

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 24 (1978)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** INVARIANTS OF FINITE REFLECTION GROUPS  
**Autor:** Flatto, Leopold  
**Kapitel:** 4. Solomon's Theorem  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-49704>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 29.04.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

#### 4. SOLOMON'S THEOREM

We present in this section another method for determining the degrees of the basic invariants, valid whenever the underlying field  $k$  has characteristic 0.

**THEOREM 3.14** (Solomon [18]). *Let  $G$  be a finite reflection group acting on the  $n$ -dimensional space  $V$ . Let  $g_r =$  number of elements of  $G$  which fix some  $r$ -dimensional subspace of  $V$  but do not fix a subspace of higher dimension. Let  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  be the degrees of the basic homogeneous invariants of  $G$  and set  $m_j = d_j - 1$ . Then*

$$(3.27) \quad (t + m_1) \dots (t + m_n) = g_0 + g_1 t + \dots + g_n t^n$$

Equating the  $t^{n-1}$ -coefficients of both sides of (3.27), we obtain  $g_1 = r = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$ . Setting  $t = 1$  in (3.27), we obtain  $\prod_{i=1}^n (m_i + 1) = \sum_{i=0}^n g_i = |G|$ . Thus Theorem 3.14 generalizes Theorem 2.2.

To prove Theorem 3.14, we obtain an analog of Molien's formula for the invariant differential forms of  $G$ . We digress to a brief discussion of differential forms.

For  $p > 0$ , let  $\omega = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} r_{i_1 \dots i_p}(x) dx_{i_1} \dots dx_{i_p}$ , where  $r_{i_1 \dots i_p}(x) \in k(x)$ , the summation extending over all integer  $p$ -tuples satisfying  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq n$ .  $\omega$  is called a differential  $p$ -form (or simply  $p$ -form). The elements of  $k(x)$  are called the 0-forms. If  $\eta = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} s_{i_1 \dots i_p}(x) dx_{i_1} \dots dx_{i_p}$  is another  $p$ -form, then we define

$$\omega + \eta = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} (r_{i_1 \dots i_p} + s_{i_1 \dots i_p}) dx_{i_1} \dots dx_{i_p}.$$

Thus the  $p$ -forms constitute a vector space over  $k(x)$  which we denote by  $\mathcal{D}_p$ . The elements  $dx_{i_1} \dots dx_{i_p}$  form a basis for  $\mathcal{D}_p$ , so that  $\dim \mathcal{D}_p = \binom{n}{p}$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq n$ . We also define a multiplication between two forms as follows. Let  $dx_i dx_j = -dx_j dx_i$ ; in particular  $dx_i dx_i = 0$ . The product  $\omega\eta$  of any two forms  $\omega, \eta$  is then obtained by the distributive law. We observe that for 1-forms,  $\omega\eta = -\eta\omega$ , so that  $\omega\omega = 0$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{D}_p = 0$  for  $p > n$ . Finally, for any rational function  $r$ , we define the 1-form  $dr$  to be

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i} dx_i.$$

It is then readily checked that for  $n$  rational functions,  $r_1, \dots, r_n$ , we have

$$dr_1 \dots dr_n = \frac{\partial (r_1, \dots, r_n)}{\partial (x_1, \dots, x_n)} dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

Let  $\sigma$  be a non-singular matrix with entries in  $k$ . We define

$$\sigma \omega = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} r_{i_1 \dots i_p} (\sigma^{-1}x) dx_{i_1} (\sigma^{-1}x) \dots dx_{i_p} (\sigma^{-1}x)$$

Thus  $\sigma$  becomes a linear transformation on each  $\mathcal{D}_p$ , interpreting the latter as a vector space over  $k$ . Let  $k^n$  be the space of  $n$ -tuples with entries in  $k$ . If  $G$  is a group of linear transformations acting on  $k^n$ , then  $\omega$  is said to be invariant under  $G$  provided  $\sigma\omega = \omega, \forall \sigma \in G$ .

We shall prove Theorem 3.14 describing the invariant differential forms with polynomial coefficients.  $G$  is assumed throughout to be a finite reflection group acting on  $k^n$ .

LEMMA 3.4. Let  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  be basic homogeneous invariants for  $G$ . Let

$$\Pi(x) = \frac{\partial (I_1, \dots, I_n)}{\partial (x_1, \dots, x_n)}.$$

The polynomial  $p(x)$  satisfies  $\sigma p = (\det \sigma) p$ , for every  $\sigma \in G$  (in which case, we say  $p$  is skew) iff  $p = \Pi i$  where  $i$  is a polynomial invariant under  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y = \sigma x$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.28) \quad \Pi(x) &= \frac{\partial (I_1(y), \dots, I_n(y))}{\partial (x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ &= \frac{\partial (I_1(y), \dots, I_n(y))}{\partial (y_1, \dots, y_n)} \det \sigma = \Pi(\sigma x) \det \sigma \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\Pi$  is skew. Hence  $\Pi i$  is skew for every invariant polynomial  $i$ .

Conversely, let  $p(x)$  be skew. Let  $\pi$  be an r.h. of  $G$  with equation  $L(x) = 0$ . By Lemma 2.2, we may choose  $v \notin \pi$ , so that  $v$  is a common eigenvector to all reflections in  $G$  with r.h.  $\pi$ . Choose  $x = Ty$ ,  $\det T \neq 0$ , so that in the  $y$  coordinates the equation of  $\pi$  becomes  $y_n = 0$  and  $v$  becomes  $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$ . Let  $q(y) = p(Ty)$ . Let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $G$  which fixes  $\pi$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $H$  is a cyclic group. Let  $\sigma$  generate  $H$  and  $h = \text{ord } H$ . If  $\zeta$  is the eigenvalue of  $\sigma$  which is a primitive  $h$ -th root of 1, then

$q(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, \zeta y_n) = \zeta^{-1} q(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Writing  $q = \sum q_i y_n^i$ , the  $q_i$ 's being polynomials in  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}$ , we obtain

$$(3.29) \quad \sum q_i \zeta^{i+1} y_n^i = \sum q_i y_n^i$$

Equating coefficients in (3.29), we conclude  $q_i = 0$  whenever  $h \nmid i+1$ . Thus  $q_i = 0$  for  $i < h-1 \Rightarrow y_n^{h-1} | q \Rightarrow L^{h-1} | p$ . Repeating this argument for all r.h.'s of  $G$  and using Theorem 2.5, we conclude that  $P = \Pi i$ , where  $i$  is a polynomial.  $\sigma i = \sigma P / \sigma \Pi = \frac{P}{\Pi} = i$  shows that  $i$  is invariant under  $G$ .

LEMMA 3.5. Let  $\sigma$  be a non-singular matrix with entries in  $k$ . Let  $r \in k(x)$ . Then  $\sigma(dr) = d(\sigma r)$ .

*Proof.* By definition

$$(3.30) \quad \sigma(dr) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i}(\sigma^{-1}x) dx_i(\sigma^{-1}x), \quad d(\sigma r) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(r(\sigma^{-1}x)) dx_i$$

$$\text{Let } \sigma^{-1} = (a_{ij}). \text{ Then } x_i(\sigma^{-1}x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \text{ and } \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j}(\sigma^{-1}x) = a_{ij}.$$

Hence

$$(3.31) \quad dx_i(\sigma^{-1}x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} dx_j$$

Applying the chain rule,

$$(3.32) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(r(\sigma^{-1}x)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_j}(\sigma^{-1}x) a_{ji}$$

Inserting (3.31), (3.32) into (3.30), we get  $\sigma(dr) = d(\sigma r)$ .

THEOREM 3.15. Every invariant  $p$ -form with polynomial coefficients may be expressed uniquely as

$$\sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} a_{i_1 \dots i_p} dI_{i_1} \dots dI_{i_p}, \quad a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \in k[I_1, \dots, I_n].$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.5,  $\sigma(dI_k) = dI_k$ , so that  $dI_1, \dots, dI_n$  are invariant forms. Since  $\sigma(\omega\eta) = \sigma(\omega)\sigma(\eta)$  for any two forms  $\omega, \eta$ , we conclude that

$$\sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} a_{i_1 \dots i_p} dI_{i_1} \dots dI_{i_p} \text{ is invariant whenever } a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \in k(I_1, \dots, I_n).$$

We show that the  $\binom{n}{p}$  forms  $dI_{i_1} \dots dI_{i_p}$  are linearly independent over  $k(x)$ , so that they form a basis for  $\mathcal{D}_p$  over  $k(x)$ . Suppose that

$$\sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} k_{i_1 \dots i_p} dI_{i_1} \dots dI_{i_p} = 0, \quad k_{i_1 \dots i_p} \in k(x).$$

Multiply this relation by  $dI_{i_{p+1}} \dots dI_{i_n}$ , where  $i_{p+1}, \dots, i_n$  are the indices complementary to  $i_1, \dots, i_p$ . We obtain

$$k_{i_1 \dots i_p} dI_1 \dots dI_n = k_{i_1 \dots i_p} \Pi(x) dx_1 \dots dx_n = 0 \Rightarrow k_{i_1 \dots i_p} = 0$$

for all  $i_1, \dots, i_p$ . Hence the  $\binom{n}{p}$  forms  $dI_{i_1} \dots dI_{i_p}$  are linearly independent over  $k(x)$ . It follows that every  $p$ -form  $\omega$  may be expressed uniquely as

$$\omega = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} a_{i_1 \dots i_p} dI_{i_1} \dots dI_{i_p}, \quad a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \in k(x).$$

If  $\omega$  is invariant, then the group averaging argument shows that  $a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \in k(I_1, \dots, I_n)$ . Multiply both sides of the above relation by  $dI_{i_{p+1}} \dots dI_{i_n}$ . We get

$$(3.33) \quad \omega dI_{i_{p+1}} \dots dI_{i_n} = \pm \Pi a_{i_1 \dots i_p} dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

Let  $\omega$  be a  $p$ -form with polynomial coefficients. We conclude from (3.33) that  $\Pi a_{i_1 \dots i_p}$  is a polynomial. Since  $\Pi a_{i_1 \dots i_p}$  is skew, Lemma 3.4 implies that  $\Pi a_{i_1 \dots i_p} = \Pi i$ ,  $i$  being an invariant polynomial. Hence  $a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \in k[I_1, \dots, I_n]$  for all  $i_1, \dots, i_p$ , thus proving Theorem 3.11.

**THEOREM 3.16.** *Let  $\sigma_p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be the  $p$ -th elementary symmetric function in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  ( $\sigma_0$  is interpreted to be 1). Let  $\omega_1(\gamma), \dots, \omega_n(\gamma)$  be the eigenvalues of  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in G$ . Then*

$$(3.34) \quad \frac{\sigma_p(t^{m_1}, \dots, t^{m_n})}{(1-t^{m_1+1}) \dots (1-t^{m_n+1})} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \frac{\sigma_p(\omega_1(\gamma), \dots, \omega_n(\gamma))}{(1-\omega_1(\gamma)t) \dots (1-\omega_n(\gamma)t)}, \quad 0 \leq p \leq n$$

**REMARK.** For  $p = 0$ , the above becomes formula (2.5) of Chapter II.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{D}_{pm}$  = space of  $p$ -forms whose coefficients are homogeneous polynomials of degree  $m$ .  $\mathcal{D}_{pm}$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $k$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}_{pm}$  = space of invariant forms in  $\mathcal{D}_{pm}$  and  $d_{pm} = \dim \mathcal{I}_{pm}$ . For  $0 \leq p \leq n$ , let  $p_p(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_{pm} t^m$ . We obtain two formulas for  $p_p(t)$  by computing  $d_{pm}$  in two different ways. By Theorem 3.15, the differentials

$$I_1^{k_1} \dots I_n^{k_n} dI_{i_1} \dots dI_{i_p}, \quad m = k_1(m_1+1) \dots + k_n(m_n+1) + m_{i_1} + \dots + m_{i_p},$$

form a basis for  $\mathcal{J}_{pm}$ , so that

$$(3.35) \quad p_p(t) = \frac{\sigma_p(t^{m_1}, \dots, t^{m_n})}{(1-t^{m_1+1}) \dots (1-t^{m_n+1})}$$

Let  $\tilde{k}$  = algebraic closure of  $k$ . Define  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{pm}, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{pm}$ , analogously to  $\mathcal{D}_{pm}, \mathcal{J}_{pm}$ , replacing  $k$  by  $\tilde{k}$ . For  $\gamma \in G$ ,  $\gamma$  acts both on  $\mathcal{D}_{pm}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{pm}$ . Let  $(\text{Tr } \gamma)_{pm}$  = trace of  $\gamma$  as a transformation on  $\mathcal{D}_{pm}$  = trace of  $\gamma$  as a transformation on  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{pm}$ . By Lemma 1.2

$$(3.36) \quad d_{pm} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\gamma \in G} (\text{Tr } \gamma)_{pm}$$

Choose  $T$  so that  $T \sigma T^{-1} = D$ ,  $D$  being diagonal with diagonal entries  $\omega_1(\gamma), \dots, \omega_n(\gamma)$ . The elements  $x^a dx_{i_1} \dots dx_{i_p}$ ,  $|a| = m$  and  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq n$ , form a basis for  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{pm}$ . Since

$$(3.37) \quad D(x^a dx_{i_1} \dots dx_{i_p}) = [\omega(\gamma^{-1})]^a \omega_{i_1}(\gamma^{-1}) \dots \omega_{i_p}(\gamma^{-1}),$$

we have

$$(3.38) \quad (\text{Tr} D)_{pm} = \sum_{|a|=m} [\omega(\gamma^{-1})]^m \sigma_p(\omega(\gamma^{-1}))$$

(3.36), (3.38) yield

$$(3.39) \quad d_{pm} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \sum_{|a|=m} [\omega(\gamma)]^a \sigma_p[\omega(\gamma)]$$

so that

$$(3.40) \quad p_p(t) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r \in G} \sum_{|a|=m} [\omega(\gamma)]^a \sigma_p(\omega(\gamma)) t^m \\ = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \frac{\sigma_p(\omega(\gamma))}{(1-\omega_1(\gamma)t) \dots (1-\omega_n(\gamma)t)}$$

(3.34) follows from (3.35) and (3.40).

We derive from (3.34) the following identity.

**THEOREM 3.17.** For  $1 \leq p \leq n$ ,

$$(3.41) \quad \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} \frac{t^{mi_1 + \dots + mi_p}}{(1-t^{mi_1+1}) \dots (1-t^{mi_p+1})} \\ = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} \frac{\omega_{i_1}(\gamma) \dots \omega_{i_p}(\gamma)}{(1-\omega_{i_1}(\gamma)t) \dots (1-\omega_{i_p}(\gamma)t)}$$

*Proof.* One verifies readily, for  $1 \leq p \leq n$ , the identity

$$(3.42) \quad \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} \frac{u_{i_1} \dots u_{i_p}}{(1 - u_{i_1} t) \dots (1 - u_{i_p} t)} \\ = \frac{h_{p1}(t) \sigma_1(u_1, \dots, u_n) + \dots + h_{pn}(t) \sigma_n(u_1, \dots, u_n)}{(1 - u_1 t) \dots (1 - u_n t)}$$

the  $u_i$ 's being indeterminates and the  $h_{pi}$ 's being polynomials in  $t$ . Substitute for  $u_i$ ,  $\omega_i(\gamma)$  and average over the group. By Theorem 3.16, the group average becomes expression (3.42),  $u_i$  being replaced by  $t^{m_i}$ , thus proving (3.41).

We can now provide the

*Proof of Theorem 3.14.* Expand both sides of (3.41) in powers of  $1 - t$  and equate the coefficients of  $(1 - t)^{-p}$ . For the left side this coefficient is

$$\sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} \frac{1}{(m_{i_1} + 1) \dots (m_{i_p} + 1)}$$

Let  $\gamma$  be an element which fixes an  $r$  dimensional subspace, but does not fix a higher dimensional subspace. This means that precisely  $r$  of the eigenvalues of  $\gamma$  equal 1.  $\gamma$  contributes to the coefficient of  $(1 - t)^{-p}$  on the right side of (3.41) iff  $r \geq p$ , the contribution being  $\binom{r}{p}$ . It follows that for the right side, the  $(1 - t)^{-p}$  coefficient is  $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{r}{p} g_r$ . Since  $\prod_{i=1}^n (m_i + 1) = |G|$ , we conclude that

$$(3.43) \quad \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{r}{p} g_r = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{n-p}} (m_{i_1} + 1) \dots (m_{i_{n-p}} + 1), \quad 1 \leq p \leq n$$

Note that for  $p = 0$ , (3.43) becomes  $|G| = (m_1 + 1) \dots (m_n + 1)$ . Hence (3.43) also holds for  $p = 0$ .

The left and right side of (3.43) equal respectively  $\frac{1}{p!}$  ( $p$ -th derivative at  $t = 1$ ) of  $g_0 + \dots + g_n t^n, (t + m_1) \dots (t + m_n)$ . Thus  $(t + m_1) \dots (t + m_n) = g_0 + \dots + g_n t^n$ .