Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 22 (1976)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: BOUNDARY VALUE CHARACTERIZATION OF WEIGHTED H^1
Autor: Wheeden, Richard L.
Kapitel: §3. Proof of Theorems 1 and 3
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-48178

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 21.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

This follows from Theorem 2: the right-hand side is at most a multiple of the left since $F(x, t) \to F(x, 0)$ in L^p_w; the converse inequality is just (3) with w_2 and r chosen to be w and p, resp.

§3. Proof of Theorems 1 and 3

We will prove Theorem 1 first, beginning with part (i). Let $F \in H^1_w$, $F = (u, v_1, \ldots, v_n)$, $w \in A_1$. By Theorem 2, F has boundary values $F(x, 0) = (f(x), g_1(x), \ldots, g_n(x))$ pointwise a.e. and in L^1_w. In particular, $f, g_1, \ldots, g_n \in L^1_w$. We will show that $u = P(f)$ and $v_j = P(g_j)$. Since $u(x, s)$ converges to $f(x)$ in L^1_w, $P(u(.,s))(x,t) \to (Pf)(x,t)$ as $s \to 0$:

$$|P(u(\cdot, s))(x,t) - (Pf)(x,t)| = \left| \int_{R^n} [u(y,s) - f(y)] P(x-y,t)\, dy \right|$$

$$\leqslant \| u(\cdot, s) - f \|_{1,w} \{ \sup_y w(y)^{-1} P(x-y,t) \},$$

where the expression in curly brackets is finite for each (x, t) (see (6)). By Lemma 1, $u(x, s+t) = P(u(.,s))(x,t)$ since u is harmonic. Hence, letting $s \to 0$, we obtain $u(x,t) = (Pf)(x,t)$, as desired. The argument proving that $v_j = P(g_j)$ is similar.

Now let $G = (Pf, Q_1 f, \ldots, Q_n f)$. Then G is a Cauchy-Riemann system with the same first component as F. This implies that the first component of F-G is zero, and so that the others are independent of t; that is, $v_j - Q_j f$ is independent of t. Thus, $v_j = Q_j f$ if both $v_j(x,t)$ and $(Q_j f)(x,t)$ tend to zero as $t \to +\infty$ (x fixed). We have already observed this for $Q_j f$. For v_j, the mean-value property of harmonic functions gives

$$|v_j(x,t)| \leqslant ct^{-n-1} \iint_{|\xi - x|^2 + |t-\eta|^2 < t^2} |v_j(\xi, \eta)|\, d\xi d\eta$$

$$\leqslant ct^{-n} \sup_{\eta > 0} \int_{|\xi - x| < t} |v_j(\xi, \eta)|\, d\xi$$

$$\leqslant ct^{-n} \left(\sup_{\eta > 0} \int_{R^n} |v_j(\xi, \eta)|\, w(\xi)\, d\xi \right) \left(\sup_{\xi:\, |\xi - x| < t} w(\xi)^{-1} \right)$$

$$\leqslant ct^{-n} \sup_{\xi : |\xi - x| < t} w(\xi)^{-1}.$$

Since $w(\xi)^{-1} \leqslant c(1+|\xi|)^{n\delta}$ for some δ, $0 < \delta < 1$, we have

$$|v_j(s,t)| \leqslant ct^{-n}(1+|x|+t)^{n\delta}.$$

Hence, $v_j(x,t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ for each x.

We now know $u = Pf$, $v_j = P(g_j) = Q_j f$. Letting $t \to 0$ in the equation $P(g_j)(x,t) = (Q_j f)(x,t)$ gives $g_j(x) = (R_j f)(x)$ a.e. Thus, $R_j f \in L^1_w$ and $v_j = P(R_j f) = Q_j f$, as desired. All that remains to prove in (i) is that $|||F|||$ and $\|f\|_{1,w} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|R_j f\|_{1,w}$ are equivalent. This, however, follows immediately from (10) with $p = 1$, since

$$F(x,0) = \big(f(x), R_1 f(x), \ldots, R_n f(x)\big).$$

To prove (ii), let f be a function in L^1_w for which each $R_j f \in L^1_w$. (The existence of $R_j f$ as a pointwise limit is guaranteed by the hypothesis $w \in A_1$.) We will show that the vector defined by

$$F = (Pf, Q_1 f, \ldots, Q_n f)$$

is in H^1_w. Once this is done, the rest of (ii) clearly follows from (i). We know F is a Cauchy-Riemann system, and only need to show $|||F||| < +\infty$. As $t \to 0$, $F(x,t)$ converges a.e. to $(f, R_1 f, \ldots, R_n f) = F(x,0)$, say, so that $|F(x,0)| \in L^1_w$. Hence, $|||F||| < +\infty$ by Theorem 2 if there exist p and w_1, $\dfrac{n-1}{n} < p < \infty$, $w_1 \in A_{pn/(n-1)}$, such that

$$\sup_{t>0} \int_{R^n} |F(x,t)|^p w_1(x)\,dx < +\infty. \tag{11}$$

We first claim that if $w \in A_1$, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that the function

$$w_1(x) = \frac{w(x)}{(1+|x|)^\alpha}$$

also belongs to A_1. Note that $(1+|x|)^{-\beta} \in A_1$ if $0 \leqslant \beta < n$, and that there exists $s > 1$ such that $w^s \in A_1$. Hence, for any cube I, Hölder's inequality gives

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w_1(x)\,dx \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w(x)^s\,dx\right)^{1/s}\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I (1+|x|)^{-\alpha s'}\,dx\right)^{1/s'},$$

$s' = s/(s-1)$. Choose $\alpha > 0$ so small that $\alpha s' < n$. Then both w^s and $(1+|x|)^{-\alpha s'}$ are in A_1, and

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w_1(x)\,dx \leqslant c\,(\operatorname{ess\,inf}_I w^s)^{1/s}(\operatorname{ess\,inf}_I (1+|x|)^{-\alpha s'})^{1/s'}$$
$$= c\,(\operatorname{ess\,inf}_I w)(\operatorname{ess\,inf}_I (1+|x|)^{-\alpha})$$
$$\leqslant c \operatorname{ess\,inf}_I w_1 .$$

This proves the claim.

With this choice of w_1, we will complete the proof of (ii) by showing that (11) holds for any $p < 1$ which is sufficiently close to 1. Let

$$(R^* f)(x) = \max_{j=1,\dots,n} (R_j^* f)(x) .$$

Then, as is well-known, there is a constant c depending only on n such that

$$|F(x,t)| \leqslant c\,[f^*(x) + (R^* f)(x)] .$$

It follows from the weak-type estimates referred to in §2 that the radial maximal function $N_0(F)(x)\,(= \sup_{t>0} |F(x,t)|)$ satisfies

$$m_w\{x\colon N_0(F)(x) > \lambda\} \leqslant c\lambda^{-1}\,||f||_{1,w},\ \lambda > 0 .$$

We will show that any non-negative function ϕ with

$$m_w\{x\colon \phi(x) > \lambda\} \leqslant c\lambda^{-1},\ \lambda > 0,$$

belongs to $L^p_{w_1}$, $1 - \frac{\alpha}{n} < p < 1$. Let $g_r(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, denote the non-increasing rearrangement of a function g with respect to the measure $w(x)\,dx$. Then, by [5], p. 257,

$$\int_{R^n} \phi^p w_1 dx = \int_{R^n} \phi(x)^p (1+|x|)^{-\alpha} w(x)\,dx$$
$$\leqslant \int_0^\infty \phi_r^p(\lambda)\,\{(1+|x|)^{-\alpha}\}_r(\lambda)\,d\lambda .$$

We have $\phi_r(\lambda) \leqslant c\,\lambda^{-1}$ and must estimate $\{(1+|x|)^{-\alpha}\}_r$. However,

$$m_w\{x\colon (1+|x|)^{-\alpha} > \lambda\} = m_w\{x\colon 1 + |x| < \lambda^{-1/\alpha}\},$$

which for $\lambda \geqslant 1$ is zero and for $0 < \lambda < 1$ is less than

$$\int_{|x|<\lambda^{-1/\alpha}} w dx \leqslant c\lambda^{-n/\alpha} \int_{|x|<1} w\,dx = c\lambda^{-n/\alpha}$$

(see (5)). Therefore,

$$\{(1+|x|)^{-\alpha}\}_r(\lambda) \leqslant c(1+\lambda)^{-\alpha/n}, \ \lambda > 0 .$$

Combining estimates, we obtain

$$\int_{R^n} \phi^p w_1 dx \leqslant c \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-p}(1+\lambda)^{-\alpha/n} d\lambda < +\infty$$

if $1 - \frac{\alpha}{n} < p < 1$, as desired. This completes the proof of (ii).

To prove Theorem 3, let $f \in L^1_w$ and $w \in A_1$. Then (11) holds for F, p and w_1 as in the proof of Theorem 1 (ii). (The proof of (11) does not require $R_j f \in L^1_w$.) Hence, by Lemma 2 (see (8)),

$$N(F)(x) \leqslant c(|F(x,0)|^{\frac{n-1}{n}})^{*\frac{n}{n-1}} .$$

Since $F(x,0) = (f(x), (R_1 f)(x), \ldots, (R_n f)(x))$, the conclusion of Theorem 3 follows immediately with $\mu = (n-1)/n$.

To prove the fact stated at the end of the introduction, let

$$f, R_1 f, \ldots, R_n f \in L^1 .$$

Clearly,

$$P(R_j f)^\wedge(x,t) = \hat{P}(x,t)(R_j f)^\wedge(x) = e^{-2\pi t|x|}(R_j f)^\wedge(x),$$

$$(Q_j f)^\wedge(x,t) = \hat{Q}_j(x,t)\hat{f}(x) = i\frac{x_j}{|x|}e^{-2\pi t|x|}\hat{f}(x) \text{ a.e.},$$

where the Fourier transform is taken in the x variable with t fixed. (Note that for fixed t, $P(x,t)$ belongs to L^1 and $Q_j(x,t)$ belongs to L^2.) However, these expressions are all equal everywhere since $P(R_j f) = Q_j f$ by Theorem 1 and $P(R_j f) \in L^1$. Therefore, $(R_j f)^\wedge(x) = ix_j|x|^{-1}\hat{f}(x)$, as claimed.

REFERENCES

[1] Calderón, A.P. On the behavior of harmonic functions at the boundary. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 68* (1950), pp. 47-54.

[2] Coifman, R.R. and C.L. Fefferman. Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals. *Studia Math. 51* (1974), pp. 241-250.

[3] Fefferman, C.L. and E.M. Stein. Some maximal inequalities. *Amer. J. Math. 93* (1971), pp. 107-115.

[4] Gundy R.F. and R.L. Wheeden. Weighted integral inequalities for the nontangential maximal function, Lusin area integral, and Walsh-Paley series. *Studia Math. 49* (1973), pp. 101-118.

[5] Hunt, R.A. On $L(p,q)$ spaces. *L'Ens. Math. 12* (1966), pp. 249-275.