

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 23 (1977)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ON REPRESENTATION OF FUNCTIONS BY MEANS OF SUPERPOSITIONS AND RELATED TOPICS
Autor: Vitushkin, A. G.
Kapitel: §4. Variation of superpositions of smooth functions
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-48931>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 17.04.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

tinuous functions $\{f_i^*(t)\}$, defined on the sets $\{q_i(G^*)\}$ and satisfying the conditions

$$8) \quad f(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(x, y) f_i^*(q_i(x, y)) \text{ for all } (x, y) \in G^* ;$$

$$9) \quad \max_i \max_{t \in q_i(G^*)} |f_i^*(t)| \geq K \max_{(x, y) \in G^*} |f(x, y)| .$$

Denote by $F_{\lambda\varepsilon} = F_{\lambda\varepsilon}(G^*, \{p_i\}, \{q_i\})$ the set of superpositions $f(x, y) \in F(G^*, \{p_i\}, \{q_i\})$ such that $\max_{(x, y) \in G^*} |f(x, y)| \leq \lambda\varepsilon$. By Theorem 5.2.1

and (8), (9), there exist constants A and B such that if $\omega(\delta) \leq (\lambda AK)^{-1}$ then $H_{\varepsilon, \delta}(F_{\lambda\varepsilon}) \leq B(\lambda K)^2/\delta$. Hence the functional dimension

$$r(F_i(G^*, \{p_i\}, \{q_i\})) \leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log_2 \log_2 \frac{B(\lambda K)^2}{\delta}}{\log_2 \delta} = 1$$

This proves the theorem.

From Theorem 5.3.1 and the properties of functional dimension (§ 1) we have the following result, which is a stronger form of Theorem 4.6.1.

COROLLARY 5.3.1. *For any continuous functions $\{p_i(x, y)\}$ and continuously differentiable functions $\{q_i(x, y)\}$ and every region D the set of linear superpositions $F(D, \{p_i\}, \{q_i\})$ is nowhere dense in any space of functions that has in every region $G \subset D$ functional "dimension" greater than 1.*

Remark 5.3.1. All the results about linear superpositions of the form $\sum_{i=1}^N p_i(x, y) f_i(q_i(x, y))$ remain valid if we assume that $\{f_i(t)\}$ are arbitrary bounded measurable functions.

§ 4. Variation of superpositions of smooth functions

Let G_n be a closed region of the space of the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n ($n \geq 2$). A function $F(x) = F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is called a superposition of order s generated by the functions of k ($k > 1$) variables

$$f_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) \quad (\alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s; \beta_i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$$

if it is defined in G by relations

LEMMA 5.4.1. *The inequality*

$$\sup_{x \in G} \left| \tilde{F}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \right| \leq A(\mu, s) \varepsilon.$$

holds, where the constant $A(\mu, s)$ depends only on μ and s .

Proof. We proceed by induction on s . For definiteness suppose that $k < 1$. Having verified the statement of the lemma for $s = 1$ and having made an appropriate inductive assumption for superpositions of order $s - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in G} \left| \tilde{F}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \right| \\ \leq \left| f(\tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_k) - f(q_1, \dots, q_k) \right| + \left| \varphi(\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2, \dots, \tilde{q}_k) \right| \\ \leq \mu \max_{\beta_1} \sup_{x \in G} \left| \tilde{q}_{\beta_1} - q_{\beta_1} \right| + \varepsilon \leq \mu \cdot A(\mu, s-1) \varepsilon + \varepsilon = A(\mu, s) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(the last by the inductive assumption). This proves the lemma.

Further, let $\omega(\delta)$ be the common modulus of continuity of all the functions $\left\{ \frac{\partial f_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(t_1, \dots, t_k)}{\partial t_i} \right\}$ and, in addition, put

$$\varepsilon' = \max_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^k \sup_t \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(t_1, \dots, t_k)}{\partial t_i} \right|$$

LEMMA 5.4.2. *We have (for case $k > 1$)*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha} p_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ \times \varphi_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha, 1}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha, k}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\ + R(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left| R(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \right| \leq B(\mu, s, k) [\varepsilon' + \omega(A(\mu, s) \varepsilon)] \varepsilon,$$

$$p_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{\partial f_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_i}}{\partial q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{i+1}}}$$

(for $\alpha=0$ $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \equiv 1$),

$B(\mu, s, k)$ is a constant depending only on μ, s, k . For $k = 1$ the corresponding equation is slightly different (see Chapter I, (III)):

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ = & \sum_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha} p_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \varphi_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha, 1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ & + q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha, 2}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) + R(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As in the preceding lemma we proceed by induction on s . Again for definiteness we limit ourselves to the case $k > 1$. For $s = 1$ the assertion of the lemma is easily verified. We assume that it is true for superpositions of order $s - 1$. By Lemma 5.4.1, for superpositions of order s we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= f(\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2, \dots, \tilde{q}_k) - f(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k) \\ &+ \varphi(\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2, \dots, \tilde{q}_k) - \varphi(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k) + \sum_{\beta_1=1}^k \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_{\beta_1}}(\tilde{q}_{\beta_1} - q_{\beta_1}) \\ &+ A(\mu, s) \varepsilon' \cdot \varepsilon + k \cdot A(\mu, s) \omega(A(\mu, s) \varepsilon) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since \tilde{q}_{β_1} and q_{β_1} ($\beta_1 = 1, 2, \dots, k$) are superpositions of order $s - 1$, by the inductive hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_{\beta_1} - q_{\beta_1} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha > 0 \\ \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_\alpha}} \hat{p}_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &\times \varphi_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha, 1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \dots, q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha, k}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) \\ &+ \hat{R}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{R}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| &\leq B(\mu, s - 1, k) [\varepsilon' + \omega(A(\mu, s - 1) \varepsilon)] \varepsilon, \\ \hat{p}_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha-1} \frac{\partial f_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_i}}{\partial q_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{i+1}}} \end{aligned}$$

(for $\alpha = 1$, $\hat{p}_{\beta_1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv 1$).

When we now substitute the expressions for the differences $\tilde{q}_{\beta_1} - q_{\beta_1}$ in the formula for $\tilde{F} - F$ above, we obtain the required representation of the difference of two superpositions $\tilde{F} - F$. This proves the lemma.