

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 22 (1976)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** FINITE GEOMETRIES IN THE THEORY OF THETA CHARACTERISTICS  
**Autor:** Rivano, Neantro Saavedra  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-48185>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 22.01.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# FINITE GEOMETRIES IN THE THEORY OF THETA CHARACTERISTICS

by Neantro SAAVEDRA RIVANO

## INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to call attention upon the existence of a very simple "finite geometry" on the set of either odd or even theta characteristics (on an algebraic curve), and to develop on some of its properties and related concepts. In particular, this finite geometry allows one to place in a general context the classical theory of the 28 bitangents to a plane quartic (cf. Weber [6]).

Part I of the paper recalls the several interpretations and definitions of theta characteristics, and contains some examples to motivate the abstract developments in Part II. In this later part, the finite geometry is defined and its properties discussed. The main result is theorem II 2.6. Proposition II 4.4 is also of important practical value.

It is my feeling that the finite geometries will be of help in studying such problems as: relations between theta functions, filtrations in the space of moduli of level two structures over curves of a given genus, degeneration of algebraic curves. A sequel to this paper should contain applications to these subjects.

I am heavily indebted to Herbert Clemens for his continuous support during the preparation of this work, and also to Pierre Cartier for several helpful conversations. Moreover, I owe thanks to the Institute for Advanced Study for a very opportune grant, to Colombia University for its hospitality and to the Guggenheim Foundation for financial support.

## I. THETA CHARACTERISTICS ON AN ALGEBRAIC CURVE

### § 0 REVIEW: QUADRATIC FORMS IN CHARACTERISTIC 2

In this section, a number of well-known results on quadratic forms in characteristic two are recalled.

0.1 *Alternate forms.* Let  $J$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $e: J \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  a non-degenerate, alternate, bilinear form. Recall that non-degenerate means that  $e$  makes  $J$  its own dual, i.e. that the induced mapping  $J \rightarrow J^*$  is a bijection; alternate signifies that the equation

$$e(x, x) = 0$$

is valid throughout  $J$ . It can then be proved that there exists a basis  $x_1, \dots, x_g, x'_1, \dots, x'_g$  for  $J$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} e(x_i, x_j) &= e(x'_i, x'_j) = 0. \\ e(x_i, x'_j) &= \delta_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

in particular that the dimension of  $J$  is even. Such a basis is called a *symplectic basis* for  $(J, e)$ . The *symplectic group* for  $(J, e)$ , written  $\text{Sp}(J, e)$ , is the group of linear automorphisms of  $J$  compatible with  $e$ , i.e. linear automorphisms  $\sigma: J \rightarrow J$  such that for any  $x, y \in J$

$$e(x, y) = e(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)).$$

The symplectic group acts on the set of symplectic basis for  $(J, e)$ , and clearly in a simply transitive way. A datum of the form  $(J, e)$  will be called a *symplectic pair* for short.

0.2 *Quadratic forms.* Let  $J$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . A *quadratic form* on  $J$  is a mapping  $q: J \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  with the property that the mapping

$$e_q(x, y) = q(x) + q(y) + q(x + y)$$

is bilinear. It is clear that  $e_q$  is also alternate.

Let  $e$  be a fixed non-degenerate, alternate, bilinear form on  $J$ . There always is some quadratic form  $q$  on  $J$  such that  $e_q = e$ , for example

$$q(x) = \sum \lambda_i \lambda'_i$$

where  $x = \sum \lambda_i x_i + \sum \lambda'_i x'_i$  in terms of some symplectic basis  $x_1, \dots, x_g, x'_1, \dots, x'_g$  for  $(J, e)$ . Moreover, if  $Q(J, e)$  is the set of quadratic forms  $q$  with the property  $e_q = e$ , the group  $J$  acts on it through the formula

$$(x + q)(y) = q(y) + e(x, y), \quad x, y \in J,$$

and clearly in a simply transitive way; note that the action is written additively.

0.3 *Arf invariant.* Let  $(J, e)$  be a symplectic pair, and let  $x_1, \dots, x_g, x'_1, \dots, x'_g$  be a symplectic basis for  $(J, e)$ . If  $q \in Q(J, e)$ , it is easily proved that the scalar  $\sum q(x_i) q(x'_i)$  is independent of the given symplectic basis; this is called the *Arf invariant* of  $q$  and will be written  $Q_e(q)$ . It is a mapping

$$Q_e: Q(J, e) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

and it has the following property, that can be easily checked:

$$Q_e(q) + Q_e(x+q) + Q_e(y+q) + Q_e(x+y+q) = e(x, y)$$

where  $x, y \in J, q \in Q(J, e)$ , and the action defined at the end of 0.2 is being used.

The Arf invariant has the following meaning: if  $q \in Q(J, e)$ , the set  $q^{-1}(0)$  has either  $2^{g-1}(2^g+1)$  or  $2^{g-1}(2^g-1)$  elements, and correspondingly  $q^{-1}(1)$  has either  $2^{g-1}(2^g-1)$  or  $2^{g-1}(2^g+1)$  elements, where  $2g = \dim J$ ; the first (resp. the second) happens iff  $Q_e(q)$  equals 0 (resp. 1).

It is not difficult to prove that the set  $Q_e^{-1}(0)$  of elements of  $Q(J, e)$  with Arf invariant zero has order  $2^{g-1}(2^g+1)$  and correspondingly that  $Q_e^{-1}(1)$  has  $2^{g-1}(2^g-1)$  elements.

0.4 *Functoriality.* Let  $(J, e), (J', e')$  be two symplectic pairs, and let  $\sigma: J \rightarrow J'$  be a linear isomorphism compatible with  $e, e'$ , i.e. verifying

$$e'(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) = e(x, y) \quad x, y \in J.$$

The isomorphism  $\sigma$  induces a mapping

$$Q(\sigma): Q(J, e) \rightarrow Q(J', e')$$

defined by the formula

$$Q(\sigma)(q) = q \cdot \sigma^{-1},$$

and this has the property

$$Q(\sigma)(x+q) = \sigma(x) + Q(\sigma)(q) \quad x \in J, q \in Q(J, e).$$

Moreover,  $Q(\sigma)$  is compatible with the Arf invariant mappings  $Q_{e'}, Q_e$ , in the sense that one has

$$Q_{e'} \cdot Q(\sigma) = Q_e.$$

0.5 *The standard situation.* For a given natural number  $g$  (the “genus”), let  $J_0 = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ ,  $e_0$  be defined by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



where  $0, I$  are respectively the zero, identity  $g \times g$  matrix. The datum  $(J_o, e_o)$  is a symplectic pair, and is standard in the sense that for a fixed  $(J, e)$ , giving a symplectic basis for  $(J, e)$  amounts to the same thing as giving a linear isomorphism  $J_o \simeq J$  compatible with  $e_o, e$ . By 0.4, this in turn defines an isomorphism  $Q(J_o, e_o) \simeq Q(J, e)$  with the properties stated there.

Going back to the standard situation, there is an obvious identification  $Q(J_o, e_o) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{2g}$ , obtained associating with every quadratic form  $q$  its values on the canonical basis of  $J_o$ . With this identification in mind, the action of  $J_o$  on  $Q(J_o, e_o)$  defined at the end of 0.2 is the action of  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{2g}$  on itself by translations, and the Arf invariant is given by the mapping  $Q: (\varepsilon, \varepsilon') \mapsto \sum \varepsilon_i \varepsilon'_i$ , where  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^g$ .

We will use the following notation,

$$\begin{aligned} J_o(g) &= (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{2g} \\ S_o(g) &= Q(J_o, e_o) \\ S_o^+(g) &= \{s \in S_o(g) / Q(s) = 0\} \\ S_o^-(g) &= \{s \in S_o(g) / Q(s) = 1\} \end{aligned}$$

## § 1 THETA CHARACTERISTICS

1.1 *On an algebraic curve.* Let  $C$  be a non-singular projective algebraic curve over an algebraically closed base field  $k$  of characteristic different from 2. The set  $S(C)$  of *theta characteristics* on  $C$  is the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles  $L$  on  $C$  whose tensor square is isomorphic to the canonical bundle. If  $J_2(C)$  is the group of points of order two in  $\text{Pic}(C)$ , i.e. the multiplicative group of isomorphism classes of line bundles on  $C$  whose square is the trivial line bundle  $\mathbf{O}_C$ , then clearly  $J_2(C)$  acts on the set  $S(C)$ , and this in a simply transitive way. In addition, there is a function

$$Q: S(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$$

defined by

$$Q(L) = \dim \Gamma(C, L) \pmod{2}.$$

The following formula holds, where  $x, y \in J_2(C)$ ,  $s \in S(C)$ , and we use additive notation both for the group law in  $J_2(C)$  and the action of  $J_2(C)$  on  $S(C)$ :

$$Q(s) + Q(x+s) + Q(y+s) + Q(x+y+s) = e(x, y).$$

Here,  $e$  stands for the intersection pairing on  $J_2(C)$ . If  $g$  is the genus of  $C$ , it is proved that  $Q^{-1}(0)$  (resp.  $Q^{-1}(1)$ ) has  $2^{g-1}(2^g+1)$  (resp.  $2^{g-1}(2^g-1)$ ) elements.

The proof of these assertions goes back to Riemann in the case  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , and in the general case it may be found in Mumford [5].

1.2 *On a principally polarized abelian variety.* Let  $X$  be an abelian variety over  $k$ ,  $\theta: X \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{X}$  a principal polarization. The set  $S(X, \theta)$  of *theta characteristics* on  $(X, \theta)$  is the subset of  $\text{Pic}^\theta(X)$  determined by the symmetric line bundles; i.e. the elements of  $S(X, \theta)$  are the isomorphism classes of line bundles  $L$  on  $X$  belonging to  $\theta$  and such that  $L \simeq i^*(L)$ , where  $i: X \rightarrow X$  sends  $x \in X$  into  $-x$ . Again, the group  $X_2$  of points of order two in  $X$  acts on  $S(X, \theta)$  through the induced isomorphism  $\theta: X_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{X}_2$ , and this in a simply transitive way. Now, for any symmetric line bundle  $L$  on  $X$ , there exists a unique isomorphism  $\varphi: L \xrightarrow{\sim} i^*(L)$  such that over the zero of  $X$ ,  $\varphi$  induces the identity on the fibers. Over any  $x \in X_2$ , the fibers of  $L, i^*(L)$  identify naturally, and  $\varphi$  induces the multiplication by some scalar that will be denoted  $e_*^L(x)$ . It is proved that  $e_*^L(x) = \pm 1$ , and indeed that  $e_*^L: X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is a quadratic form whose associated bilinear form is the intersection pairing  $e$  on  $X_2$ . Now we define a mapping

$$Q: S(X, \theta) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

by

$$Q(s) = \text{Arf invariant of } e_*^s.$$

The following formula is valid, where additive notation is used both for group law and group action, and where  $s \in S(X, \theta)$ ,  $x, y \in X_2$

$$Q(s) + Q(x+s) + Q(y+x) + Q(x+y+s) = e(x, y).$$

It is also true that, if  $g = \dim X$ ,  $Q^{-1}(0)$  (resp.  $Q^{-1}(1)$ ) has  $2^{g-1}(2^g+1)$  (resp.  $2^{g-1}(2^g-1)$ ) elements.

All the preceding is proved in or follows easily from § 2 of Mumford [4] and from § 0 above. Note in addition that in  $\text{Pic}^{2\theta}(X)$  there is a unique totally symmetric line bundle  $L_o$  (i.e.  $L_o$  is symmetric and  $e_*^{L_o}(x) = 1$  for every  $x \in X_2$ ), and that the symmetric line bundles in  $\text{Pic}^\theta(X)$  are the line bundles  $L$  such that  $L^2$  is isomorphic with  $L_o$  (cf. Mumford [4], *loc. cit.*).

## § 2 RELATION WITH THE CLASSICAL NOTATION

Throughout this section the base field is  $\mathbf{C}$ .

2.1 *Jacobians*. I recall briefly the data associated with a nonsingular projective curve  $C$ . We have two abelian varieties, the Jacobian variety  $J(C) = H^{1,0}(C)^*/H_1(C, \mathbf{Z})$  and the Picard variety  $P^0(C) = H^{0,1}(C)/H^1(C, \mathbf{Z})$ . From standard dualities it turns out that  $P^0(C)$  is naturally isomorphic to the dual Jacobi variety  $J(C)^\wedge$ , and from Abel's theorem it results that there is in addition a natural isomorphism  $P^0(C) \simeq J(C)$ . Thus, we have associated with  $C$  a principally polarized abelian variety that I will denote henceforth  $P^0(C)$ ,  $\theta_C$  and will be called the Picard or the Jacobi variety of  $C$  according to taste. If we visualize  $P^0(C)$  as the group of line bundles on  $C$  with Chern class zero, we are led to introduce the family of sets  $P^h(C)$ , where  $P^h(C)$  is the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles with Chern class equal to  $h \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Each of the sets  $P^h(C)$  is a torsor under  $P^0(C)$ , i.e. is acted on by  $P^0(C)$  in a simply transitive way.

There is a natural embedding

$$C \rightarrow P^1(C)$$

and it can be proved that this induces an isomorphism of  $P^0(C)$ -torsors

$$(2.1.1) \quad \text{Pic}^\theta P^1(C) \xrightarrow{\sim} P^g(C)$$

where  $\text{Pic}^\theta P^1(C)$  is the set of line bundles  $P$  on  $P^1(C)$  belonging to  $\theta$ , and  $g$  is the genus of  $C$  (see next section 2.2). Observe that  $\text{Pic}^\theta P^1(C)$  is properly a  $P^0(C)^\wedge$ -torsor, but it becomes a  $P^0(C)$ -torsor through the polarization  $\theta$ .

2.2 *A simple formalism*. Let  $X$  be an abelian variety,  $P$  and  $X$ -torsor such that the group action  $X \times P \rightarrow P$  be analytic. Then there are canonical isomorphisms

$$H^i(X, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H^i(P, \mathbf{Z})$$

$$H^i(X, \mathbf{O}_x) \simeq H^i(P, \mathbf{O}_p)$$

and in particular

$$NS(X) \simeq NS(P) \text{ Pic}^0(X) \simeq \text{Pic}^0(P).$$

This is because the translations induce the identity both in  $H^i(X, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $H^i(X, \mathbf{O}_x)$  as it may be easily seen. Recall that the Néron-Severi group of  $X$  (resp. of  $P$ ) is the quotient

$$NS(X) = \text{Pic}(X)/\text{Pic}^o(X),$$

or also the kernel of the homomorphism

$$H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbf{O}_x).$$

Now let  $\theta: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$  be a polarization,  $\theta$  corresponds naturally to an element  $\theta \in NS(X)$ , and the set  $\text{Pic}^\theta(X)$  of isomorphism classes of line bundles on  $X$  belonging to  $\theta$  is the coset of  $\hat{X}$  in  $\text{Pic}(X)$  corresponding to  $\theta$  (cf. for example, Mumford, Abelian Varieties). Thus,  $\text{Pic}^\theta(P)$  is well defined too, since  $NS(P)$  and  $NS(X)$  identify.

Starting from  $(X, \theta)$  and  $P$  we have the following situation. The set  $\text{Pic}^\theta(P)$  is a torsor over  $\text{Pic}^o(P)$ , but  $\text{Pic}^o(P)$  identifies naturally with  $\hat{X}$ , thus  $\text{Pic}^\theta(P)$  is an  $\hat{X}$ -torsor. The following formula makes explicit this  $\hat{X}$ -torsor as tensor product (the natural operation between torsors over a fixed abelian group) of two other  $\hat{X}$ -torsors,  $\text{Pic}^\theta X$  and the  $\hat{X}$ -torsor  $P \otimes_X \hat{X}$  obtained from  $P$  through the extension of scalars  $\theta: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ .

$$(2.2.1) \quad \text{Pic}^\theta(P) \simeq \text{Pic}^\theta(X) \otimes (P \otimes_X \hat{X})$$

To have this natural isomorphism it is enough to define an  $X$ -equivariant pairing  $\text{Pic}^\theta(X) \times P \rightarrow \text{Pic}^\theta(P)$  and this is the obvious one: if  $L \in \text{Pic}^\theta(X)$ ,  $p \in P$  and if  $t_p: X \rightarrow P$  is the isomorphism  $t_p(x) = p + x$ , then the pairing associates with  $(L, p)$  the line bundle  $(t_p)_*(L)$ .

This isomorphism will be used in the next section.

**2.3 Relation between 1.1, 1.2.** Let  $C$  be a nonsingular projective algebraic curve,  $(P^o(C), \theta_C)$  its Picard variety with its principal polarization. Then, the definitions of theta characteristics of 1.1, 1.2 applied respectively to  $C$ ,  $(P^o(C), \theta_C)$  yield objects that identify naturally. Indeed, it follows from (2.1.1) and (2.2.1) that for any  $h \in \mathbf{Z}$  there is a natural isomorphism of  $P^o(C)$ -torsors.

$$\text{Pic}^\theta(P^h(C)) \simeq P^{h+g-1}(C),$$

where  $g$  is the genus of  $C$ . In particular, we have isomorphisms

$$\text{Pic}^\theta(P^o(C)) \simeq P^{g-1}(C)$$

$$\text{Pic}^{2\theta}(P^o(C)) \simeq P^{2g-2}(C).$$

In the last one it is easily seen that the canonical bundle corresponds to the unique totally symmetric bundle in  $\text{Pic}^{2\theta} P^o(C)$ . As the symmetric

bundles in  $\text{Pic}^\theta(P^o(C))$  are exactly the square roots of this totally symmetric line bundle, it follows that  $S(C)$ ,  $S(P^o(C), \theta_C)$  identify naturally. Moreover, this identification is compatible with their structures of  $J_2(C)$ -torsors and with the maps  $Q: S(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $Q: S(P^o(C), \theta_C) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ . This last point follows easily from proposition 2 in § 2 of Mumford [4] and from the theorem of Riemann (see Fay [2], theorem 1.1) stating that for a line bundle  $L \in P^{g-1}(C)$ , the dimension of  $\Gamma(C, L)$  equals the multiplicity of the theta divisor at the point  $L$ . (In fact, observe that the theta divisor as an element of  $\text{Pic}^\theta(P^{g-1}(C))$  corresponds to the canonical bundle on  $C$  under the isomorphism  $\text{Pic}^\theta(P^{g-1}(C)) \approx P^{2g-2}(C)$ ).

**2.4 Theta functions.** Let  $(X, \theta)$  be a principally polarized abelian variety. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$X \simeq H^{1,0}(X)^*/H_1(X, \mathbf{Z})$$

and the principal polarization corresponds to a nondegenerate alternate bilinear pairing

$$\theta: H_1(X, \mathbf{Z}) \times H_1(X, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}.$$

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_g, x'_1, \dots, x'_g$  be a symplectic basis for  $\theta$  on  $H_1(X, \mathbf{Z})$ ; then the images of  $x'_1, \dots, x'_g$  in  $H^{1,0}(X)^*$  constitute a basis for this  $\mathbf{C}$ -vector space, and let  $w_1, \dots, w_g$  be its dual basis for  $H^{1,0}(X)$ . In other words,

$$\int_{x'_i} w_j = \delta_{ij}.$$

Then the matrix  $\tau = (\tau_{ij})$  defined by

$$\tau_{ij} = \int_{x_i} w_j$$

belongs to the Siegel upper-half space of degree  $g$ , i.e.  $\tau$  is symmetric and  $\text{Im}(\tau)$  is positive definite. The choice of the symplectic basis sets an identification

$$X \simeq \mathbf{C}^g / (\tau \mathbf{Z}^g \oplus \mathbf{Z}^g).$$

We may now consider the classical theta functions (Igusa [3])

$$\theta_{mm^*}(\tau, z) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbf{Z}^g} e \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\xi + m) \tau^t (\xi + m) + (\xi + m)^t (z + m^*) \right].$$

By the properties of these theta functions and through the preceding identification, each  $\theta_{mm^*}(\tau, -)$  defines a line bundle on  $X$ , and indeed an element of  $\text{Pic}^\theta(X)$  that is independent of  $(m, m^*) \in \mathbf{R}^{2g} \bmod \mathbf{Z}^{2g}$ . In this way we get a bijection

$$\text{Pic}^\theta(X) \simeq \mathbf{R}^{2g} / \mathbf{Z}^{2g}.$$

It follows from formula (0.1) in p. 49 of Igusa [3] that the subset of  $\text{Pic}^\theta(X)$  defined by the symmetric line bundles on  $X$  corresponds to the image in  $\mathbf{R}^{2g}/\mathbf{Z}^{2g}$  of  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}^{2g}$ .

We finally see that the symplectic basis on  $H_1(X, \mathbf{Z})$  defines an identification

$$S(X, \theta) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{2g}.$$

It is easy to see that this identification depends only on the symplectic basis induced on

$$H_1(X, \mathbf{Z})/2H_1(X, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H_1(X, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}),$$

and that it is compatible with the identification

$$\hat{X}_2 \simeq H_1(X, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{2g}$$

that the later basis defines and with the respective action of  $\hat{X}_2$  on  $S(X, \theta)$  and of  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{2g}$  on itself by translations.

**2.5 Summing up.** If  $C$  is a nonsingular projective algebraic curve of genus  $g$ , there are two equivalent ways of defining the set of theta characteristics, either directly as in 1.1, or through its Picard variety as in 1.2. The set of theta characteristic is endowed with a simply transitive action of the group  $J_2(C)$  and with a function  $Q: S(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  closely related to the intersection pairing  $e$  on  $J_2(C)$ . Also, we know that  $Q^{-1}(0)$  has  $2^{g-1}(2^g+1)$  elements and  $Q^{-1}(1)$  has  $2^{g-1}(2^g-1)$  elements. Indeed, there is a third way of defining the set of theta characteristics, namely as the set  $Q(J_2(C), e)$  of all quadratic forms  $g$  on  $J_2(C)$  whose associated bilinear form is  $e$ ; we saw in § 0 that on this set there is a structure of the same type as in  $S(C)$ ,  $S(X, \theta)$ , and in fact  $S(X, \theta)$  is clearly isomorphic with  $Q(\hat{X}_2, e) \simeq Q(X_2, e)$ .

Now if we choose a symplectic basis  $x_1, \dots, x_g, x'_1, \dots, x'_g$  for  $J_2(C)$ , the set  $S(C)$  identifies with  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{2g}$ . In particular,  $0 \in (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{2g}$  defines a "base" theta characteristic. In terms of quadratic forms, this identification corresponds to the one discussed in 0.5, in particular the base theta characteristic is even (i.e. belongs to  $Q^{-1}(0)$ ) and it corresponds to the quadratic form  $q_0$  defined by  $q_0(x_i) = q_0(x'_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, g$ . Looking at  $S(C)$  as a subset of  $P^{g-1}(C)$ , the base theta characteristic is nothing else than the Riemann constant  $\Delta$  in the non-intrinsic version of the Riemann theorem referred to at the end of 2.3. (See theorem 1.1 in Fay [2] and its corollary 1.5).

### § 3 SOME SPECIAL CASES

I present here some examples in order to motivate the general discussion in Part II. Proofs of most assertions are omitted and they may be found in or follow easily from Part II. The base field is  $\mathbf{C}$  to simplify things.

3.1 *Genus two.* Let  $C$  be of genus two, and let  $P_C$  be the projective space of hyperplanes in  $H^{1,0}(C)$ . Then  $P_C$  is a projective line, and the natural map  $C \rightarrow P_C$  presents  $C$  as a 2-sheeted covering of  $P_C$  ramified over a subset  $R_C \subset P_C$  with  $|R_C| = 6$ . From the Riemann-Roch theorem it may be proved that the line bundles  $L$  in  $S(C)$  with  $Q(L) = 1$ , i.e. the odd theta characteristics, are those represented by effective divisors, and from here it follows easily that the set  $S(C)$  of odd theta characteristics identifies naturally with  $R_C$ . If  $s_1, s_2, s_3$  are three different elements of  $S^-(C)$  represented by line bundles  $L_1, L_2, L_3$ , it is also easily proved that  $L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3^{-1}$  is even. From this, and from II 2.4 it follows that there is a natural group isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Sp}(H_1(C, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(R_C).$$

It follows also from *loc. cit.* that it amounts to the same thing to give a symplectic basis for  $H_1(C, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$  or to give a bijection  $S_0^-(2) \simeq R_C$ , where  $S_0^-(2)$  is the fixed 6-elements set defined in 0.5.

I will discuss  $S^+(C)$  in a more general setting:

3.2 *Even genus, hyperelliptic case.* Let  $C$  be hyperelliptic. Then there is a projective line  $P_C$  and a map  $C \rightarrow P_C$  defined up to unique isomorphisms such that  $C \rightarrow P_C$  is a 2-sheeted covering. If  $R_C$  is the ramification locus,  $|R_C| = 2g + 2$ , and  $R_C$  identifies naturally with the set of Weierstrass points of  $C$ .

The group  $H_1(C, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$  can be reconstructed starting from  $R_C$  in the following way. If  $\pi = \{\pi', \pi''\}$  is any partition of  $R_C$  into two even-order subsets,  $L_\pi$  is the line bundle defined by the divisor  $\sum_{P' \in \pi'} P - \sum_{P \in \pi''} P$  where

$|\pi'_1| = |\pi'_2|$  and  $\{\pi'_1, \pi'_2\}$  partition  $\pi'$ . It is clear that  $L_\pi$  is of order two, thus defining an element of  $H_1(C, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ . In this manner one gets a group isomorphism

$$P_2^+(R_C) \simeq H_1(C, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$$



where the group  $P_2^+(R_C)$  is defined in II 3.5. It is easily verified that this isomorphism is compatible with the intersection pairing on  $H_1$  and with the alternated bilinear form introduced in *loc. cit.*

All the preceding was valid for any genus  $g$ . Now if  $g$  is even, it follows from II 3.6 and II 1.4 that we have an isomorphism

$$P_2^-(R_C) \simeq S(C)$$

compatible with the structures involved (i.e. an isomorphism of symplectic torsors, cf. II 1.1). The results of II, § 3 may thus be applied to the study of  $S(C)$ .

Observe that if  $g$  is odd, there is a natural theta characteristic; namely, the line bundle of the divisor  $(g-1)P$  is independent of the Weierstrass point  $P$  (compare II 3.6b)).

3.3 *Genus three.* Two cases arise for  $C$  of genus three:

3.3.1 *Chyperelliptic.* Then there is the 2-sheeted covering  $C \rightarrow P_C$  ramified over  $R_C$  with  $|R_C| = 8$ . It is seen in this case, as in 3.1, that there is a natural identification between  $S^-(C)$  and the set of subsets of  $R_C$  consisting of exactly two elements. It is convenient to visualize the elements of  $S^-(C)$  as segments joining the points of  $R_C$ , these being distributed on a plane in an arbitrary way. Then, if  $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4$  are four different elements of  $S^-(C)$ ,  $s_1 - s_2 = s_3 - s_4$  iff the segments corresponding to them produce one of the following configurations



From II 2.7 it follows that there is a canonical isomorphism between the group  $Sp(H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}))$  and the group of permutations of the set  $S^-(C)$  that preserve the “geometry” defined by these quadruples. Two comments are in order:

a) Although the permutation group  $\text{Aut}(R_C)$  is clearly a subgroup of the automorphism group of the “geometry”, not every such automorphism arises from a permutation of  $R_C$ .

b) The automorphisms of the geometry do not preserve the type of the configuration, they may send one quadruple of the first type drawn above into the other. However in a continuous family of *hyperelliptic* curves of genus 3, each of the two configurations will be preserved as the curve is deformed.



3.3.2 *C non hyperelliptic.* Let  $Q_C = \mathbf{P}(H^{1,0}(C))$  be the projective space of hyperplanes in  $H^{1,0}(C)$ . Then  $Q_C$  is a projective plane and the natural map  $C \rightarrow Q_C$  is an immersion. The degree of  $C$  in  $Q_C$  is the degree of the canonical bundle, i.e. 4 and  $C$  is thus a *nonsingular plane quartic*. It is again a simple exercise to prove that the odd theta characteristics on  $C$  correspond to the set of lines in  $Q_C$  that are bitangents to  $C$ . Thus, if  $B_C$  is the set of bitangents to  $C$  in  $Q_C$ , there is a natural identification

$$B_C \simeq S^-(C).$$

The theme of the 28 bitangents to a nonsingular plane quartic ( $28 = 2^{3-1}(2^3 - 1)$ ) is a classic one in geometry, see for instance Weber [6], chapter 12. A triple  $(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  of bitangents is called *syzygetic* (resp. *azygetic*) if their six points of contact with  $C$  lie (resp. do not lie) in a conic. A triple is syzygetic iff  $L_4 = L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L_3^{-1}$  is an odd characteristic, where  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  are the line bundles corresponding to  $s_1, s_2, s_3$ . When this happens, the two points of contact of the bitangent  $s_4$  corresponding to  $L_4$ , together with the preceding six, make up the full  $8 = 2 \times 4$  common points of the conic with the quartic.

An *Aronhold system* of bitangents (Weber [6]) is a set of seven bitangents such that any different three of them constitute an azygetic triple. The Aronhold systems are exactly the basis for the “geometry” in  $S^-(C)$  defined by the syzygetic triples (in the sense of II 4.3). It follows from II 4.4 that the set of Aronhold systems is a torsor over the symplectic group  $Sp(H_1(C, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}))$ , in particular that they have the same number of elements.

As any two “geometries” with the same genus are isomorphic (II 1.4), one can also speak of Aronhold systems in the hyperelliptic case. It turns out that they correspond to the following configurations



There are 1,451,520 of them as it is “immediately” checked. Again, it will be observed that the automorphisms of the geometry do not preserve the type of the configuration.

## II. THE ABSTRACT THEORY OF CHARACTERISTICS

### § 1 SYMPLECTIC TORSORS

1.1 *Definitions.* Recall that, if  $\Gamma$  is a group, a  $\Gamma$ -torsor (or torsor over  $\Gamma$ ) is a non-void set endowed with a simply transitive action of  $\Gamma$  on it. Let  $(J, e)$  be a symplectic pair, a *symplectic torsor* over  $(J, e)$  is a pair  $(S, Q)$  of a  $J$ -torsor  $S$  and a mapping  $Q: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  having the property

$$(1.1.1) \quad Q(s) + Q(x+s) + Q(y+s) + Q(x+y+s) = e(x, y)$$

where  $s \in S$ ,  $x, y \in J$ . It is clearly equivalent to ask this property for a fixed  $s \in S$  or for all  $s \in S$ , and it may be thought of as meaning that  $Q$  “is a quadratic form.” Indeed, any  $s \in S$  sets an identification  $J \xrightarrow{\sim} S (x \mapsto x+s)$ , and through this identification  $Q$  becomes the map  $x \mapsto Q(x+s)$ . The above property means that the map  $q_s: J \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$(1.1.2) \quad q_s(x) = Q(x+s) + Q(s)$$

is a quadratic form whose associated bilinear form is  $e$ . According to 0.4, two possibilities may and do arise for  $Q$ : either  $Q^{-1}(0)$  has  $2^{g-1}(2^g+1)$  or  $2^{g-1}(2^g-1)$  elements, where  $g = \dim J/2$  will be called the *genus* of  $(S, Q)$ . In the first case,  $(S, Q)$  will be said to be *even*, *odd* in the second. In what follows, *all symplectic torsors will be even* unless otherwise stated. This because the symplectic torsors that will appear most often will be even and because of the following simple construction. If  $(S, Q)$  is an even (resp. odd) symplectic torsor over  $(J, e)$ , and  $\bar{Q}$  is defined by  $\bar{Q}(s) = Q(s) + 1$ , then  $(S, \bar{Q})$  is an odd (resp. even) symplectic torsor over  $(J, e)$ .

For a given  $(S, Q)$  the following notation will be used

$$S^+ = Q^{-1}(0) \quad S^- = Q^{-1}(1).$$

The elements of  $S$  will be often called *characteristics*, those in  $S^+$  are *positive*, those in  $S^-$  are *negative*.

1.2 *Morphisms.* Let  $(S, Q), (S', Q')$  be symplectic torsors respectively over  $(J, e), (J', e')$ . For any map  $f: S \rightarrow S'$  we define a map  $\sigma_f: J \times S \rightarrow J'$  by the property

$$f(x+s) = \sigma_f(x, s) + f(s);$$

this can be done because  $S'$  is a  $J'$ -torsor. Now, the following cocycle-type property for  $\sigma_f$  is immediately checked, where  $x, y \in J, s \in S$

$$\sigma_f(x + y + s) = \sigma_f(x, y + s) + \sigma_f(y, s),$$

and from it one infers the equivalence of the following statements:

(i) For any  $s, s' \in S, x \in J$

$$\sigma_f(x, s) = \sigma_f(x, s').$$

(ii) For some  $s \in S$ , any  $x, y \in J$

$$\sigma_f(x + y, s) = \sigma_f(x, s) + \sigma_f(y, s)$$

(iii) For any  $s \in S, x, y \in J$

$$\sigma_f(x + y, s) = \sigma_f(x, s) + \sigma_f(y, s).$$

So, when these statements hold, one gets a group homomorphism  $\sigma_f: J \rightarrow J'$  and has  $f(x + s) = \sigma_f(x) + f(s)$ .

An *isomorphism* of  $(S, Q)$  onto  $(S', Q')$  is a bijection  $f: S \rightarrow S'$  verifying statements (i) to (iii) above, and also the condition

$$Q' \circ f = Q.$$

It is clear in this case that  $\sigma_f: J \rightarrow J'$  is an isomorphism compatible with  $e, e'$ . The group of automorphisms of  $(S, Q)$  will be denoted  $Sp(S, Q)$ , so the mapping  $f \rightarrow \sigma_f$  is a group homomorphism  $Sp(S, Q) \rightarrow Sp(J, e)$ .

**1.3 An example.** For any given  $(J, e)$  there is a canonical example of an even symplectic torsor, namely  $(Q(J, e), Q_e)$ . The  $J$ -torsor  $Q(J, e)$  was introduced in 0.2, the map  $Q_e$  in 0.3 where it was also remarked that it has property (1.1.1) and that  $Q_e^{-1}(0)$  has  $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$  elements.

If  $(J, e), (J', e')$  are two symplectic pairs, and if  $\sigma: J \rightarrow J'$  is a linear isomorphism compatible with  $e, e'$ , a map  $Q(\sigma): Q(J, e) \rightarrow Q(J', e')$  was defined in 0.4, where it was shown that it is an isomorphism of symplectic torsors. Clearly  $Q(\sigma)$  is canonical in any conceivable way.

Indeed, if one still dares in these days to use the language of category theory, what I just did was to define a functor from the category of symplectic pairs to the category of even symplectic torsors (morphisms = isomorphisms, in both cases). In section 1.4 we will see that this is an equivalence of categories.

1.4 *Uniqueness of symplectic torsors.* It will be shown here, that for a given symplectic pair  $(J, e)$  there is essentially only one symplectic torsor over it. Let  $(S, Q)$  be such an object; then there is a map

$$f_s: S \rightarrow Q(J, e),$$

defined by the rule  $s \mapsto q_s$ , where  $q_s$  was defined in (1.1.2). Let us prove that  $f_s$  is an isomorphism of symplectic torsors inducing the identity  $id_J: J \rightarrow J$ . The formula

$$q_{x+s}(y) = (x + q_s)(y)$$

is a mere restatement of condition (1.1.1), and the formula

$$Q_e \circ f_s = Q$$

follows from the fact that  $(S, Q)$  is even and from the meaning of the Arf invariant recalled in 0.3.

The isomorphisms  $f_s$  are canonical, in the following sense. If  $(S, Q)$ ,  $(S', Q')$  are symplectic torsors over  $(J, e)$ ,  $(J', e')$ ,  $f: S \rightarrow S'$  is an isomorphism of symplectic torsors inducing an isomorphism  $\sigma: J \rightarrow J'$ , then the following square commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{f} & S' \\ f_s \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{s'} \\ Q(J, e) & \xrightarrow{Q(\sigma)} & Q(J', e') \end{array}$$

Recalling the definitions, one has to check for  $s \in S$ ,  $x \in J$  that

$$Q(\sigma(x) + f(s)) + Q(f(s)) = Q(x + s) + Q(s)$$

which is immediate from the definition of isomorphism in 1.2.

It comes out of this that for any isomorphism  $\sigma: J \rightarrow J'$  there exists one and only one isomorphism  $f: S \rightarrow S'$  inducing it. In particular, the group homomorphism at the end of 1.2.

$$Sp(S, Q) \rightarrow Sp(J, e)$$

is an isomorphism. A useful application of this is the following: If by some unspecified means one is able to construct two symplectic torsors over a pair  $(J, e)$ , there is a unique isomorphism between them inducing the identity of  $J$ .

1.5 *Some notation.* a) Let  $J$  be a vector space over  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $S$  a  $J$ -torsor. Let's put

$$E(S) = J \amalg S$$

the disjoint union of  $J, S$ ; on this set there is a structure of vector space over  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ . In fact there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow E(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $J$  is sent identically onto itself, and the inverse image of 0 (resp. 1) in  $E(S)$  is  $J$  (resp.  $S$ ). The addition law in  $E(S)$  reduces to the given one on  $J$  when both elements are in  $J$ , is the action of  $J$  on  $S$  when one element is in  $J$  and the other in  $S$ , and finally  $s + s'$  (for  $s, s' \in S$ ) is the unique element  $x \in J$  such that  $x + s = s'$  (or equivalently  $x + s' = s$ ).

b) Given the standard pair  $(J_o, e_o)$ , as in 0.5. I will write  $S_o = Q(J_o, e_o)$ ,  $Q_o = Q_{e_o}$ . Both  $J_o, S_o$  identify to  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{2g}$ , but the following notations will be used in compliance with tradition, where  $u_1, \dots, u_{2g}$  is the canonical basis. An element of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^g (\varepsilon_i u_i + \varepsilon'_i u_{i+g})$$

will be written  $\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon' \end{pmatrix}$  or  $\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon' \end{bmatrix}$  whether it is seen in  $J_o$  or  $S_o$  respectively, where  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$  are row vectors. In particular, the addition law in  $E(S_o)$  is the following:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon' \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \eta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon + \eta \\ \varepsilon' + \eta' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon' \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ \eta' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon + \eta \\ \varepsilon' + \eta' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon' \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ \eta' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon + \eta \\ \varepsilon' + \eta' \end{pmatrix}$$

## § 2 FINITE GEOMETRIES ON SETS OF CHARACTERISTICS

2.0 Let's fix for paragraph § 2 a symplectic torsor  $(S, Q)$  over a symplectic pair  $(J, e)$  of genus  $g$ . The letter  $\Sigma$  will stand for either the set  $S^+$  of  $S^-$ , its cardinality is  $2^{g-1} (2^g \pm 1)$  (recall that according to 1.1 we assume

all symplectic torsors are even). We will exclude from consideration in this section the trivial case where  $\Sigma$  has only one element. This corresponds to  $g = 1$  and  $\Sigma = S^-$ .

In this paragraph a very simple combinatorial structure will be put on  $\Sigma$  (the *finite geometry*) that will allow us to reconstitute  $(J, e)$ ,  $(S, Q)$  from  $\Sigma$ . In particular, the symplectic group  $Sp(J, e) \simeq Sp(S, Q)$  will be interpreted as the group of automorphisms of a combinatorial structure. Let's denote this symplectic group by  $\Gamma$ .

2.1 The addition in  $E(S)$  (see 1.5.a) defines a map

$$(2.1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma \times \Sigma &\rightarrow J \\ (s, s') &\rightarrow s + s'; \end{aligned}$$

its image will be written  $\Sigma + \Sigma$ . For any  $x \in J$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , the set of non-ordered pairs  $\{s, s'\}$  such that  $x = s + s'$  will be written  $\Sigma(x)$ . Then, the following holds:

2.1.1 PROPOSITION. One has  $J = \Sigma + \Sigma$  and  $|\Sigma(x)| = 2^{g-2}(2^{g-1} \pm 1)$  for any  $x \neq 0$ .

2.1.2 Proof. Let's show first how the first conclusion implies the second. As the group  $\Gamma$  acts on both  $\Sigma \times \Sigma$  and  $J$ , in a way compatible with the map (2.1.1), and transitively on  $J - \{0\}$ , it is clear that  $|\Sigma(x)|$  is the same for any  $x \neq 0$ , and half the cardinality of the inverse image of  $x$  by the map (2.1.1). Because this map is surjective, and the inverse image of 0 is the diagonal, one has

$$2|\Sigma(x)| \cdot (|J| - 1) = |\Sigma|^2 - |\Sigma|.$$

Replacing the values  $|J| = 2^{2g}$ ,  $|\Sigma| = 2^{g-1}(2^g \pm 1)$  one finds the answer.

Now, turning back to the proof that  $J = \Sigma + \Sigma$ , writing  $A = \Sigma + \Sigma$ , we have that

$$e(x, y) = 0 \quad x \in A, y \notin A.$$

Indeed,  $x = s + s'$  for some  $s, s' \in \Sigma$ , and if  $t = y + s$ ,  $t' = y + s'$ , it must be that  $t \notin \Sigma$ ,  $t' \notin \Sigma$ , otherwise  $y$  would belong to  $A$ ; but by definition of a symplectic torsor

$$Q(s) + Q(s') + Q(t) + Q(t') = e(x, y)$$

and as  $Q(s) = Q(s')$ ,  $Q(t) = Q(t')$ , this equals 0. Finally, with the exception of the case where  $\Sigma$  consists of only one element that was excluded in 2.0,  $A \neq \{0\}$ , and the proposition follows from the lemma

2.1.3 *Lemma.* If  $A \subset J$  contains 0 and  $e(x, y) = 0$  for  $x \in A, y \notin A$ , then either  $A = \{0\}$  or  $A = J$ .

2.1.4 *Proof of the lemma.* If  $A \neq \{0\}$  and  $\neq J$ , there would be  $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$  with  $x \in A, y \in B = \complement A$ . As  $e(x, B) = 0, e(A, y) = 0$ , and the form  $e$  is non degenerate, it should be

$$|A| < 2^{2g-1} \quad |B| < 2^{2g-1}.$$

But  $|A| + |B|$  must equal  $2^{2g}$ , and there is a contradiction.

2.2 The symplectic group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $S$  through the identification  $\Gamma = Sp(S, Q)$  (1.4), and in particular  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\Sigma = S^\pm$ . As a corollary to 2.1, we have that *the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Sigma$  is faithful*, i.e. that the map

$$\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$$

is injective, with the trivial exception where  $|\Sigma| = 1$ .

This follows at once from the compatibility of the actions of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Sigma \times \Sigma, J$  with the map (2.1.1).

2.3 A *quartet* in  $\Sigma$  is a quadruple  $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \in \Sigma^4$  such that  $s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 = 0$ , where the addition is performed in  $E(S)$  (1.5.a). If  $\Sigma_{(4)} \subset \Sigma^4$  denotes the set of quartets,  $\Sigma_{(4)}$  has the following properties

(i)  $\Sigma_{(4)}$  is globally invariant under the permutation group in four letters acting on  $\Sigma^4$  by coordinate exchanges.

(ii)  $\Sigma_{(4)} \subset (\Sigma^2)^2$  is an equivalence relation on  $\Sigma^2$ .

In fact, these two properties alone for a subset of  $\Sigma^4$  ( $\Sigma$  an arbitrary set) define what naturally could be seen as the generalization of equivalence relations, when 4-relations are considered instead of 2-relations. In this case we have a further and very restrictive property:

(iii) The projection maps  $\Sigma_{(4)} \rightarrow \Sigma^3$  are injective.

A *triplet* in  $\Sigma$  is a triple  $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \Sigma^3$  that can be completed to a quartet, i.e. that belongs to the image of any of the projection maps in (iii) above, or still such that  $s_1 + s_2 + s_3 \in \Sigma$ . The set of triplets will be denoted by  $\Sigma_{(3)}$ . It is clear that any of the four projection maps sets a corresponding bijection  $\Sigma_{(4)} \rightarrow \Sigma_{(3)}$ .

We will also need the notion of *sextet* in  $\Sigma$ ; these are sextuples  $(s_1, \dots, s_6) \in \Sigma^6$  such that  $s_1 + \dots + s_6 = 0$ ; they constitute a set  $\Sigma_{(6)}$ . Clearly  $n$ -ets could be defined in general but there will be no use for them,

and even our interest for the sextets will be short-lived (see 2.5). Observe that  $\Sigma_{(6)}$  is an equivalence relation on  $\Sigma^3$  and is symmetric.

Also, for any  $n \geq 2$ , consider the following relation  $R_n$  in  $\Sigma^n$ :

$(s_1, \dots, s_n) R_n (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  if there are  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $i \neq j$  such that  $s_k = t_k$  if  $k \neq i, k \neq j$  and  $(s_i, s_j, t_i, t_j) \in \Sigma_{(4)}$ .

If  $\bar{R}_n$  is the equivalence relation on  $\Sigma^n$  generated by  $R_n$ , two  $n$ -uples will be said to be *congruent* if they are equivalent under  $\bar{R}_n$ . For example, the relation  $R_2 = \bar{R}_2$  coincides with  $\Sigma_{(4)}$ .

Observe, finally, that because of 2.1.1, any couple (resp. quadruple) of elements of  $\Sigma$  can be completed to a triplet or a quartet (resp. to a sextet). From this same observation, the number of elements in  $\Sigma_{(3)}$ ,  $\Sigma_{(4)}$ ,  $\Sigma_{(6)}$  can be computed

$$\begin{aligned} |\Sigma_{(3)}| &= |\Sigma_{(4)}| = 2^{3g-3} (2^g \pm 1)^2 (2^{g-1} \pm 1) \\ |\Sigma_{(6)}| &= 2^{5g-5} (2^g \pm 1)^4 (2^{g-1} \pm 1). \end{aligned}$$

**2.4 PROPOSITION.** *The data of  $\Sigma_{(4)}$ ,  $\Sigma_{(6)}$  on  $\Sigma$  enables us to reconstitute  $(J, e)$  and the symplectic torsor  $(S, Q)$ . In particular,*

$$\begin{aligned} J &\simeq \Sigma^2 / \Sigma_{(4)} \\ S &\simeq \Sigma^3 / \Sigma_{(6)}. \end{aligned}$$

**2.4.1 Proof.** It is clear by definition of  $\Sigma_{(4)}$ ,  $\Sigma_{(6)}$  and by proposition 2.1.1 that the maps  $\Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Sigma \times \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow S$  defined by the addition in  $E(S)$  induce identifications

$$\begin{aligned} J &\simeq \Sigma^2 / \Sigma_{(4)} \\ S &\simeq \Sigma^3 / \Sigma_{(6)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have next to reconstitute from  $\Sigma_{(4)}$  and  $\Sigma_{(6)}$

a) *The addition in  $J$ .* Let  $x, y \in J$  be represented respectively by the couples  $(s_1, s_2)$ ,  $(s_3, s_4)$ . Then  $x + y$  is represented by  $(s_3, s_6)$ , where  $(s_1, \dots, s_6) \in \Sigma_{(6)}$ .

b) *The bilinear form  $e$ .* Let  $x, y \in J$  be represented respectively by the couples  $(s_1, s_2)$ ,  $(s_3, s_4) \in \Sigma^2$ . Then  $e(x, y) = 0$  if both  $(s_1, s_3, s_4)$  and  $(s_2, s_3, s_4)$  belong or do not belong to  $\Sigma_{(3)}$ , and  $e(x, y) = 1$  otherwise.

c) *The action of  $J$  on  $S$ .* Let  $x \in J$ ,  $s \in S$  be represented respectively by  $(s_1, s_2) \in \Sigma^2$ ,  $(s_3, s_4, s_5) \in \Sigma^3$ . Then  $x + s$  is represented by  $(s_5, s_6, s_7) \in \Sigma^3$ , where  $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_6, s_7) \in \Sigma_{(6)}$  is any completion into a sextet of  $(s_1, \dots, s_4)$ .



d) *The map  $Q$ .* Let  $s \in S$  be represented by  $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \Sigma^3$ . If  $\Sigma = S^+$ ,  $Q(s)$  equals 0 or 1 according to  $(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  belongs to  $\Sigma_{(3)}$  or not. If  $\Sigma = S^-$ , the opposite is valid.

2.5 PROPOSITION. *The data of  $\Sigma_{(3)}$ ,  $\Sigma_{(4)}$  on the set  $\Sigma$  are equivalent, and  $\Sigma_{(6)}$  can be constructed from  $\Sigma_{(4)}$ .*

2.5.1 *Proof.* It is clear that  $\Sigma_{(3)}$  is defined in terms of  $\Sigma_{(4)}$ . Conversely, to define  $\Sigma_{(4)}$  from  $\Sigma_{(3)}$ , one observes that  $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \in \Sigma^4$  is a quartet if and only if the following holds: for any  $s \in \Sigma$ ,  $(s, s_1, s_2) \in \Sigma_{(3)} \Leftrightarrow (s, s_3, s_4) \in \Sigma_{(3)}$ ; the proof of this fact is left as an exercise for the reader. As for the last assertion, let's remark first that it is trivial in the case  $g = 2$ ,  $\Sigma = S^-$ , because as  $|\Sigma| = 6$  there can be only one non-trivial sextet. This exceptional case settled the following lemma—where in addition to the assumption in 2.0 the preceding case is excluded from consideration—shows that in the remaining cases the sextets are the sextuples *congruent* (2.3) to those sextets containing a triplet. As these last ones are clearly defined in terms of  $\Sigma_{(4)}$ , the proposition is proved.

2.5.2 *Lemma.* If  $\Sigma = S^+$  (resp.  $\Sigma = S^-$ ) any quadruple (resp. sextuple) is congruent to a quadruple (resp. sextuple) containing a triplet.

2.5.3 *Proof of the lemma.* Let  $(s_1, \dots, s_6) \in \Sigma^6$  be a sextuple. For any pair  $(t, t') \in \Sigma^2$ , the number of elements  $s \in \Sigma$  such that  $(s, t, t')$  is a triplet equals  $2^{g-1} (2^{g-1} \pm 1)$  following 2.1.1. Thus, if

$$T_1 = \{s \in \Sigma / (s, s_1, s_2) \in \Sigma_{(3)}\}$$

$$T_2 = \{s \in \Sigma / (s, s_3, s_4) \in \Sigma_{(3)}\}$$

$$T_3 = \{s \in \Sigma / (s, s_5, s_6) \in \Sigma_{(3)}\}$$

we have  $|T_i| = N = 2^{g-1} (2^{g-1} \pm 1)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . It is easily seen that  $3N > |\Sigma| = 2^{g-1} (2^g \pm 1)$  and that if  $\Sigma = S^+$  (so that  $\pm$  becomes  $+$  everywhere) then  $2N > |\Sigma|$ . This implies that some two of the sets  $T_1, T_2, T_3$  meet, and that  $T_1, T_2$  meet if  $\Sigma = S^+$  and the lemma follows.

2.6 THEOREM. *The data of  $\Sigma_{(4)}$  (or  $\Sigma_{(3)}$ ) on  $\Sigma$  enable us to reconstitute the whole situation:  $(J, e), (S, Q)$ .*

This is an immediate consequence of 2.4, 2.5. The structure  $\Sigma_{(4)}$  will be sometimes called the *finite geometry* on  $\Sigma$ , although I acknowledge it is not one in the usual sense.

2.7 COROLLARY. Let  $(S, Q), (S', Q')$  be symplectic torsors of genus  $g$  over  $(J, e), (J', e')$ , and let  $\Sigma = S^\pm, \Sigma' = S'^\pm$ . Then, there are canonical bijections

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Isom}((J, e), (J', e')) &\simeq \text{Isom}((S, Q), (S', Q')) \\ &\simeq \text{Isom}((\Sigma, \Sigma_{(4)}), (\Sigma', \Sigma'_{(4)})). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, there are group isomorphisms

$$\text{Sp}(J, e) \simeq \text{Sp}(S, Q) \simeq \text{Aut}(\Sigma, \Sigma_{(4)}).$$

### § 3 SYMPLECTIC TORSORS DEFINED BY FINITE SETS

In this paragraph,  $X$  will be a finite set.

3.1 The basic construction. Starting from  $X$  one has

a) The set  $2^X$  of subsets of  $X$ , with the operation of symmetric difference:

$$A + B = A \cup B - A \cap B \quad A, B \in 2^X$$

b) A map  $p: 2^X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$p(A) = |A| \pmod{2} \quad A \in 2^X$$

c) A map  $e: 2^X \times 2^X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$e(A, B) = |A \cap B| \pmod{2} \quad A, B \in 2^X$$

d) A map  $Q: 2_-^X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$Q(B) = \frac{|B| + 1}{2} \pmod{2} \quad B \in 2_-^X$$

where  $2_-^X = p^{-1}(1)$  is the set of subsets of odd order of  $X$ .

e) A map  $q_0: 2_+^X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$q_0(A) = \frac{|A|}{2} \pmod{2} \quad A \in 2_+^X$$

where  $2_+^X = p^{-1}(0)$ .

Then, it is easily verified that

$\alpha)$   $2^X$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , of dimension  $|X|$ .

$\beta)$   $p$  is linear

$\gamma)$   $e$  is bilinear

$\delta$ )  $Q$  has the following property (compare 1.1.1)

$$Q(B) + Q(A+B) + Q(A'+B) + Q(A+A'+B) = e(A, A')$$

whenever  $B \in 2_-^X$ ,  $A, A' \in 2_+^X$

$\varepsilon$ )  $q_o$  is a quadratic form inducing the restriction of  $e$  to  $2_+^X$ .

In the proof of these, one uses the following identity

$$|A+B| = |A| + |B| - 2|A \cap B| \quad A, B \in 2^X.$$

3.2 Let's assume in the following three sections that  $X$  is of odd order,  $|X| = 2g + 1$ .

3.2.1 PROPOSITION. *The bilinear form  $e$  on  $2_+^X$  is alternate and non-degenerate. If  $2_+^X$  acts on  $2_-^X$  by translations,  $(2_-^X, Q)$  is a symplectic torsor over  $(2_+^X, e)$  which is even for  $g \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$  and odd for  $g \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ .*

3.2.2 Proof. It is clear that  $e$  is alternate on  $2_+^X$ . It is also non degenerate, because if  $A \in 2_+^X$ ,  $A \neq \phi$ , let  $x \in A$ ; then  $A' = (X-A) \cup \{x\}$  is of even order, and  $e(A, A') = 1$ . It is also clear that  $(2_-^X, Q)$  is a symplectic torsor over  $(2_+^X, e)$  (because of 3.1  $\delta$ ) and the definition of symplectic torsor.

To find out when this torsor is even or odd, we first observe that it is clearly odd for  $g = 0, 1$  (look at it), then apply descending induction using the following fact (to be proved below). Let's call  $\varepsilon_g$  the type of the torsor corresponding to an  $X$  with  $|X| = 2g + 1$  (and  $g \geq 2$ ), thus  $\varepsilon_g = \pm 1$ ; then  $\varepsilon_g = \varepsilon_{g-1}$  if  $g$  is odd, and  $\varepsilon_g = -\varepsilon_{g-1}$  if  $g$  is even.

Proof of this fact: take a fixed  $A_o \subset X$  of order two. The set of  $B \in 2_-^X$  such that  $Q(B) = Q(A_o+B) = 0$  (recall that  $Q(B) = 0$  means that  $|B| \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ) has cardinality  $2^{g-1}(2^{g-1} + \varepsilon_g)$  by definition of  $\varepsilon_g$  and proposition 2.1.1. But clearly this number is also twice the cardinality of the set of subsets  $C$  of  $X - A_o$  such that  $|C| \equiv 2g - 1 \pmod{4}$  (in fact any such  $B$  defines a  $C$  by  $C = X - (A_o \cup B)$  and this map is two-fold) and the number of these is  $2^{g-2}(2^{g-1} + \varepsilon_{g-1})$  or  $2^{g-2}(2^{g-1} - \varepsilon_{g-1})$  according to  $2g - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $2g - 1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , i.e.  $g$  odd or even. This proves the fact and completes the proof of the proposition.

3.3 If  $Q$  is odd, let us agree to modify  $Q$  in the way described in 1.1 to obtain an even torsor  $\bar{Q}$ . With this convention, the following notation will be adopted:

$$\begin{aligned} J_X &= 2_+^X & e_X &= e \\ S_X &= 2_-^X & Q_X &= Q \end{aligned}$$

or  $\bar{Q}$  according to the value of  $g \pmod{4}$ .

The identification  $S_X \simeq Q(J_X, e_X)$  in 1.4 may be made explicit: if  $B \in S_X$ ,  $B$  becomes the following quadratic form

$$B(A) = |A \cap B| + \frac{|A|}{2}(2).$$

Let's now make explicit the condition for a triple  $(B_1, B_2, B_3)$  of elements of either  $S_X^+$  or  $S_X^-$  to be a *triplet* (2.3). This means that

$$Q_X(\Sigma B_i) = \Sigma Q_X(B_i),$$

and this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i < j} |B_i \cap B_j| \equiv 1(2),$$

or still to

$$|\cup B| \equiv |\cap B_i|(2).$$

3.4 The quadratic form  $q_o$  on  $J_X$  singled out in 3.1 e) corresponds through the identification  $Q(J_X, e_X) = S_X$  to  $X$  itself. As  $Q(X) \equiv g + 1(2)$ , it results from the last part of 3.2.1 that the Arf invariant of  $q_o$  is 0 for  $g \equiv 0, 3(4)$ , 1 for  $g \equiv 1, 2(4)$ . In other words,  $q_o \in S_X^+$  for  $g \equiv 0, 3(4)$ ,  $q_o \in S_X^-$  for  $g \equiv 1, 2(4)$ .

3.5 Let's assume in this and the next sections that  $X$  is of even order,  $|X| = 2g + 2$ . Then, the linear map  $p$  passes to the quotient  $2^X / \{0, X\}$ . This quotient identifies naturally with the set of partitions of  $X$  into two subsets, and will be denoted  $P_2(X)$ . If  $p: P_2(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  still denotes the induced map, we will write

$$P_2^+(X) = p^{-1}(0)$$

$$P_2^-(X) = p^{-1}(1).$$

With respect to the bilinear form  $e$ ,  $X$  is orthogonal to  $2_+^X$ , then inducing an alternate bilinear form, still denoted by  $e$ , on  $P_2^+(X)$ . This form is *non-degenerate*. To prove this, observe that if  $A \in 2_+^X$ ,  $A$  different from  $\emptyset$  and  $X$ , and  $x \in A$ ,  $x' \notin A$ ; then, if  $A' = \{x, x'\}$ ,  $e(A, A') = 1$ .

3.6 Two cases may appear in this situation.

a)  $g$  is even. Then, the map  $Q: 2_-^X \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  passes to the quotient  $P_2^-(X)$ , so this becomes a symplectic torsor over  $(P_2^-(X), e)$ . But in this case the canonical quadratic form  $q_o$  does not pass to the quotient  $P_2^+(X)$ .

b)  $g$  is odd. Then, the map  $Q$  does not pass to the quotient, but  $q_o$  does, so there is a natural characteristic.

3.7 The following construction would help in developing the case where  $|X|$  is even along the lines of 3.2-3.5, which I won't do. Let  $X$  be of odd order  $|X| = 2g + 1$ , and define  $X' = X \amalg \{X\}$ , thus  $|X'| = 2g + 2$ . We have a natural linear map

$$2^X \rightarrow 2^{X'}$$

and this is compatible with  $p, e, Q, q$ . Composing this with the passage to the quotient, I have a linear isomorphism

$$2^X \rightarrow P_2(X'),$$

and by compatibility with  $p, p'$ , isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} 2_+^X &\rightarrow P_2^+(X') \\ 2_-^X &\rightarrow P_2^-(X'). \end{aligned}$$

The first is compatible with  $e, e'$ , and with the canonical quadratic forms if  $g$  is odd. The second is compatible with  $Q, Q'$  if  $g$  is even.

#### § 4 BASIS AND FUNDAMENTAL SETS

4.1 *Normal basis.* Let  $(J, e)$  be a symplectic pair. A *normal basis* for  $(J, e)$  is a basis  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  for  $J$  with the property that  $e(x_i, x_j) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$ , the set of ordered normal basis (i.e. for  $I = \{1, \dots, 2g\}$  if  $2g = \dim J$ ) will be denoted  $ONB(J, e)$ . The symplectic group  $Sp(J, e)$  clearly acts on  $ONB(J, e)$  and it does it simply transitively, because if two ordered normal bases for  $(J, e)$  are given, the unique linear automorphism transforming one into the other is obviously symplectic.

I have not yet shown that the set  $ONB(J, e)$  is non-empty, this we will see as a consequence of the following construction, that relates symplectic basis (0.1) with normal basis. The set  $SB(J, e)$  of symplectic basis is a torsor over  $Sp(J, e)$ , thus if  $ONB(J, e)$  is non-empty, both torsors should be isomorphic and indeed there would be as many isomorphisms as elements in the group  $Sp(J, e)$ . What I proceed to exhibit now is a definite isomorphism

$$\alpha: SB(J, e) \rightarrow ONB(J, e)$$

with inverse  $\beta$ . If

$$x \in SB(J, e), x = (x_1, \dots, x_g, x'_1, \dots, x'_g)$$

let's put  $y = \alpha(x)$ , then by definition

$$\begin{aligned} y_{2k-1} &= x_1 + \dots + x_k + x'_1 + \dots + x'_{k-1} \\ y_{2k} &= x_1 + \dots + x_{k-1} + x'_1 + \dots + x'_k \quad k = 1, \dots, g. \end{aligned}$$

As for the inverse, if  $y \in ONB(J, e)$ , and  $x = \beta(y)$ , then one gets from the definition of  $\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= y_1 + \dots + y_{2k-2} + y_{2k-1} \\ x'_k &= y_1 + \dots + y_{2k-2} + y_{2k} \quad k = 1, \dots, g. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear from this definition that  $\alpha$  is compatible with the actions of  $Sp(J, e)$  on both sets.

**4.2 Azygetic sets.** Let  $(S, Q)$  be a symplectic torsor over a symplectic pair  $(J, e)$ . A subset  $A \subset S$  is *azygetic* if for any three different elements  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in A$  one has  $Q(s_1) + Q(s_2) + Q(s_3) + Q(s_1 + s_2 + s_3) = 1$ , or equivalently if  $e(s_1, +s_2, s_1 + s_3) = 1$ .  $A$  is *homogeneous* if  $Q$  is constant on it, i.e. if either  $A \subset S^+$  or  $A \subset S^-$ . And the subset  $A$  is *linearly independent* if for some (or equivalently, for any)  $s \in A$ , the subset  $s + (A - \{s\}) \subset J$  is linearly independent, or equivalently if  $A + A$  spans a subspace of  $J$  of dimension  $|A| - 1$ .

Let  $A$  be an azygetic subset,  $s \in A$ , and let  $B = s + (A - \{s\})$ , I will show that the only possible linear relation on  $B$  is  $\sum_{x \in B} x = 0$ . Indeed, if  $\sum \lambda_x x = 0$  is such a relation, for any  $y \in B$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= e(y, \sum_x \lambda_x x) = \sum_x \lambda_x e(y, x) = \sum_{\substack{x \in B \\ x \neq y}} \lambda_x \\ \sum_{x \neq y} \lambda_x &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Adding these equations for any  $y, y' \in B$ , one concludes that  $\lambda_y = \lambda_{y'}$ , which was to be shown. As a consequence of this, it follows that any azygetic subset of odd order is linearly independent, and that an azygetic subset has at most  $2g + 2$  elements. It is easy to verify that if  $A$  is an azygetic subset of odd order and if  $s = \sum_{t \in A} t$ ,  $A \cup \{s\}$  is still azygetic.

**4.3 Basis for symplectic torsors.** A *basis* for a symplectic torsor  $(S, Q)$  over  $(J, e)$  is a maximal homogeneous, linearly independent, azygetic subset of  $S$ . A basis has exactly  $2g + 1$  elements, where  $g$  is the genus of  $(S, Q)$ . This comes from the fact that any symplectic torsor is isomorphic to one of the form  $(S_X, Q_X)$  constructed in § 3 because of the uniqueness result in 1.4, that for  $S_X$ ,  $X \subset S_X$  is clearly a basis with  $2g + 1$  elements, and that a linearly independent subset can have at most  $2g + 1$  elements.

The set of ordered basis for  $(S, Q)$  will be denoted by  $OB(S, Q)$ , the group  $Sp(S, Q)$  acts on it.

The following construction is fundamental. Let  $X \subset S$  be a basis, we have then a map

$$F_X: 2^X \rightarrow E(S)$$

(cf. 1.5.a) for the definition of  $E(S)$ ), defined by

$$F_X(A) = \sum_{s \in A} s$$

It is clear that  $F_X$  is a group homomorphism, that sends subsets of  $X$  of even (resp. odd) order into  $J$  (resp.  $S$ ), thereby inducing a linear homomorphism

$$\sigma_X: 2_+^X \rightarrow J$$

and a map compatible with the respective group actions

$$f_X: 2_-^X \rightarrow S.$$

To proceed further, let's choose a total order on  $X$ ,  $X = \{s_0, \dots, s_{2g}\}$ . Then, the  $X_i = \{s_0, s_i\}$  (resp.  $x_i = s_0 + s_i$ ) for  $i = 1, \dots, 2g$  constitute an ordered normal basis for  $2_+^X$  (resp.  $J$ ), and as  $\sigma_X(X_i) = x_i$  we have that  $\sigma_X$  is a symplectic isomorphism. It follows that  $f_x$  is a bijection, and indeed  $f_x$  defines an isomorphism of symplectic torsors between  $(S_X, Q_X)$  and  $(S, Q)$ . To see this, we have to prove that if  $A, A' \subset X$  are such that  $|A| \equiv |A'| \pmod{4}$ , then

$$Q\left(\sum_{s \in A} s\right) = Q\left(\sum_{s \in A'} s\right).$$

We know that  $Q$  is constant on  $X$ , and the condition on  $X$  of being azygetic means that for any three different  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in X$ ,  $Q(s_1 + s_2 + s_3)$  is different from the value of  $Q$  on  $X$ . From this remark, the fact to be proved follows easily by induction and using the defining property (1.1.1) of symplectic torsors. For example, if  $|A| = 5$ , and we order  $A = \{s_1, \dots, s_5\}$ , we have

$$Q(\Sigma s_1) + Q(s_1) = Q(s_1 + s_2 + s_3) + Q(s_1 + s_4 + s_5)$$

because  $e(s_2 + s_3, s_4 + s_5) = 0$ , thus

$$Q(s_1) = Q(\Sigma s_i).$$

Summing up: starting from a basis  $X \subset S$ , one gets an isomorphism of symplectic pairs

$$\sigma_X: (J_X, e_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} (J, e)$$

underlying an isomorphism of symplectic torsors

$$f_X: (S_X, Q_X) \simeq (S, Q).$$

As a consequence of this, we have that a basis is necessarily contained in  $S^+$  for  $g \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ , in  $S^-$  for  $g \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$  (cf. 3.2.1).

**4.4 PROPOSITION.** *The set  $OB(S, Q)$  of ordered basis for a symplectic torsor  $(S, Q)$  is a torsor over the group  $Sp(S, Q)$ . Moreover, the map*

$$OB(S, Q) \rightarrow ONB(J, e)$$

*defined by*

$$(s_i)_{0 \leq i \leq 2g} \mapsto (s_0 + s_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 2g}$$

*is an isomorphism of torsors over  $Sp(S, Q) \simeq Sp(J, e)$ .*

**4.4.1 Proof.** The map defined above is clearly compatible with the actions of  $Sp(S, Q)$ ,  $Sp(J, e)$  and the isomorphism between these groups described in 1.4. To prove the proposition, it is enough to show that this map is bijective. As  $OB(S, Q)$  is non-empty and  $ONB(J, e)$  is a torsor, this map is onto. It is injective too, because starting from the  $x_i = s_0 + s_i$  I can recover the  $s_i$  in the following way. If  $s = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2g} s_i$ , by the identification  $S \simeq Q(J, e)$  in 1.5,  $s$  corresponds to the unique quadratic form  $q_s$  on  $J$  whose value on each of the  $x_i$  is 1 as it can be easily seen, thus  $s$  can be defined in terms of the  $x_i$ ; but then

$$s_i = s + \sum_{j \neq i} x_j \quad (0 \leq i \leq 2g, 1 \leq j \leq 2g).$$

**4.5 Fundamental sets.** A *fundamental set* for a symplectic torsor  $(S, Q)$  is a maximal azygetic subset  $F \subset S$ . Any basis  $X$  for  $S$  defines a fundamental set, it suffices to put  $F_X = X \cup \{s_X\}$ , where  $s_X = \sum_{s \in X} s$ . Also, if  $F$  is a fundamental set and if  $x \in J$ ,  $x + F$  is a fundamental set too, as it is easily seen. In fact, for any fundamental set  $F$ , there exists a basis  $X$  and an  $x \in J$  such that  $F = x + F_X$ . Let  $F = \{t_0, \dots, t_{2g+1}\}$  be an ordering of  $F$ , it is clear that if

$$x_i = t_0 + t_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq 2g+1),$$

the  $x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2g$  constitute a normal basis for  $J$ , thus there exists a unique ordered basis  $X = \{s_0, \dots, s_{2g}\}$  for  $S$  such that  $x_i = s_0 + s_i$  (4.4). Then, if  $x = s_0 + t_0$ , we have  $t_i = x + s_X$ , because  $\sum t_i = 0$  and  $s_X = \sum s_i$ .

Observe that a fundamental set arising from a basis is homogeneous iff  $g$  is even. Indeed, it is homogeneous iff  $2g + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , i.e. iff  $g$  is even.



It follows from the last part of prop. 3.2.1 that, in this case, the number of odd characteristics in the fundamental sets is congruent to  $g \bmod 4$ . We will see that this is a general fact.

4.5.1 PROPOSITION. *Let  $O(F)$  be the number of odd characteristics in a fundamental set  $F$ . Then  $O(F) \equiv g \pmod{4}$ . Conversely, for any  $l \equiv g \pmod{4}$ , and  $l \leq 2g + 2$ , there are fundamental sets  $F$  with  $O(F) = l$ .*

4.5.2 Proof. We may safely restrict ourselves to the case where the symplectic torsor is  $S_X$  with its standard basis  $X$ , and  $F = \{A\} + (X \cup \{X\})$  where  $A \subset X$  is of even order  $|A| = 2k$  (cf. 4.3). Then, in  $F$  there are  $2k$  characteristics corresponding to subsets of  $X$  with  $2k - 1$  elements,  $2(g - k) + 1$  characteristics with  $2k + 1$  elements, and 1 characteristic with  $2(g - k) + 1$  elements, namely the ones obtained adding  $A$  to respectively the characteristics of the form  $\{s\}$  ( $s \in A$ ),  $\{s\}$  ( $s \notin A$ ),  $X$ . When  $g$  is even the second and third types have the same parity; when  $g$  is odd the first and third types have the same parity. From these remarks, it is easy to see that the number of elements of the same parity in  $F$  and  $X \cup \{X\}$  are congruent mod 4, and that with this only restriction, this number can be arbitrary for  $F$  by conveniently choosing  $A$ . The proposition follows from this and from what was said just before its statement.

4.5.3 In Coble [1], additional material on fundamental sets may be found.

## REFERENCES

- [1] COBLE, A. An application of finite geometry to the characteristic theory of the odd and even theta functions. *Trans. A.M.S.* 7 (1906), pp. 241-276.
- [2] FAY, J. Theta functions on Riemann surfaces. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, No. 352, Springer Verlag (1973).
- [3] IGUSA, J. *Theta Functions*. Springer Verlag (1972).
- [4] MUMFORD, D. On the equations defining Abelian varieties I. *Invent. Math.* 1 (1966), pp. 287-354.
- [5] ——— Theta characteristics of an algebraic curve. *Ann. Scient. ENS* 4 (1971), pp. 181-192.
- [6] WEBER, H. *Lehrbuch der algebra*, Band 2, Braunschweig (1896).

(Reçu le 20 février 1976)

Neantro Saavedra Rivano

Dept. de Matemática  
 Universidad Simón Bolívar  
 Apartado 5354  
 Caracas, Venezuela