

# **§4. Variation of superpositions of smooth functions**

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **23 (1977)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **29.04.2024**

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

tinuous functions  $\{f_i^*(t)\}$ , defined on the sets  $\{q_i(G^*)\}$  and satisfying the conditions

$$8) \quad f(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(x, y) f_i^*(q_i(x, y)) \text{ for all } (x, y) \in G^*;$$

$$9) \quad \max_i \max_{t \in q_i(G^*)} |f_i^*(t)| \geq K \max_{(x, y) \in G^*} |f(x, y)|.$$

Denote by  $F_{\lambda\varepsilon} = F_{\lambda\varepsilon}(G^*, \{p_i\}, \{q_i\})$  the set of superpositions  $f(x, y) \in F(G^*, \{p_i\}, \{q_i\})$  such that  $\max_{(x, y) \in G^*} |f(x, y)| \leq \lambda\varepsilon$ . By Theorem 5.2.1 and (8), (9), there exist constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that if  $\omega(\delta) \leq (\lambda AK)^{-1}$  then  $H_{\varepsilon, \delta}(F_{\lambda\varepsilon}) \leq B(\lambda K)^2/\delta$ . Hence the functional dimension

$$r(F_{\lambda\varepsilon}(G^*, \{p_i\}, \{q_i\})) \leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log_2 \log_2 \frac{B(\lambda K)^2}{\delta}}{\log_2 \delta} = 1$$

This proves the theorem.

From Theorem 5.3.1 and the properties of functional dimension (§ 1) we have the following result, which is a stronger form of Theorem 4.6.1.

**COROLLARY 5.3.1.** *For any continuous functions  $\{p_i(x, y)\}$  and continuously differentiable functions  $\{q_i(x, y)\}$  and every region  $D$  the set of linear superpositions  $F(D, \{p_i\}, \{q_i\})$  is nowhere dense in any space of functions that has in every region  $G \subset D$  functional “dimension” greater than 1.*

*Remark 5.3.1.* All the results about linear superpositions of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^N p_i(x, y) f_i(q_i(x, y))$  remain valid if we assume that  $\{f_i(t)\}$  are arbitrary bounded measurable functions.

#### § 4. Variation of superpositions of smooth functions

Let  $G_n$  be a closed region of the space of the variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). A function  $F(x) = F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is called a superposition of order  $s$  generated by the functions of  $k$  ( $k > 1$ ) variables

$$f_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) \quad (\alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s; \beta_i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$$

if it is defined in  $G$  by relations

where  $\gamma(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{s+1})$  is a function of the indices  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{s+1}$  and takes one of the values  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . As before, we assume that the functions  $\{\varphi_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)\}$  are defined for all values of the arguments.

A superposition of any order, generated by functions of one variable, is again a function of one variable. Therefore in this case ( $k = 1$ ) we consider superpositions of functions of one variable and the operation of addition, that is, superpositions definable in the following way.

A function  $F(x) = F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  ( $n > 1$ ) is called a superposition of order  $s$  of the functions  $f_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(t)$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s$ ;  $\beta_i = 1, 2$ ) if the following relations are satisfied:

where  $\gamma(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{s+1})$  takes one of the values  $1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Note that we can represent as superpositions of the form (VII), for example, all rational functions of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  since we can write any arithmetic operation by such superpositions, for example,  $u \cdot v = e^{\ln u + \ln v} = f(f_1(u) + f_2(v))$ .

Let  $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be a superposition of order  $s$  of the continuously differentiable functions  $\{f_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)\}$  and  $\tilde{F}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  the superposition of the same form of the continuously differentiable functions  $\{\tilde{f}_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)\}$ . We put

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha} &= \tilde{f}_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha} - f_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha} \quad (\alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s; \quad \beta_i = 1, 2, \dots, k) \\ \mu &= \max_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^k \sup_t \left| \frac{\partial f_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(t_1, \dots, t_k)}{\partial t_i} \right|, \\ \varepsilon &= \max_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha} \sup_t \left| \varphi_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) \right|\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5.4.1. *The inequality*

$$\sup_{x \in G} |\tilde{F}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \leq A(\mu, s) \varepsilon.$$

holds, where the constant  $A(\mu, s)$  depends only on  $\mu$  and  $s$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $s$ . For definiteness suppose that  $k < 1$ . Having verified the statement of the lemma for  $s = 1$  and having made an appropriate inductive assumption for superpositions of order  $s - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in G} & |\tilde{F}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \\ & \leq |f(\tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_k) - f(q_1, \dots, q_k)| + |\varphi(\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2, \dots, \tilde{q}_k)| \\ & \leq \mu \max_{\beta_1} \sup_{x \in G} |\tilde{q}_{\beta_1} - q_{\beta_1}| + \varepsilon \leq \mu \cdot A(\mu, s-1) \varepsilon + \varepsilon = A(\mu, s) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(the last by the inductive assumption). This proves the lemma.

Further, let  $\omega(\delta)$  be the common modulus of continuity of all the functions  $\left\{ \frac{\partial f_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(t_1, \dots, t_k)}{\partial t_i} \right\}$  and, in addition, put

$$\varepsilon' = \max_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^k \sup_t \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(t_1, \dots, t_k)}{\partial t_i} \right|$$

LEMMA 5.4.2. *We have (for case  $k > 1$ )*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha} p_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &\times \varphi_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha, 1}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha, k}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\ &+ R(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|R(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \leq B(\mu, s, k) [\varepsilon' + \omega(A(\mu, s) \varepsilon)] \varepsilon,$$

$$p_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{\partial f_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_i}}{\partial q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{i+1}}}$$

(for  $\alpha=0$   $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \equiv 1$ ),

$B(\mu, s, k)$  is a constant depending only on  $\mu, s, k$ . For  $k = 1$  the corresponding equation is slightly different (see Chapter I, (III)):

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha} p_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \varphi_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha, 1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\quad + q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha, 2}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) + R(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* As in the preceding lemma we proceed by induction on  $s$ . Again for definiteness we limit ourselves to the case  $k > 1$ . For  $s = 1$  the assertion of the lemma is easily verified. We assume that it is true for superpositions of order  $s - 1$ . By Lemma 5.4.1, for superpositions of order  $s$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= f(\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2, \dots, \tilde{q}_k) - f(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k) \\ &\quad + \varphi(\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2, \dots, \tilde{q}_k) = \varphi(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k) + \sum_{\beta_1=1}^k \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_{\beta_1}} (\tilde{q}_{\beta_1} - q_{\beta_1}) \\ &\quad + A(\mu, s) \varepsilon' \cdot \varepsilon + k \cdot A(\mu, s) \omega(A(\mu, s) \varepsilon) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{q}_{\beta_1}$  and  $q_{\beta_1}$  ( $\beta_1 = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) are superpositions of order  $s - 1$ , by the inductive hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_{\beta_1} - q_{\beta_1} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha > 0 \\ \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_\alpha}} \hat{p}_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &\times \varphi_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha, 1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \dots, q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha, k}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) \\ &+ \hat{R}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{R}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| &\leq B(\mu, s - 1, k) [\varepsilon' + \omega(A(\mu, s - 1) \varepsilon)] \varepsilon, \\ \hat{p}_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha-1} \frac{\partial f_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_i}}{\partial q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{i+1}}} \end{aligned}$$

(for  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\hat{p}_{\beta_1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv 1$ ).

When we now substitute the expressions for the differences  $\tilde{q}_{\beta_1} - q_{\beta_1}$  in the formula for  $\tilde{F} - F$  above, we obtain the required representation of the difference of two superpositions  $\tilde{F} - F$ . This proves the lemma.