Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 23 (1977)

Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: REPRESENTATION OF COMPLETELY CONVEX FUNCTIONS BY THE EXTREME-POINT METHOD

Autor: Berg, Christian

Kapitel: 1. Completely convex functions

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-48925

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 09.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

1. Completely convex functions

Let I denote an open interval. A function $f : I \to \mathbf{R}$ is called *completely convex*, if it is C^∞ and $(-1)^k f^{(2k)} \geqq 0$ on I for $k \geqq 0$.

The set of completely convex functions is a convex cone denoted $W = W(I)$. We always equip W with the topology of pointwise convergence, i.e., with the topology induced by the product space $\mathbf{R}^I$.

Lemma 1.1. *If I is unbounded $W(I)$ consists of the non-negative affine functions, and $W(\mathbf{R})$ consists of the non-negative constants.*

Proof. Assume first that $\inf I = -\infty$. Then every $f \in W$ is decreasing since it is non-negative and concave. For $k \geqq 0$ and $f \in W$ we have $(-1)^k f^{(2k)} \in W$ and consequently $(-1)^k f^{(2k+1)} \leqq 0$. This shows that also $-f' \in W$ and then $-f'' \leqq 0$, but by definition $f'' \leqq 0$ and therefore f is affine.

The case $\sup I = \infty$ is treated in a similar manner. Finally, every non-negative concave function on $\mathbf{R}$ is constant.

Remark. Completely convex sequences are non-negative and affine. For a sequence $a = (a_0, a_1, \ldots)$ of real numbers we define Δa to be the sequence $(\Delta a)_n = a_{n+1} - a_n, n \geqq 0$, and $\Delta^k a$ is defined as $\Delta(\Delta^{k-1} a)$ for $k \geqq 1$, where $\Delta^0 a = a$. A sequence a is called *completely convex* if $(-1)^k \Delta^{2k} a \geqq 0$ for $k \geqq 0$. The same method as in Lemma 1.1 leads to the conclusion that every completely convex sequence satisfies $\Delta a \geqq 0$ and $\Delta^2 a = 0$. The completely convex sequences are therefore exactly the sequences $a_n = \alpha n + \beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \geqq 0$.

This is an answer to a remark by Boas [1]: "Nothing seems to be known about completely convex sequences".

In the following we will always assume that I is bounded, and for the sake of convenience we choose I to be $I =]0, 1[$. We simply write W for $W(]0, 1[)$. For $f \in W$ we have $-f'' \in W$ and $f^* \in W$, where f^* is defined by $f^*(x) = f(1-x)$. The mapping $f \mapsto f^*$ is an affine isomorphism of W onto itself.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $f :]0, 1[\to \mathbf{R}$ be non-negative and concave. Then the following holds:*

(i) $f(x) \leqq 2f(\tfrac{1}{2})$ *for* $x \in]0, 1[$.

(ii) $f(x) \geqq \dfrac{1}{\pi} f(x_0) \sin(\pi x)$ *for* $x, x_0 \in]0, 1[$.

(iii) ([6], Lemma 7.1) *If there exists* $x_0 \in]0, 1[$ *and* $a > 0$ *such that* $f(x_0) < a \sin(\pi x_0)$ *then* $f(x) \leqq a\pi$ *for* $x \in]0, 1[$.

Proof. (i). For $x \in]0, \frac{1}{2}]$ we have that $f(x)$ lies below the line through $(\frac{1}{2}, f(\frac{1}{2}))$ and $(1, 0)$ and (i) follows for $x \in]0, \frac{1}{2}]$. The interval $[\frac{1}{2}, 1[$ is treated similarly.

(ii). Let $x_0 \in]0, 1[$. For $x \in]0, x_0]$ we have

$$f(x) \geqq \frac{f(x_0)}{x_0} x \geqq f(x_0)\, x \geqq \frac{f(x_0)}{\pi} \sin(\pi x),$$

and for $x \in [x_0, 1[$ we have

$$f(x) \geqq \frac{f(x_0)(1-x)}{1-x_0} \geqq f(x_0)(1-x) \geqq \frac{f(x_0)}{\pi} \sin \pi(1-x) = \frac{f(x_0)}{\pi} \sin(\pi x).$$

(iii). If $f(x_0) > a\pi$ the inequality (ii) implies that $f(x) > a \sin(\pi x)$ for $x \in]0, 1[$.

Since every $f \in W$ can be extended to an entire holomorphic function all derivatives of f have finite limits at 0 and 1. This can also be established in an elementary way from the property $(-1)^k f^{(2k)} \geqq 0$ for $k \geqq 0$. We will therefore freely use $f^{(k)}(x)$ for $x = 0, 1$ as the limit of $f^{(k)}(x)$ at these points.

LEMMA 1.3. *The cone W is a closed and metrizable subset of* $\mathbf{R}^I$.

Proof. The set of concave functions $f : I \to \mathbf{R}$ is a closed and metrizable subset of $\mathbf{R}^I$, and therefore it suffices to prove that the pointwise limit f of a sequence (f_n) from W belongs to W.

It follows by Lemma 1.2 (i) that there exists a constant A such that $f_n \leqq A$ for all n [1]). The dominated convergence theorem then shows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 f_n(x)\, \varphi(x)\, dx = \int_0^1 f(x)\, \varphi(x)\, dx$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}(]0, 1[)$, so (f_n) converges to f weakly in the distribution sense. Therefore $(-1)^k f^{(2k)} \geqq 0$ for all $k \geqq 0$ in the distribution sense, and this implies that f is C^∞ and hence $f \in W$.

---

[1]) In fact, $A = 2 \sup f_n(\frac{1}{2})$ can be used. It is finite because $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(\frac{1}{2})$ exists.