

<b>Zeitschrift:</b>	L'Enseignement Mathématique
<b>Herausgeber:</b>	Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
<b>Band:</b>	23 (1977)
<b>Heft:</b>	1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE
<b>Artikel:</b>	REPRESENTATION OF COMPLETELY CONVEX FUNCTIONS BY THE EXTREME-POINT METHOD
<b>Autor:</b>	Berg, Christian
<b>DOI:</b>	<a href="https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-48925">https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-48925</a>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 15.01.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# REPRESENTATION OF COMPLETELY CONVEX FUNCTIONS BY THE EXTREME-POINT METHOD

by CHRISTIAN BERG

## 0. INTRODUCTION

A function  $f : ]0, 1[ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is called completely convex, if it is  $C^\infty$  and  $(-1)^k f^{(2k)} \geq 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . A completely convex function  $f$  is called minimal if  $f(x) - a \sin(\pi x)$  is not completely convex for any number  $a > 0$ . Widder showed (cf. [5]) that a completely convex function can be extended to an entire holomorphic function, and in the paper [6] he proved that a minimal completely convex function can be expanded in a Lidstone series. This indicates that the Lidstone polynomials lie on the extreme rays of the cone  $W$  of completely convex functions.

The purpose of the present paper is to treat the completely convex functions by the extreme-point method and to obtain the expansion in Lidstone series as a special case of the Choquet representation theorem.

We will proceed as follows: In the topology of point-wise convergence the set  $W$  of completely convex functions is a closed, metrizable convex cone. We prove directly that the extreme rays of  $W$  are generated by certain polynomials — essentially the Lidstone polynomials — and the function  $\sin(\pi x)$ . The occurrence of the extreme ray generated by  $\sin(\pi x)$  is related to the fact that only minimal completely convex functions can be expanded in Lidstone series.

The cone  $W$  has a compact convex base  $B$ , and the extreme points of  $B$  are determined. It turns out that  $B$  is a Bauer simplex, i.e.  $B$  is a simplex and the extreme points form a closed set.

The author wants to acknowledge Widder's paper [6] as a source of inspiration. The reason for writing this paper is that we felt it natural to investigate the cone  $W$  by the extreme-point method.

Recently Mugler [2] showed that real part of the holomorphic extension of  $f \in W$  to the strip  $\operatorname{Re} z \in ]0, 1[$  is completely convex on each segment  $\{x + iy \mid 0 < x < 1\}$ . We give a very short proof of this result.

## 1. COMPLETELY CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Let  $I$  denote an open interval. A function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is called *completely convex*, if it is  $C^\infty$  and  $(-1)^k f^{(2k)} \geq 0$  on  $I$  for  $k \geq 0$ .

The set of completely convex functions is a convex cone denoted  $W = W(I)$ . We always equip  $W$  with the topology of pointwise convergence, i.e., with the topology induced by the product space  $\mathbf{R}^I$ .

LEMMA 1.1. *If  $I$  is unbounded  $W(I)$  consists of the non-negative affine functions, and  $W(\mathbf{R})$  consists of the non-negative constants.*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\inf I = -\infty$ . Then every  $f \in W$  is decreasing since it is non-negative and concave. For  $k \geq 0$  and  $f \in W$  we have  $(-1)^k f^{(2k)} \in W$  and consequently  $(-1)^k f^{(2k+1)} \leq 0$ . This shows that also  $-f' \in W$  and then  $-f'' \leq 0$ , but by definition  $f'' \leq 0$  and therefore  $f$  is affine.

The case  $\sup I = \infty$  is treated in a similar manner. Finally, every non-negative concave function on  $\mathbf{R}$  is constant.

*Remark.* Completely convex sequences are non-negative and affine.

For a sequence  $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$  of real numbers we define  $\Delta a$  to be the sequence  $(\Delta a)_n = a_{n+1} - a_n, n \geq 0$ , and  $\Delta^k a$  is defined as  $\Delta(\Delta^{k-1} a)$  for  $k \geq 1$ , where  $\Delta^0 a = a$ . A sequence  $a$  is called *completely convex* if  $(-1)^k \Delta^{2k} a \geq 0$  for  $k \geq 0$ . The same method as in Lemma 1.1 leads to the conclusion that every completely convex sequence satisfies  $\Delta a \geq 0$  and  $\Delta^2 a = 0$ . The completely convex sequences are therefore exactly the sequences  $a_n = \alpha n + \beta$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ .

This is an answer to a remark by Boas [1]: “Nothing seems to be known about completely convex sequences”.

In the following we will always assume that  $I$  is bounded, and for the sake of convenience we choose  $I$  to be  $I = ]0, 1[$ . We simply write  $W$  for  $W(]0, 1[)$ . For  $f \in W$  we have  $-f'' \in W$  and  $f^* \in W$ , where  $f^*$  is defined by  $f^*(x) = f(1-x)$ . The mapping  $f \mapsto f^*$  is an affine isomorphism of  $W$  onto itself.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let  $f : ]0, 1[ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be non-negative and concave. Then the following holds :*

$$(i) \quad f(x) \leq 2f(\tfrac{1}{2}) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in ]0, 1[ .$$

$$(ii) \quad f(x) \geq \frac{1}{\pi} f(x_0) \sin(\pi x) \quad \text{for} \quad x, x_0 \in ]0, 1[ .$$

(iii) ([6], Lemma 7.1) *If there exists  $x_0 \in ]0, 1[$  and  $a > 0$  such that  $f(x_0) < a \sin(\pi x_0)$  then  $f(x) \leq a\pi$  for  $x \in ]0, 1[$ .*

*Proof.* (i). For  $x \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}]$  we have that  $f(x)$  lies below the line through  $(\frac{1}{2}, f(\frac{1}{2}))$  and  $(1, 0)$  and (i) follows for  $x \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . The interval  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1[$  is treated similarly.

(ii). Let  $x_0 \in ]0, 1[$ . For  $x \in ]0, x_0]$  we have

$$f(x) \geq \frac{f(x_0)}{x_0} x \geq f(x_0) x \geq \frac{f(x_0)}{\pi} \sin(\pi x),$$

and for  $x \in [x_0, 1[$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\geq \frac{f(x_0)(1-x)}{1-x_0} \geq f(x_0)(1-x) \geq \frac{f(x_0)}{\pi} \sin \pi(1-x) = \\ &\frac{f(x_0)}{\pi} \sin(\pi x). \end{aligned}$$

(iii). If  $f(x_0) > a\pi$  the inequality (ii) implies that  $f(x) > a \sin(\pi x)$  for  $x \in ]0, 1[$ .

Since every  $f \in W$  can be extended to an entire holomorphic function all derivatives of  $f$  have finite limits at 0 and 1. This can also be established in an elementary way from the property  $(-1)^k f^{(2k)} \geq 0$  for  $k \geq 0$ . We will therefore freely use  $f^{(k)}(x)$  for  $x = 0, 1$  as the limit of  $f^{(k)}(x)$  at these points.

LEMMA 1.3. *The cone  $W$  is a closed and metrizable subset of  $\mathbf{R}^I$ .*

*Proof.* The set of concave functions  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a closed and metrizable subset of  $\mathbf{R}^I$ , and therefore it suffices to prove that the pointwise limit  $f$  of a sequence  $(f_n)$  from  $W$  belongs to  $W$ .

It follows by Lemma 1.2 (i) that there exists a constant  $A$  such that  $f_n \leq A$  for all  $n$ <sup>1)</sup>. The dominated convergence theorem then shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_n(x) \varphi(x) dx = \int_0^1 f(x) \varphi(x) dx$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}([0, 1])$ , so  $(f_n)$  converges to  $f$  weakly in the distribution sense. Therefore  $(-1)^k f^{(2k)} \geq 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$  in the distribution sense, and this implies that  $f$  is  $C^\infty$  and hence  $f \in W$ .

<sup>1)</sup> In fact,  $A = 2 \sup f_n(\frac{1}{2})$  can be used. It is finite because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\frac{1}{2})$  exists.

## 2. DETERMINATION OF THE EXTREME RAYS OF $W$

Inspired by [6] we consider the Green's function

$$G(x, t) = \begin{cases} (1-x)t & \text{for } 0 \leq t < x \leq 1, \\ (1-t)x & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

If  $\varphi$  is a continuous function on  $[0, 1]$  the unique solution  $f \in C([0, 1]) \cap C^2([0, 1])$  to the equations

$$(2.1) \quad f'' = -\varphi \text{ in } ]0, 1[ , \quad f(0) = f(1) = 0$$

is

$$(2.2) \quad f(x) = \int_0^1 G(x, t) \varphi(t) dt.$$

The successive iterates of  $G$  are defined for  $x, t \in [0, 1]$  by the equations

$$G_1(x, t) = G(x, t)$$

$$G_n(x, t) = \int_0^1 G(x, y) G_{n-1}(y, t) dy, \quad n \geq 2.$$

It is clear that  $G_n(x, t) \geq 0$  for  $x, t \in [0, 1]$ .

We define recursively a sequence of polynomials  $(A_n)_{n \geq 0}$ <sup>1)</sup> by the requirement

$$(2.3) \quad A_0(x) = x, \quad A_n'' = -A_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad A_n(0) = A_n(1) = 0 \\ \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

The polynomial  $A_n$  is of degree  $(2n + 1)$ , and we clearly have

$$(2.4) \quad A_n(x) = \int_0^1 G(x, t) A_{n-1}(t) dt = \int_0^1 G_n(x, t) t dt \quad \text{for} \\ n \geq 1, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

It follows that  $A_n \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1]$  for all  $n$ , and since  $(-1)^k A_n^{(2k)} = A_{n-k}$  for  $k \leq n$  we see that  $A_n \in W$ .

We recall that a ray  $\mathbf{R}_+ x$  of a cone  $C$  is called *extreme*, if an equation  $x = f + g$  with  $f, g \in C$  is possible only if  $f, g \in \mathbf{R}_+ x$ , cf. [3].

<sup>1)</sup> Our terminology is different from that of [6];  $(-1)^n A_n$  is equal to the  $n$ 'th Lidstone polynomial of [4] and [6].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The polynomials  $\Lambda_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , lie on extreme rays of  $W$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\Lambda_0 = f + g$  with  $f, g \in W$  we have  $0 = f'' + g''$ , but since  $f''$  and  $g''$  are both  $\leq 0$ , we conclude that  $f$  and  $g$  are affine. Furthermore, since  $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ , we conclude that  $f$  and  $g$  are proportional to  $\Lambda_0$ .

Suppose now that  $\Lambda_{n-1}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , lies on an extreme ray of  $W$ , and assume that  $\Lambda_n = f + g$  where  $f, g \in W$ . Then  $\Lambda_{n-1} = -f'' + (-g'')$ , and the induction hypothesis implies that  $-f''$  and  $-g''$  are proportional to  $\Lambda_{n-1}$ . Therefore we have  $f = \lambda \Lambda_n(x) + ax + b$  for certain numbers  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $a, b$ . Since  $0 \leq f \leq \Lambda_n$ , we have  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$  which implies that  $a = b = 0$ . This proves that  $f$  (and similarly  $g$ ) are proportional to  $\Lambda_n$  which then lies on an extreme ray of  $W$ .

Since  $f \mapsto f^*$  is an affine isomorphism of  $W$  the polynomials  $\Lambda_n^*$  also lie on extreme rays of  $W$ . The following result is a special case of [6], Theorem 1.1.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Every function  $f \in W$  can for  $n \geq 1$  be written as*

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} ((-1)^k f^{(2k)}(0) \Lambda_k^*(x) + (-1)^k f^{(2k)}(1) \Lambda_k(x)) + R_n(x),$$

where

$$R_n(x) = \int_0^1 G_n(x, t) (-1)^n f^{(2n)}(t) dt \in W.$$

*Proof.* For  $n = 1$  the formula is equivalent with

$$(2.5) \quad f(x) - f(0)(1-x) - f(1)x = R_1(x) = - \int_0^1 G(x, t) f''(t) dt,$$

which follows directly from (2.2), and it is clear that  $R_1 \in W$ .

Suppose now the formula holds for some  $n \geq 1$ . Applying (2.5) to  $(-1)^n f^{(2n)} \in W$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n f^{(2n)}(x) &= (-1)^n f^{(2n)}(0) \Lambda_0^*(x) + (-1)^n f^{(2n)}(1) \Lambda_0(x) \\ &+ \int_0^1 G(x, t) (-1)^{n+1} f^{(2n+2)}(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which substituted in the expression for  $R_n$  yields the formula for  $n+1$  because of (2.4).

To see that  $R_n \in W$  we notice that

$$(-1)^k R_n^{(2k)}(x) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 G_{n-k}(x, t) (-1)^n f^{(2n)}(t) dt & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ (-1)^k f^{(2k)}(x) & \text{for } k \geq n. \end{cases}$$

The following lemma is easy to establish, but instead of giving the proof here we refer to [6].

LEMMA 2.3. *There exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that*

$$0 \leq \int_0^1 G_n(x, t) dt \leq \frac{M}{\pi^{2n}} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1, n \geq 1.$$

PROPOSITION 2.4. *The only functions  $f \in W$  satisfying  $f^{(2k)}(0) = f^{(2k)}(1) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$  are  $f(x) = a \sin(\pi x)$  with  $a \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f \in W$  satisfies  $f^{(2k)}(0) = f^{(2k)}(1) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Defining  $a = \sup \{ \alpha \geq 0 \mid f - \alpha \sin(\pi x) \in W \}$ ,  $g = f - a \sin(\pi x)$  belongs to  $W$  because  $W$  is closed in  $\mathbf{R}^I$ . Furthermore

$$g^{(2k)}(0) = g^{(2k)}(1) = 0 \text{ for all } k \geq 0.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $\varphi = g - \varepsilon \sin(\pi x) \notin W$ , there exist  $k \geq 0$  and  $x_0 \in ]0, 1[$  such that  $(-1)^k \varphi^{(2k)}(x_0) < 0$ , hence

$$(-1)^k g^{(2k)}(x_0) < \varepsilon \pi^{2k} \sin(\pi x_0).$$

By Lemma 1.2 (iii) applied to  $(-1)^k g^{(2k)}$  we get

$$(-1)^k g^{(2k)}(t) \leq \varepsilon \pi^{2k+1} \quad \text{for } 0 < t < 1,$$

and therefore by Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 for  $0 < x < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \int_0^1 G_k(x, t) (-1)^k g^{(2k)}(t) dt \leq \varepsilon \pi^{2k+1} \int_0^1 G_k(x, t) dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon M \pi. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $g$  is identically zero.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *The extreme rays of  $W$  are precisely the rays generated by  $A_n$  and  $A_{n'}^*$ , where  $n \geq 0$ , and  $\sin(\pi x)$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that  $\sin(\pi x)$  lies on an extreme ray. If  $\sin(\pi x) = f + g$  where  $f, g \in W$ , we have  $f(0) = f(1) = g(0) = g(1) = 0$ . Differentiating  $2k$  times we similarly get  $f^{(2k)}(0) = f^{(2k)}(1) = g^{(2k)}(0) = g^{(2k)}(1) = 0$ , and it follows by Proposition 2.4 that  $f$  and  $g$  are proportional to  $\sin(\pi x)$ .

We finally have to show that an arbitrary extreme ray is generated by one of the above functions.

Assume that  $f \in W$  generates an extreme ray. If  $f^{(2k)}(0) = f^{(2k)}(1) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$  we already know by Proposition 2.4 that  $f$  is proportional to  $\sin(\pi x)$ . Otherwise let  $n$  be the smallest number  $\geq 0$  for which  $f^{(2n)}(0) \neq 0$  or  $f^{(2n)}(1) \neq 0$ . By Proposition 2.2 we then have

$$f(x) = (-1)^n f^{(2n)}(0) A_n^*(x) + (-1)^n f^{(2n)}(1) A_n(x) + R_{n+1}(x),$$

but since  $f$  lies on an extreme ray all three terms on the right-hand side lie on this ray.

If  $f^{(2n)}(0) \neq 0$  this shows that  $(-1)^n f^{(2n)}(1) A_n$  and  $R_{n+1}$  are proportional to  $A_n^*$ . Therefore  $f^{(2n)}(1) = 0$  and  $R_{n+1}^{(2n+2)} = f^{(2n+2)}$  is proportional to  $(A_n^*)^{(2n+2)} = 0$ , so that  $f^{(2n+2)} = 0$  and hence  $R_{n+1} = 0$  (cf. Proposition 2.2).

If  $f^{(2n)}(1) \neq 0$  we similarly get  $f^{(2n)}(0) = 0$  and  $R_{n+1} = 0$ . This shows that  $f$  lies on the ray generated by either  $A_n^*$  or  $A_n$ .

### 3. DETERMINATION OF A BASE FOR $W$

There are several ways of determining a base for  $W$ . We choose the following set

$$B = \left\{ f \in W \mid \int_0^1 f(x) \sin(\pi x) dx = 1 \right\}.$$

By Lemma 1.2 (ii) we get for  $f \in B$  and  $x_0 \in ]0, 1[$  that

$$1 \geq \frac{1}{\pi} f(x_0) \int_0^1 \sin^2(\pi x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} f(x_0),$$

so the functions in  $B$  are uniformly bounded by  $2\pi$ .

It is therefore clear that  $B$  is a compact convex base for  $W$ .

The extreme points of  $B$  are exactly the intersections between  $B$  and the extreme rays of  $W$ . We see that  $2 \sin(\pi x) \in B$ .

We claim that the following formulas hold, cf. [4]:

$$(3.1) \quad A_n^*(x) = \frac{2}{\pi^{2n+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(k\pi x)}{k^{2n+1}}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad x \in ]0, 1[,$$

$$(3.2) \quad A_n(x) = \frac{2}{\pi^{2n+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\sin(k\pi x)}{k^{2n+1}}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad x \in ]0, 1[.$$

Formula (3.2) follows immediately from (3.1). For  $n = 0$  (3.1) is the familiar formula

$$\frac{\pi}{2}(1-x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(k\pi x)}{k}, \quad 0 < x < 1.$$

Suppose that (3.1) holds for  $n$  replaced by  $n - 1$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Denoting the right-hand side of (3.1) by  $f_n$ , we have  $f_n(0) = f_n(1) = 0$  and

$$f_n''(x) = -\frac{2}{\pi^{2n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(k\pi x)}{k^{2n-1}}.$$

which is equal to  $-\Lambda_{n-1}^*$  by the induction hypothesis. It follows by (2.3) that  $f_n = \Lambda_n^*$ , and (3.1) is proved. From (3.1) and (3.2) it follows that  $\pi^{2n+1}\Lambda_n$  and  $\pi^{2n+1}\Lambda_n^* \in B$ . We also get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi^{2n+1}\Lambda_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi^{2n+1}\Lambda_n^*(x) = 2 \sin(\pi x)$ . We have now established the following result:

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *The set  $B$  is a compact convex base for  $W$  and the extreme points of  $B$  are  $2 \sin(\pi x)$ ,  $\pi^{2n+1}\Lambda_n^*(x)$ ,  $\pi^{2n+1}\Lambda_n(x)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , which form a closed subset of  $B$ .*

By  $l_+^1$  we denote the set of sequences  $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of non-negative numbers such that  $\sum_0^{\infty} \alpha_n < \infty$ .

By the Choquet representation theorem or just by the Krein-Milman theorem we get the following, cf. [3]:

**THEOREM 3.2.** *For every  $f \in W$  there exist  $a \geq 0$  and sequences  $(\alpha_n)$ ,  $(\beta_n) \in l_+^1$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad f(x) = 2a \sin(\pi x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \pi^{2n+1} \Lambda_n^*(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \pi^{2n+1} \Lambda_n(x); \quad 0 < x < 1.$$

The functions in  $B$  are uniformly bounded by  $2\pi$ , and therefore the series (3.1) is uniformly convergent.

If we differentiate the series in (3.1) two times and change sign we get the series

$$\pi^2 \left( 2a \sin(\pi x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+1} \pi^{2n+1} \Lambda_n^*(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n+1} \pi^{2n+1} \Lambda_n(x) \right),$$

which also converges uniformly on  $]0, 1[$  because  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n+1} < \infty$ .

It follows that the following formula holds:

$$(3.2) \quad (-1)^k f^{(2k)}(x) = \pi^{2k} \left( 2a \sin(\pi x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+k} \pi^{2n+1} A_n^*(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n+k} \pi^{2n+1} A_n(x) \right)$$

for  $0 < x < 1$ ,  $k \geq 0$  and furthermore

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha_k = \pi^{-2k-1} (-1)^k f^{(2k)}(0), \quad \beta_k = \pi^{-2k-1} (-1)^k f^{(2k)}(1)$$

for  $k \geq 0$ .

This proves that the sequences  $(\alpha_n)$ ,  $(\beta_n)$  and hence also  $a$  are uniquely determined by  $f$ . We have thus shown that  $B$  is a simplex. The extreme points of  $B$  form a closed subset of  $B$  as remarked in Proposition 3.1 so we can formulate the following

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *The base  $B$  for  $W$  is a Bauer simplex.*

Whittaker proved in [4] that the series in (3.1) in fact converges uniformly over arbitrary compact subsets of the complex plane. This also proves that  $f$  can be extended to an entire holomorphic function which we also call  $f$ . For  $x \in ]0, 1[$  and  $y \in \mathbf{R}$  we then have

$$f(x + iy) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f^{(k)}(x) \frac{(iy)^k}{k!},$$

hence

$$\operatorname{Re} f(x + iy) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k f^{(2k)}(x) \frac{y^{2k}}{(2k)!},$$

which shows that  $x \mapsto \operatorname{Re} f(x + iy)$  belongs to  $W$  for all  $y \in \mathbf{R}$ , as sum of the functions

$$x \mapsto (-1)^k f^{(2k)}(x) \frac{y^{2k}}{(2k)!}$$

which all belong to the closed cone  $W$ .

This gives a short proof of the recent result of Mugler [2].

REFERENCES

- [1] BOAS, Jr., R. P. Signs of derivatives and analytic behavior. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 78 (1971), 1085-1093.
- [2] MUGLER, D. H. Completely convex and positive harmonic functions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 6 (1975), 681-688.
- [3] PHELPS, R. R. *Lectures on Choquet's theorem*. Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1966.
- [4] WHITTAKER, J. M. On Lidstone's series and two-point expansions of analytic functions. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 36 (1934), 451-469.
- [5] WIDDER, D. V. *The Laplace transform*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1941.
- [6] —— Completely convex functions and Lidstone series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 51 (1942), 387-398.

(Reçu le 26 avril 1977)

Christian Berg

Matematisk Institut  
Universitetsparken 5  
2100 København Ø  
Danmark