

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 22 (1976)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: THE INFINITUDE OF PRIMES; A PROOF USING CONTINUED FRACTIONS
Autor: Barnes, C. W.
Kapitel: 1. Introduction
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-48190>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 05.04.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

THE INFINITUDE OF PRIMES; A PROOF USING CONTINUED FRACTIONS

by C. W. BARNES

1. INTRODUCTION

Several proofs that there exist infinitely many primes have the elegance of Euclid's classic proof. The various proofs proceed mainly along the lines of Euclid; namely assuming that there are only finitely many primes and constructing an integer greater than one which is not divisible by any of the existing primes.

The proof of Kummer [2] is slightly different. Suppose that the primes are p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t , $t \geq 2$. If we set $n = \prod_{i=1}^t p_i$ then 1 is the only integer less than n which is relatively prime to n . However, $1 < n - 1 < n$ and we see that $(n-1, n) = 1$. For if $(n-1, n) = d > 1$ there is a prime p_i such that $p_i \mid d$. Hence $p_i \mid (n-1)$ and $p_i \mid n$. It follows that p_i divides the difference of n and $n - 1$ or $p_i \mid 1$ which cannot hold. Thus there are at least two positive integers less than n and relatively prime to n , a contradiction.

A proof due to Pólya [4] is well-known. It depends on the fact that any two distinct Fermat numbers $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$ are relatively prime. Thus each of F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n is divisible by an odd prime which does not divide any of the others. Hence it follows that there are at least n odd primes not exceeding F_n for every positive integer n .

Stieltjes [5] gave a proof which may be considered a generalization of that of Euclid. If p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t are the existing primes, we write their product in the form mn in any manner. Thus each of p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t divides m or n but not both m and n . Therefore $m + n$ is not divisible by any of the existing primes. This is a contradiction since $m + n > 1$ and must be divisible by a prime. If we set $m = 1$ we obtain the proof of Euclid.

A proof given by Braun [1] depends on the same result used by Kummer: if $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$ for integers a, b , and $d \neq 0$ then $d \mid (ax + by)$ for any

integers x and y . Now suppose the existing primes are p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t with $p_t \geq 5$. Write

$$\sum_{i=2}^t \frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{m}{n}$$

where

$$m = p_2 p_3 \dots p_t + p_1 p_3 \dots p_t + \dots + p_1 p_2 \dots p_{t-1}$$

and $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_t$. Now $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} > 1$ so that $\frac{m}{n} > 1$. Moreover $m > n$, so that $m > 1$ and thus m has a prime factor p_i . This implies

$$p_i \mid p_1 \dots p_{i-1} p_{i+1} \dots p_t$$

and again we have a contradiction.

In the present note we indicate how the theory of simple continued fractions can be used to give a new proof that there exist infinitely many primes. The proof is an application of the theory of periodic continued fractions and the theory of the Pellian equation.

2. CONTINUED FRACTIONS

The necessary material can be found in Perron [3]. We denote the numerators and denominators of the approximants to the simple continued fraction

$$a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \dots}}$$

by A_m and B_m respectively for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Thus $A_0 = a_0$, $A_1 = a_0 a_1 + 1$, $B_0 = 1$, $B_1 = a_1$ and for $m \geq 1$ we have

$$(1) \quad B_{m+1} = a_{m+1} B_m + B_{m-1}.$$

The limit of every infinite periodic simple continued fraction is a quadratic irrational. In particular, if p is a positive integer and

$$x = p + \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{p + \dots}}$$

then we have