^{m-1}y)}{dx^{2m}} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

If the series expansion of $y(x)$ is

$$y(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k x^k ,$$

then (9) is

$$\begin{vmatrix} (m+1)!\ A_{m+1} & (m+1)!\ A_m & \dots & (m+1)!\ A_2 \\ (m+2)!\ A_{m+2} & (m+2)!\ A_{m+1} & \dots & (m+2)!A_3 \\ \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot \\ (2m)!\ A_{2m} & (2m)!\ A_{2m-1} & \dots & (2m)!\ A_{m+1} \end{vmatrix} = 0 .$$

In summary we have proved

(10) *Let* E *be an elliptic curve with origin* $\mathfrak{o}$ *and* $p \in E$ *a given point. Then* p *is of finite order* $n \Leftrightarrow$ *the following condition is satisfied: Choose rational functions* x, y *on* E *having poles of respective orders* 2, 3 *at* $\mathfrak{o}$ *but which are regular elsewhere and with* $x(p) = 0$. *Then there is an equation* $y^2 = (x-a)(x-b)(x-c)$ *where* a, b, c *are distinct and non-zero, and we write*

$$y = \sqrt{(x-a)(x-b)(x-c)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k x^k .$$

The finite order condition is

$$\begin{vmatrix} A_2 & A_3 & \dots & A_{m+1} \\ A_3 & A_4 & \dots & A_{m+2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ A_{m+1} & A_{m+2} & \dots & A_{2m} \end{vmatrix} = 0 , \quad n = 2m+1$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} A_3 & A_4 & \dots & A_{m+1} \\ A_4 & A_5 & \dots & A_{m+2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ A_{m+1} & A_{m+2} & \dots & A_{2m} \end{vmatrix} = 0 , \quad n = 2n .$$